

AGENDA

Planning and Zoning Commission

February 5, 2020 – REGULAR MEETING

5:30 PM

The New Business items have been placed on the agenda in the order they were received. Please note that at 9:00 p.m., the Commission will evaluate the remainder of the agenda to determine if time constraints will permit the full agenda to be heard at this meeting. All items not heard at this meeting will be postponed to the next regularly scheduled PC/BOA meeting of February 19, 2020 or to a special meeting scheduled by the Commission.

NOTICE: THE VIDEO AND AUDIO FOR THIS MEETING ARE STREAMED TO THE PUBLIC VIA THE INTERNET AND MOBILE DEVICES WITH VIEWS THAT ENCOMPASS ALL AREAS, PARTICIPANTS AND AUDIENCE MEMBERS

PLEASE SILENCE ALL ELECTRONIC DEVICES DURING THE MEETING

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC
4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
 - I. January 15, 2020
5. OLD BUSINESS
6. NEW BUSINESS
7. BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
8. PLANNING COMMISSION
 - I. **Item P19-187:** Amendment to section 8.10 Duties and Responsibilities in the Land Development Regulations - Continued
 - II. **Item P19-262:** Amendment of the Final (Major) Development Plan for Pine Glades Planned Unit Development (PUD).
9. MATTERS FROM THE COMMISSION
10. AGENDA FOLLOWUP
11. MATTERS FROM STAFF
12. ADJOURNMENT

**MINUTES
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION
TOWN OF JACKSON, WYOMING
JANUARY 15, 2020**

The meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission was called to order at 5:30 p.m. on 1/15/2020, in the Town Hall Council Chambers.

ROLL CALL:

___ Anne Schuler, ___x David Vandenberg, ___x Katie Wilson, ___x Abby Petri, ___x William Gale, ___x Thomas Smits

STAFF: Paul Anthony, Tyler Valentine

MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC: None

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

November 2, 2019

A motion was made by: Thomas Smits seconded by: Abby Petri
Motion approved by a 5 to 0 vote

NEW BUSINESS:

PLANNING COMMITTEE

- 1. ITEM P19-262: AMENDMENT TO THE FINAL (MAJOR) DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR PINE GLADES PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD).**

STAFF PRESENTATION: Tyler Valentine

APPLICANT PRESENTATION: Bill Collins, Erica Nash

PUBLIC COMMENT: Jim Wong, Laura Bonich, Charles Nodell, David Defazio, David Lloyd, Will Bradoff, Linda Damiano

PC DISCUSSION: The PC discussed the following topics: what type of public access was approved in original PUD approval; the history of the trail locations, the public/private nature

of the roads, the extent of liability to the homeowner if public access were allowed.

BY the end of the meeting, many PC members agreed that they had a few legal questions and would like the opportunity to speak with the Town Attorney about various issues before they felt comfortable making a recommendation.

MOTION:

Based upon the findings for a Development Plan as presented in the staff report related to 1) Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan; 2) Achieves purpose of NRO & SRO overlays; 3) Impact of public facilities & services; 4) Complies with Town Design Guidelines; 5) Compliance with LDRs & Town Ordinances; 6) Conformance with past permits & approvals as presented by the applicant and staff for Item P19-262, I move to recommend **approval** to the Town Council to amend a Development to allow the proposed modifications listed in the application related to exterior materials changes, the in-road heating element, and the trails plan, subject to the departmental reviews attached hereto and the following conditions of approval:

1. Prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy on the duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive, the applicant shall apply for an addendum to the duplex building permits to revise all exterior elevations to reflect the approved materials and changes.
2. By no later than September 1, 2020, all trails and signage shall be installed and satisfactorily inspected. In addition, by no later than September 1, 2020 the applicant shall record public access easements for the approved trails to the satisfaction of Planning Director, Pathways Director, and Town Attorney. Signage shall include a requirement for a dog leash requirement at all trail entrances and exists.
3. The applicant shall work with the Fire Department and Planning Department on an appropriate landscape screening plan for the first section of trail above the cul-de-sac that does not conflict with the Wildland Urban Interface code requirements.
4. The applicant shall satisfactorily address all concerns from the Pathway Director.
5. The applicant shall make all efforts to not disturb mature Spruce trees in the section of the trail below the cul-de-sac. Any necessary removal of trees will require new trees to be planted to ensure adequate screening is maintained.

A motion was made to **CONTINUE** the meeting to February 5, 2020, by: Katie Wilson seconded by: William Gale
Motion approved by a 5 to 0 vote

OLD BUSINESS: None

MATTERS FROM COMMISSION: None

MATTERS FROM STAFF: Staff provided updates on ongoing planning efforts, such as Town Square/Historic Preservation LDR update, Growth Management Plan, and the Council's recent retreat.

ADJOURN: 7:30

A motion was made by: Katie Wilson seconded by: Thomas Smits
Motion approved by a 5 to 0 vote



TOWN OF JACKSON PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA DOCUMENTATION

PREPARATION DATE: JANUARY 31, 2020
MEETING DATE: FEBRUARY 5, 2020

SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT: PLANNING
DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR: PAUL ANTHONY
PRESENTER: PAUL ANTHONY

SUBJECT: **ITEM P19-187:** AMENDMENT TO SECTION 8.10 DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

OWNER/APPLICANT: TOWN OF JACKSON

REQUESTED ACTION

Town staff is requesting that Item P19-187, an amendment to Section 8.10: Duties and Responsibilities in the Land Development Regulations (LDRs), be continued to the Planning Commission's regular meeting on March 4, 2020.

SUGGESTED MOTION

I move to continue Item P19-187 to the Planning Commission's regular meeting on March 4, 2020.



TOWN OF JACKSON PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA DOCUMENTATION

PREPARATION DATE: JANUARY 31, 2020
MEETING DATE: FEBRUARY 5, 2020

SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT: PLANNING
DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR: PAUL ANTHONY
PRESENTER: TYLER VALENTINE

SUBJECT: **ITEM P19-262:** AMENDMENT TO THE FINAL (MAJOR) DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR PINE GLADES PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD).

APPLICANT: BILL COLLINS – COLLINS PLANNING ASSOCIATES

OWNER: PINE CANYON, LLC

STATEMENT/PURPOSE

This item was continued from the Planning Commission meeting on January 2, 2019. Specifically the item was continued because the Commission had additional questions for the Town Attorney. In addition, since the last Planning Commission meeting, the applicant has submitted two alternative trail paths for Section #3 which is the section near the affordable duplex. Please refer to the applicant's supplemental attachments and the discussion below under Alternative Public Trail Plan in the Staff Analysis section. Furthermore, staff has received written public comment from 10 Pine Glades residents (attached).

The applicant is requesting approval of an amendment to the approved Final (Major) Development Plan for the Pine Glades Planned Unit Development (PUD), specifically to:



- Modify the exterior materials on the existing duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive from a wood/stone combination to primarily stone.
- Remove the in-road heating element in Phase 1 (Pine Glades Drive) and in the future Phase 2 (Jessica Lane)
- Approve a trail plan that would allow public access through the site on trails only and would include newly recorded access easements.

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

Section 8.3.2 Development Plan

LOCATION

The property is located at 140 Pine Glades Drive (legally described as LOT 14, PINEGLADES TOWNHOMES PHASE 1), 142 Pine Glades Drive (legally described as LOT 13, PINE GLADES TOWNHOMES PHASE 1) and Lot H (Common Area). An aerial photo and zoning map are shown below:



BACKGROUND/ALTERNATIVES

General Overview of the Pine Glades PUD

The subject property is approximately 17.11 acres (745,445 sf) and is zoned Planned Unit Development – Neighborhood Low Density-3 (PUD - NL-3). On August 20, 2007, the Town Council approved a Sketch Plan for a 39-unit Planned Unit Development (PUD), consisting of 27 market townhome units and 12 affordable townhome units. On January 22, 2008, the Town Council approved a Final (Major) Development Plan (referred to as ‘Development Plan’) and Hillside Conditional Use Permit (CUP) consistent with the previously approved Sketch Plan/PUD. After the completion of the first 2 of the 12 affordable units, the applicant requested that the remaining number be reduced from 10 to 7 affordable units. The Town Council approved the proposed amendment to allow the reduction in density provided the number of bedrooms remained the same as what was proposed with the previous 10 units. The final remaining number of deed restricted units is 9 and all are completed and occupied.

The Pine Glades PUD consists of two roads, Pine Glades Drive and Jessica Lane. Both roads are private and all road maintenance responsibility belongs to the applicant/HOA. The Town has an easement, however, that provides Town personnel access to the roadways for the purpose of maintaining the water and sewer lines located under the roads that are the Town’s responsibility. Pine Glades Drive serves Phase I (upper units) and extends down through the tunnel to the entrance of the development. Phase 1 is nearly complete and includes construction of road/utility improvements, 12 market townhome units (4 triplexes), 2 affordable condominium units (duplex), and 7 affordable townhome units (all attached). The only remaining development in Phase I is one market duplex (140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive) that is part of this application. Phase II (lower units) has not been started, so neither Jessica Lane nor the 13 market townhome (three triplexes and two duplexes) have been constructed. The only completed improvements within Phase II are associated with water/sewer mains and retaining walls. Prior to making any future improvements in Phase II, the applicant must obtain approval of a Development Agreement with the Town that will address obligations and bonding for future improvements (i.e. roadway, trails, additional utilities, etc.).

Since approval, the owners of the Pine Glades project have requested numerous amendments and changes that have been processed by the Town. Below is a complete list of the permit history of the PUD.

Original Applications

- **P05-126 & 127:** Sketch Plan and PUD approval (27 market units and 12 affordable units).
- **P07-076:** Variance approval to develop on slopes greater than 25%.
- **P07-126 & 127:** Development Plan and Hillside CUP approval (27 market units and 12 affordable units).

Applications Subsequent to Original Approval

- **P09-006:** Minor Deviation approval to modify parking adjacent to affordable housing units.
- **P09-020:** Final Plat approval for Phase I Townhomes.
- **P09-023:** Final Plat approval for the Spruce Condos (affordable duplex).
- **P09-035:** Minor Deviation approval to remove fire turnout on Pine Glades Drive.
- **P09-081:** Amendment approval to the plat related to mechanics liens.
- **P09-082:** Amendment approval to plat related to past condition of approval and mechanics liens.
- **P10-028:** Amendment approval to Subdivision Improvement Agreement.
- **P11-025:** Minor Deviation approval to remove and relocate a trail (see below)
- **P12-007:** Amendment approval to Subdivision Improvement Agreement
- **P12-095:** Development Plan amendment approval to reduce number of affordable units from 12 to 10
- **P13-054:** Minor Deviation to allow change in design of affordable units.

- **P14-044:** Final Plat approval for Spruce Townhomes.
- **P14-052:** Minor Deviation approval to remove and relocate a trail (see below)
- **P16-140:** Zoning Compliance Verification confirming outstanding obligations.
- **P17-045:** Development Plan amendment approval to modify duplex design and add 600 sf.
- **P19-018:** Development Plan amendment for modifications to architectural features at duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive.
- **P19-262:** *Current application*

Trails

Relevant to this application, the original project included an internal trail network intended to connect to the Snow King trail system and to serve the development's residents and the general public (primarily Pine Drive residents). Prior to the approval of the Pines Glades PUD, there was some level of historic informal trail use across the 17-acre hillside parcel by neighbors and others. As a result of strong public interest in maintaining this public trail access through the property, the original developer (as represented by Dave Taylor) promised and agreed to include a trail network that would allow the public to walk and bike through the site to access the Snow King trail system, including the use of Pines Glades Drive as necessary. No public vehicular access was intended. A trail plan (attached as 'Original Trail Plan' and included in the staff report below) was approved with the original PUD and Development Plan.

The original trail plan was changed twice in 2011 and 2014 through staff level reviews. In 2011 a Minor Deviation (P11-025) was approved to relocate the west trail that switchbacks up the hill from the cul-de-sac (connecting to the Sink or Swim trail) to the center of the site next to the duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive. This relocation request was made due to stated concerns over the steepness of the slope in the original west location. This new section of trail near the duplex was installed and connected to Sink or Swim. Accessing the trail at this location required neighbors on Pine Drive to walk approximately 900 feet on Pines Glades Drive and the trail was used for a couple of years until the trail was relocated again at the request of the developer.

Later in 2014, another Minor Deviation (P14-062) was approved that removed the section of trail adjacent to the duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive and established a replacement trail farther east down Pine Glades Drive just north of the upper opening of the tunnel. This new section of trail is currently built, in operation, and connects to the Shade Monkey trail located on the Town's property. The Shade Monkey trail switchbacks up the hill and connects to Sink or Swim.

Unfortunately, despite all the Council discussion and planning for trails, no access easements were recorded with the original Development Plan/PUD approval to formally establish public access across the Pines Glades roads. In addition, no easement for public access on the roads was shown or stated on the approved plat, and the Development Plan/PUD included no condition of approval requiring such access. It is staff's understanding that the lack of execution of any access easements or similar instrument was an unintentional error. This error was first discovered, as best staff can tell, when Mr. Tozzi purchased the development in 2017 and soon thereafter began posting 'no trespassing' signs on the property and calling the police on non-residents walking across the property. Prior to these actions by Mr. Tozzi (i.e., during the ownership period of the first property developer from 2007 to 2017), it appears that the general public walked frequently through the development, including on Pine Glades Drive, to access the trails with the apparent understanding that the Development Plan/PUD allowed such access and without being told otherwise by Mr. Taylor or by the owners of Pines Glades units at that time.

Recent Compliance Activity

The approval record of the PUD is complex, winding, and often not well documented, making resolution of disputed items difficult. Consequently, due to a difference of opinion between the Town, Mr. Tozzi, and adjacent neighbors about the nature of public access to the trails and Pines Glades Drive, the past three or so years have been marked by frequent accusations of trespass countered by claims of access rights, often with the Town police and planning staff caught in the middle without clear direction.

The current round of permit review started in early 2019 when the applicant submitted an application to amend the Pine Glades Development Plan (P19-018) to make additional architectural modifications to the duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive. After getting through the Planning Commission (PC), the applicant continued the item indefinitely in response to contentious public comment at the PC meeting from residents on Pine Drive who felt that no more approvals should be given within Pine Glades until public access for trails through the roads was legally granted. On the other hand, owners of Pine Glades units commented at the PC meeting that they purchased homes in a private development that did not include public access through the roads. They also stated that the public should not be permitted to walk on the roads because of safety and liability issues. In summary the applicant wanted to resolve the access issue before meeting with the Town Council and decided to work with staff to find a solution.

After staff met with the applicant, it became apparent there was a fundamental difference of opinion between staff and the applicant regarding what was originally promised/approved with regard to public access to trails and how the matter should be resolved. Staff then conducted significant additional research into the Pine Glades approvals and prepared a detailed compliance letter in May 2019 (attached as 'Compliance Letter – May 2019') summarizing our findings and opinions on public access for trails and other outstanding compliance matters. In the letter, staff provided evidence that public access across Pine Glades Drive to access trails was clearly promised by the original developer and was presented and approved as part of the original PUD. As mentioned above, a public access easement memorializing this should have been recorded but was never done. Furthermore, the compliance letter stated that the applicant would not be granted any further approvals or be allowed to continue developing the remaining 13 townhomes on Jessica Lane until an easement was recorded allowing the public to walk on the private roads to access the trails as originally intended.

In response to the May 2019 compliance letter, staff received a detailed letter (attached as 'Compliance Response Letter - June 2019') on June 26, 2019, from the applicant's attorney, Erika Nash, stating their difference of opinion. In summary, the response letter argued that all owners purchased their homes without such an access easement recorded and that only a written, recorded legal instrument can create a property right, such as a public access easement across a property. In addition, to record such an easement now would require the (unlikely) approval and signature of all property owners within the Homeowner's Association (HOA) and that such a result would force them to accept unwanted liability for injuries on their property. The response letter also contends that the original developer and approvals were never intended to allow the public to walk across the private roads to access trails, but rather were intended to allow the public to cross through the site by means of trails only.

After reviewing the applicant's response letter, it was clear that obtaining an after-the-fact access easement would be met with strong legal resistance, so staff and the applicant held another meeting to discuss options for compliance. At the meeting the applicant conceptually proposed the idea of an alternative trail plan with trails that would not intersect any roads (except a minor crossing of the cul-de-sac on Pine Glades Drive). Instead, the trails would wrap around the roads and buildings and connect to the Snow King Trail system and would allow the neighbors and public to access the trails and cross the property but without walking on any roads (except the cul-de-sac). The applicant believed that this alternative trail plan would meet the original intent of the PUD.

Based on this meeting, staff sent a final compliance letter on September 6, 2019 (attached as ‘Compliance Final Letter – September 2019’) with two options for compliance: Option #1 allowed the applicant to comply with what staff felt was the original intent of the approved PUD and requiring the applicant to record an access easement over the Pines Glades roads, and Option #2 allowed the applicant to present an alternative trail plan that, while not providing an access easement to the roads, would still provide significant public trail access through the site in similar locations as originally approved and would include clear recorded public access trail easements to avoid future disputes. The applicant chose Option #2 and so has provided an alternative trail plan for the Town’s review (see analysis of proposed trail plan below).

Project Description


The applicant is proposing to amend the Pine Glades Development Plan to 1) modify the exterior materials on the existing duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive, 2) remove the in-road heating element from both phases, and 3) install an alternative public trail plan.

- **Duplex Material Change**


An amendment to the Pine Glades Development Plan was approved in 2017 (P17-045) which included architectural changes to roof pitches and exterior materials for all three duplexes within Phases I & II. The applicant is now seeking to make several small changes to only the duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive which include the use of stone as the main exterior material on the side elevations (east and west). The stone proposed on the side elevations would go from the base of the unit to the roof. Previous designs had stone about half way up the building which transitioned into horizontal wood siding and wood shingles. In addition, the stone chimney on the front (north) elevation would no longer continue to the ground, but would start on the second story. Windows on all elevations would be changed slightly with regard to size and location. Additional stone is also proposed on the ground level columns and the columns themselves would be widened.

The applicant is seeking these changes to reduce the maintenance costs associated with wood. In addition, because this development did not require review and approval by the Design Review Committee, the applicant finds that personalization of the units should not be of issue considering height is not increasing. Below reflects the 2017 approved changes and the proposed 2019 changes. It should be noted that the requested changes to the duplex listed above have already been made.

Currently Approved Duplex as of the 2017 Amendment:



Proposed 2019 Changes to Duplex:



- **Roadway Heating Element**

When the Pine Glades PUD and Development Plan were approved, an in-road heating element was approved for both Phase I & II. The Phase I heating element, which is installed, starts at the top of the tunnel and continues up Pine Glades Drive and ends just past the driveway entrance for the duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive. The Phase II heating element, which is not installed, would start at the entrance to Jessica Lane and continue west for approximately 100 feet.

The applicant is requesting that the in-road heating element for both phases be removed from the original approval because it was not an LDR requirement nor is it necessary to maintain safe road conditions. The applicant states that the heating element has been broken for three years and operating costs in one year have reached \$150,000.00. Allowing this element to be removed would relieve the HOA from having to pay high costs to fix and operate it. The applicant currently pays to have the private road plowed and sanded and no safety issues have arose since the heating elements broke. In addition, the applicant states that heating the road does not align with the Town's energy conservation objectives.

- **Alternative Trail Plan**


The applicant is proposing an alternative trail plan that includes three new sections of trails that do not require the use of the roads, except for the cul-de-sac at the end of Pine Glades Drive.

- The first new section of trail (below in red) starts at the cul-de-sac on Pine Glades Drive and switchbacks up the hill (south) and connects to Sink or Swim which is located on the USFS property. The total elevation from the cul-de-sac to the Sink or Swim connection is approximately 90 feet over a total horizontal distance of approximately 375 feet.
- The second section of trail (below in red) also starts at the cul-de-sac on Pine Glades Drive but switchbacks down the hill (north) and connects to the existing trail below Jessica Lane. The total elevation from the cul-de-sac to the lower trail connection is approximately 75 feet over a total horizontal distance of approximately 170 feet.
- The third section of trail (below in red) wraps around below the affordable duplex and connects the trail below Jessica Lane to the trail that starts at the top of the tunnel. The elevation drop to get around the duplex is approximately 35 feet over a horizontal distance of approximately 115 feet. The elevation to connect to the trail above the tunnel is approximately 15 feet over a horizontal distance of approximately 250 feet.


Note: the first section of trail was originally approved with the PUD but later removed and relocated. The second and third sections of trail are newly proposed and not part of a previous approval

As shown in the proposed trail section details, stone steps will be incorporated within each section due to the steep slopes and the trails will maintain a 10% average grade. Adjacent to the first section of trail, the applicant is proposing a landscape screen of trees to provide a buffer from the Phase I Pine Glades homes and the Pine Drive homes directly to the west. The applicant states that this plan meets the intention of the original developer by providing public trail access, mostly hiking and less-so mountain biking, through the site to connect to the Snow King Trail System. Although a signage plan has not been provided, the applicant intends to work with Town staff to ensure proper signage is erected and that easements are recorded against the property to ensure proper public access in perpetuity. These trails will be open year-round but only maintained during summer. Due to the steepness and use of stairs, these trails are built primarily for hikers, but portions could be used for bikers. Below are three trail plans: the Original Approved Plan, the Currently Approved Trail Plan after amendments, and the Proposed Alternative Trail Plan (larger versions are on the review packet).


Original Approved Trail Plan (green = approved):



Currently Approved Trails (red = removed, blue = approved/installed, black = installed/Phase II):



Proposed Alternative Trail Plan (red = proposed, blue = existing):



Staff Review

Amendment to Development Plan

This request is considered an amendment to the Development Plan due to the significance of the proposed changes, especially the trail plan. The PUD does not need to be amended because this change does not directly impact the PUD, which addresses primarily allowed uses and dimensional standards. As part of the restructured LDRs effective on January 1, 2015, new language was added regarding amendments to past permits or approvals. Section 8.2.13.B - Amendment of Permits or Approval states that:

“All changes to an approved physical development permit, use permit, or development option plan not qualifying as minor deviations shall be considered amendments and shall be reviewed subject to the current procedure and standards of these LDRs.”

As stated below under **Staff Findings**, staff was able to make all necessary findings, as conditioned, for a Development Plan.

Request 1: Exterior Architectural Changes

When the Pine Glades PUD and Development Plan were approved, the duplexes were designed to match the appearance of the triplex buildings. Although there were some minor architectural differences between the duplexes and triplexes, the common elements shared were the building materials of stone, horizontal wood siding and wood shingles. Based on the residential nature of the Pine Glades project, review and approval was not required by the Design Review Committee (DRC).

To understand the proposed changes it is necessary to first briefly explain the last amendment to the Development Plan in 2017 that approved exterior changes to the three duplexes, one nearly-completed duplex in Phase I (140/142 Pines Glades Drive), and two unbuilt duplexes in Phase II. The roofs and exterior materials for the three duplexes and the 140/142 Pines Glades Drive duplex were slightly modified and it was determined by the Council that the new design was in keeping with the character of the original design, especially because the building material types were not changing. However, instead of building the duplex to be consistent with the approved changes from 2017, the applicant deviated from that design and the approved building permit by replacing much of the wood siding with stone veneer during the duplex construction process (as shown in the current proposal). The applicant is thus requesting an after-the-fact approval. If this amendment is not approved, then the applicant would be required to remove the stone and comply with the past 2017 design approval.

Staff has no significant concerns with the proposed exterior changes. The additional stone is viewed as an upgrade and requires less maintenance than wood. In addition, the use of stone is common throughout the development and the applicant is not introducing a new material. No additional height or floor area is proposed. Staff has added a condition of approval requiring the applicant to submit an addendum to the duplex building permits to reflect the materials proposed in this application.

Removal of Heating Element

Staff has confirmed that the in-road heating element was not a requirement of the LDRs but rather a developer proposed amenity that was approved with the PUD and Development Plan. As such, it became a requirement of the project but can be removed through this amendment request at the Council's discretion. The heating element has, in fact, been broken for several years and so the roads have been maintained similar to any other hillside road via plowing and sanding. To date staff has not been made aware of any vehicular-

related safety issues as a result of the inoperable heating element nor has Fire or Public Works raised concerns about its removal. Because the road is private and plowed/sanded at the expense of the HOA, staff does not have any concerns about removing the heating element from the HOA obligations. Additionally, unnecessary energy consumption such as a heated roadway does not align with the Town of Jackson's values and objectives towards sustainable development.

Alternative Public Trail Plan

As explained above, the applicant has chosen to seek approval of an alternative trail plan under Option #2 as outlined in staff's final compliance letter sent in September 2019. Similar to the in-road heating element, because the original trail network was not an LDR requirement but rather an amenity negotiated as part of the PUD, the trail plan can be re-negotiated and legally changed through this amendment process.

In terms of the proposed trail plan itself, staff finds that, while it may provide fewer lineal feet of total trails, it will provide a similar level of connectivity to adjacent trails as the original trail network. The one significant caveat is that no public access is proposed for the private internal roads, except for the cul-de-sac to access the two western switchback trails. While some portions of the trail are on steep slopes, the proposed design is suitable for the conditions and comparable to other trails. For example, the proposed trail section leading up from the cul-de-sac was included in the original approval and maintains an average 10% slope. Although stone steps will be incorporated throughout the trail plan making it difficult for bicyclists, it will still serve as a multi-use summer trail system. Although not discussed in much, if any, detail in the original approval, the applicant is not proposing and is not required to maintain the trails for winter use. This makes sense given that the Sink or Swim trail is not maintained by the USFS in the winter so there is little reason to require a higher level of maintenance on the private trails that connect to Sink or Swim. Nevertheless, as with the USFS trails, it is staff's understanding that the Pine Glades trails can be used by trail users in the winter at their own option but they will not be maintained.

Teton County Pathways Department has reviewed the trail plan and provided conditional approval to this alignment pending input from the Friends of Pathways/USFS trail construction crew. Pathways provided specific comments related to the type of cuts made to the hillside to ensure trail and slope stability. Pathways also commented that applicant needs to maintain a clear path to all trail entrances during winter months to not block access for users even though the trails, including Sink or Swim, are not maintained during the winter. It is staff's understanding that the trails will be built by the applicant, and, potentially, private nonprofits or public agencies it seeks and succeeds in partnering with. The applicant will also be responsible for summer trail maintenance, also possibly in coordination with private nonprofits or public agencies. Staff has added a condition of approval requiring the applicant to satisfy all written concerns/requirements from Pathways.

The proposed trail plan was also sent for review to the USFS and staff received comments stating that they had no significant issues with the proposed trails. The comments (attached as 'USFS Review') were from 2011 but an email from Linda Merigliano (Recreation Wilderness program manager for Jackson Ranger District) confirmed that the approval in 2011 is still valid. Staff also confirmed with Pathways and the USFS that a 10% grade is typically the standard for trails on steep slopes which is what the applicant is proposing.

The applicant has not yet proposed any specific signage for the trails, but staff will work with the applicant to ensure that all trail signage is clear, accurate, and visible so that future disputes between Pines Glades residents and the general public can be avoided. Staff has added a condition of approval related to signage which includes the provision of signage requiring dogs to be leashed on trails within the Pine Glades property.

Another important issue concerns potential landscaping/screening on both sides of the first section of trail above the cul-de-sac. Staff is supportive of vegetative screening provided that the trees are approved by the

Fire Department. This property is located within the Wildland Urban Interface and typically the addition of trees (i.e. fuel) is not recommended unless they are of a specific species, properly spaced and irrigated. Pine Glades was required to provide a Fuels and Vegetation Mitigation plan which required the removal and pruning/thinning of hundreds of Douglas fir trees. Pine Glades was also required to plant over a hundred new fire-resistant tree species such as Aspen, Serviceberry and Snowberry in order to help screen the development. Staff has added a condition of approval requiring that the applicant work with the Fire and Planning Departments on an appropriate landscape screening plan for the first section of trail above the cul-de-sac that does not conflict with the Wildland Urban Interface code requirements.

At the Planning Commission meeting, staff brought up recent public comment from Jennifer Bragg (attached) who lives at the affordable duplex addressed at 45 Pine Glades Drive. Her comments expressed concerns over the closeness of the trail (Section #3) to her home as it relates to safety, privacy and noise. In response staff recommended that a 6th condition of approval be added requiring the applicant to revise Section #3 of trail to be as far from the duplex as possible and include some form of screening (i.e. trees, shrubs, fence, etc.).

After the Planning Commission meeting on January 15, the applicant and staff met in response to Ms. Bragg's comment. The applicant presented two alternative trail routes for Section #3:

1. The first alternative trail would stay to the south of (above) the duplex. Instead of the original design that goes down the hill and around the side and back of the duplex, this option would stay above the duplex and then hug the northern side of the surface parking on Pine Glades Drive and then connect finally to the trail at the top of the tunnel. This option would cross the front stairs of the duplex near the edge of Pine Glades Drive. Staff has not heard from the owners of the duplex on this design, as it was presented to staff on January 29. Staff prefers this alternative to the current proposal because it appears to better address Ms. Bragg's concerns regarding privacy.
2. The second alternative trail would improve an existing, but not well-maintained trail, that starts at the eastern end of Spruce Drive and connects over to Shade Monkey. This trail appears to already have an easement for public access. The applicant is proposing this option as a replacement for the lower trail below Jessica Lane trail. Staff is not supportive of this alternative because it would provide less convenient and less safe access to the neighbors on Pine Drive and Wister Avenue than the currently proposed lower trail.

Pathways Director Brian Schilling provided the following comments which are attached in the department reviews:

While the revised trail provides essentially the same connectivity (start and end points) and I feel it meets the intent of the trail connection, it's not as nice a trail route for the users as it will be butt up against a retaining wall for much of the route and a 5' attached sidewalk for the rest, neither of which is a great trail design. The new route is a little more direct and has fewer stairs (although essentially the same overall vertical drop and gain), but overall the original route was a better design. So, I feel it's acceptable but a step down from the originally proposed route.

Also, during the meeting with the applicant, staff offered another option for Section #3 if the applicant would be agreeable to the alignment. Under this option, the trail would avoid the affordable duplex entirely by crossing Pine Glades Drive at the stair access at the junction of Pine Glades Drive and Jessica Lane and then continue up the hillside between the affordable townhomes and the new market duplex to the Shade Monkey trail. This trail would be similar in intent to the S1 trail (adjacent to duplex at 140/142 Pine Glades Drive) that was removed in 2014 through a Minor Deviation. Staff acknowledges that would require the applicant to voluntarily provide a public access easement to cross Pines Glade Drive. And while we know the applicant has thus far stated that they oppose providing any public access to the development's private roads, staff believes that providing this limited access near the entrance to the tunnel would not significantly impact the

owners or create any obvious conflicts. It would also avoid an expensive and awkward trail design as proposed by the applicant for Section #3.

In conclusion, staff finds that the alternative trail plan generally accomplishes the intent of the original Development Plan/PUD approval by completing an important project obligation from the 2007 approval. The benefits of the alternative trail plan are a significant public trail network with legally guaranteed recorded access easements. If this trail plan is not approved, the Town would likely continue to pursue enforcement action to achieve the Town's compliance goals as stated in Option #1.

Future Applications

There are a few remaining applications required by the applicant to complete the Pine Glades PUD. Below are the remaining required applications:

1. **Development Agreement (DA):** a DA is required for Phase II (Jessica Lane) based upon the original Subdivision Improvement Agreement (SIA) and needs Town Council approval. The DA is related to improvements on Jessica Lane (i.e. road, utilities, trail, etc.). However the applicant cannot apply for the DA until the issue regarding trails and public access is resolved either through this application or through enforcement.
2. **Grading Permit:** A Grading Permit is required for the proposed work on Jessica Lane related to the road, building envelopes, multi-use trail and utilities.
3. **Building Permit:** Building Permits are required for each of the remaining 13 market townhome units.
4. **Subdivision Plat:** a Subdivision Plat is required to subdivide the remaining 13 townhomes for individual ownership as originally contemplated.

Staff Findings

General Comment: Findings should only apply to changes, not original Development Plan

Development Plan. All Development Plan proposals may be approved only if all of the following findings are made:

1. *The proposed project is consistent with the desired future character described for the site in the Jackson/Teton County Comprehensive Plan.*

The proposed application is located in Character District #6 Town Periphery:

This residential, STABLE Subarea is defined by low to medium density platted single family homes with some pockets of multifamily development which should be maintained in the future. Consideration of clustered/multifamily development to preserve large portions of open space and/or wildlife habitat/movement corridors will also remain an option. In the future, building size should maintain the existing bulk and scale to avoid the construction of much larger homes that currently exist today. Development should be sensitive to the steep slopes, avalanche terrain and other natural features found in the subarea. Portions of this subarea also function as a wildlife movement corridor between the National Forest, Karns Meadow and the Southern hillsides of East Gros Ventre Butte. Wildlife permeability should be maintained or improved.

Complies. Staff finds that the project is consistent with the vision for subarea 6.1 as described above, specifically as the amendment does not increase the existing density which is considered to be low to medium. Building size and bulk are also not changing from what was previously approved. Additionally, wildlife permeability was considered into the original design to help function as a

wildlife corridor between the listed areas above (i.e. National Forest, Karns Meadow and Southern hillsides of East Gros Ventre Butte). The proposed amendments do not significantly impact such corridors. Staff also finds that the project is generally consistent with all other applicable provisions of the Comp Plan, and as such finds that it achieves the level of consistency necessary for FDP approval.

In addition, staff finds that the application should be reviewed for consistency specifically with Subarea 6.1 Low to Medium Density Neighborhoods which states as follows as the desired vision for the subarea:

Common Value 1: Ecosystem Stewardship

Policy 1.1.c: Design for wildlife permeability

Complies. The subject property is not located within the Natural Resource Overlay (NRO) or Scenic Resource Overlay (SRO), but an Environmental Analysis (EA) was done in 2005 which concluded that the site provides non-crucial habitat for deer, moose and elk. The EA also contemplated the original approved trails and future trail connections above and beyond what was shown. Considering that approximately one mile of trails were approved with the original design, staff finds that the proposed trail system will not have a significant negative impact on wildlife permeability.

Policy 1.3.b: Maintain expansive hillside and foreground vistas

Complies. Staff finds that the project as previously approved maintains expansive hillside and foreground vistas through its design. The proposed amendment does not impact additional hillside disturbance beyond what was originally contemplated.

Common Value 2: Growth Management

Policy 4.3.a: Preserve and enhance stable subareas

Complies. Staff finds that because the density and bedroom count will remain the same, the proposed project does preserve and enhance stable subareas.

Policy 4.4.d: Enhance natural features in the built environment

Complies. Although the proposed trail plan will disturb hillside areas not originally contemplated (i.e. below the cul-de-sac and around/below the duplex), the proposed amendment is still less overall hillside disturbance than the original one-mile of trails. Staff finds that the amendment has no significant impact in regards to this area.

Common Value 3: Quality of Life

Policy 5.3.b: Preserve existing workforce housing stock

Complies. All nine (9) deed restricted units will not be affected by this proposal. In fact the removal of the heating element will ensure that any high maintenance and/or operating costs associated with the heating elements will not be passed onto the affordable and workforce restricted units.

2. *The proposed project achieves the standards and objective of the Natural Resource Overlay (NRO) and Scenic Resources Overlay (SRO).*

Not applicable.

3. *The proposed project does not have a have a significant impact on public facilities and services, including transportation, portable water and wastewater facilities, parks, schools, police, fire, and EMS facilities.*

Complies. Staff finds that the proposed project will not have significant impacts on public facilities and services. Furthermore, as part of the original approval, significant off-site infrastructure improvements were completed to serve the site's needs and help counter any significant impacts on public facilities and services.

4. *The proposed project complies with the Town of Jackson Design Guidelines, if applicable.*

Not applicable.

5. *The proposed project complies with all relevant standards of these LDRs and other Town Ordinances.*

Complies. As conditioned, staff finds that the proposed project complies with the standards of these LDRs as the request meets all requirements such as FAR, LSR, setbacks, height, etc. In addition the project is in compliance with all other Town Ordinances.

6. *The proposed project is in substantial conformance with all standards or conditions of any prior applicable permits or approvals.*

Complies. As conditioned, staff finds that the proposed project will bring the previously approved Sketch Plan, PUD and Development Plan into substantial conformance.

ATTACHMENTS

Supplemental Narrative and Section #3 Alternative Trail Plans
New Public Comment
Comment from Pathways Director

STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS

The primary stakeholders are the Pine Glades residents and owners and the general public who serve to benefit from the trail access through the private development.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Staff has received written and verbal comment on this proposal, all of which is related to the proposed trail plan. Generally speaking, all of the written comments are from residents on Pine Drive who strongly oppose the proposed trail plan and contest that it does not line up with the promises of the original developer to provide access through the roads and that this access should now be granted through an easement benefitting the public. Other comments expressed concern over the steepness of the proposed trails and their inability to accommodate mountain biking. Additionally, concerns were expressed that the proposed trails will no longer allow year-round access because some people walk on the road during winter to access other trails such as Sink or Swim which are not maintained in the winter but are frequently used by locals.

Staff has spoken to two property owners on Wister Avenue (435 & 410 Wister Avenue who do not have any strong opposition to the proposal but hope that trail access through the site will continue to be allowed. The

property owner at 410 Wister Avenue expressed concerns about the location of the trail which switchbacks down from the cul-de-sac and its impact on existing Spruce trees that provide screening from the Pine Glades development. That owner would like to see those trees remain if possible. Staff has added a condition to this effect.

Staff has also spoken to the two duplex owners located at 45 Pine Glades Drive. The proposed trail wraps around their building and passes close to their homes and through their yards. Both owners claimed that they own the common land surrounding their building, however, staff has not been provided with any supporting documents. After reviewing the plat, staff confirmed that the area surrounding their duplex building is Common Lot H which is the general common area for the HOA and appears to belong to the HOA.

Staff has received a letter (attached) from 10 homeowners within Pine Glades expressing their support for the proposed amendments, specifically the original trail plan presented by the applicant. The letter goes on to explain their concerns for granted public access after-the-fact, liability and other concerns.

FISCAL IMPACT

There will be no significant fiscal impact to the Town if the proposed project is approved. However, if the request is not approved, then there is the potential for significant additional costs related to increased staff time to enforce and defend our desired compliance outcome through Option #1.

STAFF IMPACT

Staff has spent a significant amount of time, more than a typical project of this size, meeting with the applicant, coordinating with other departments, researching past approvals for Pine Glades, drafting enforcement letters, and drafting this report.

LEGAL REVIEW

Ongoing as needed.

RECOMMENDATIONS/ CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

The Planning Director recommends **approval** of P19-262 to amend a Development Plan to allow the proposed modifications listed in the application related to exterior materials changes, the in-road heating element, and the trails plan, subject to the departmental reviews attached hereto and the following conditions of approval:

1. Prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy on the duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive, the applicant shall apply for an addendum to the duplex building permits to revise all exterior elevations to reflect the approved materials and changes.
2. By no later than September 1, 2020, all trails and signage shall be installed and satisfactorily inspected. In addition, by no later than September 1, 2020 the applicant shall record public access easements for the approved trails to the satisfaction of the Planning Director, Pathways Director, and Town Attorney. Signage shall include a requirement for a dog leash requirement at all trail entrances and exists.
3. Prior to September 1, 2020, the applicant shall work with the Fire Department and Planning Department on an appropriate landscape screening plan for the first section of trail above the cul-de-sac that does not conflict with the Wildland Urban Interface code requirements.

4. The applicant shall satisfactorily address all concerns from the Pathways Director related to technical or engineering suggestions for the trails. Comments related to neighbor approval or unspecified ongoing concerns are not the responsibility of the applicant.
5. The applicant shall make all efforts to not disturb mature Spruce trees in the section of the trail below the cul-de-sac. Any necessary removal of trees will require new trees to be planted to ensure adequate screening is maintained. All new trees will require approval from the Fire Department and Planning Department.
6. The alternative trail route for Section #3 that goes above the duplex and hugs the parking area on Pines Glades Drive shall be the approved trail alignment.

SUGGESTED MOTIONS

Based upon the findings for a Development Plan as presented in the staff report related to 1) Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan; 2) Achieves purpose of NRO & SRO overlays; 3) Impact of public facilities & services; 4) Complies with Town Design Guidelines; 5) Compliance with LDRs & Town Ordinances; 6) Conformance with past permits & approvals as presented by the applicant and staff for Item P19-262, I move to recommend **approval** to the Town Council to amend a Development to allow the proposed modifications listed in the application related to exterior materials changes, the in-road heating element, and the trails plan, subject to the departmental reviews attached hereto and the following conditions of approval:

1. Prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy on the duplex at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive, the applicant shall apply for an addendum to the duplex building permits to revise all exterior elevations to reflect the approved materials and changes.
2. By no later than September 1, 2020, all trails and signage shall be installed and satisfactorily inspected. In addition, by no later than September 1, 2020 the applicant shall record public access easements for the approved trails to the satisfaction of the Planning Director, Pathways Director, and Town Attorney. Signage shall include a requirement for a dog leash requirement at all trail entrances and exists.
3. Prior to September 1, 2020, the applicant shall work with the Fire Department and Planning Department on an appropriate landscape screening plan for the first section of trail above the cul-de-sac that does not conflict with the Wildland Urban Interface code requirements.
4. The applicant shall satisfactorily address all concerns from the Pathways Director related to technical or engineering suggestions for the trails. Comments related to neighbor approval or unspecified ongoing concerns are not the responsibility of the applicant.
5. The applicant shall make all efforts to not disturb mature Spruce trees in the section of the trail below the cul-de-sac. Any necessary removal of trees will require new trees to be planted to ensure adequate screening is maintained. All new trees will require approval from the Fire Department and Planning Department.
6. The alternative trail route for Section #3 that goes above the duplex and hugs the parking area on Pines Glades Drive shall be the approved trail alignment.

COLLINS PLANNING

• A S S O C I A T E S •

MEMO

To: Tyler Valentine
Fr: Bill Collins
Re: Pine Glades Trail Plan
Date: January 30, 2020

Please see attached two alternative alignments to the lower trail in Pine Glades. The currently proposed trail plan contains a lower trail that extends from Wister Avenue to the eastern portion of Pine Glades where it turns downhill adjacent to the existing duplex at 45 Pine Glades Drive. These two alternatives respond to public comment that has been received and a suggested condition from the planning staff that pertain to the current alignment.


One attached alternative avoids the downhill turn adjacent to the duplex and continues the trail along the side of Pine Glades Drive to where it reaches an existing parking lot, and then the trail wraps around the parking and continues east. Attached are a plan view showing this alignment and a cross-section depicting how the alternative relates to the retaining wall at the head of the parking lot.

The second attached alternative redevelops a former trail that extends from the end of Spruce Drive eastward to the existing trail on Snow King. This alternative would replace the lower trail that runs near the duplex. This extension of Spruce Drive would be in an existing utility easement.

Also attached are two additional exhibits that depict the three-dimensional private road easement that crosses each individual townhouse lot, under the overhang of each building. These exhibits and the accompanying photo are for informational purposes; they illustrate the tight spaces under the building overhangs and in the travel way in front of the townhouses.

Attachments:

	Description
19020-278-1DWG-C3-NORTHEAST	Alternative trail adjacent to road and parking area
19020-278-1DWG-C3-Construction detail	Elevation view/detail of trail above
SpruceDrive_access_easement_plat1285	Detail from Plat 1285 showing easement from Spruce Drive to Snow King
PrivateRd_012920	Exhibit showing platted 3-D private road access easement passing through a townhome
A302	Architectural elevation view of typical townhome showing private road



HILL LOTS

NO. 124

C K 5

-3-

S P R U C E D R I V E

20 FOOT-WIDE EASEMENT
54P528, 54P529 &
54P530, 87P230,
120P316, 174P66

CENTERLINE OF
10 FOOT-WIDE
EASEMENT
88P414

LOT G
1138 SQ.FT.

LCE
D-G

LCE
P-F

LCE
P-G

LCE-P-F

TO BE VACATED
BY THIS PLAT

RESERVED FOR
FUTURE
CONDOMINIUM
SUBDIVISION

LOT F
RESERVED FOR FUTURE
CONDOMINIUM SUBDIVISION

G.C.E. - ROADWAY

PINE GLADES DRIVE

TOWN OF JACKSON

NS1/64

WENDNAGEL STEEL

570P356

E W I N G
456P722

CENTERLINE OF
15 FOOT-WIDE
ACCESS EASEMENT
706P518-531

N 00°03'44" E
39.93'

N 00°08'31" E
39.94' (REC. MAP T-56C)

S 89°58'49" E 154.93'
N 89°59'41" E 154.90'

CENTERLINE OF 30'
WIDE UTILITY EASEMENT
HEREBY GRANTED TO
THE TOWN OF JACKSON

99.75'

N 89°57'29" E
100.00' (REC. PLAT 124)
N 00°09'51" E 150.73'

150.00' (REC. PLAT NO. 124)

S 89°52'29" E 99.88'
S 89°55'06" E 99.84'
(REC. MAP T-56-C)

-7-


-8-

-9-

21

DETAIL

THREE-DIMENSIONAL PRIVATE ROAD ACCESS EASEMENT ACROSS LOTS 1-14 & A-E



155 West Gill Ave.
P.O. Box 12290
Jackson, WY 83002
(307) 734-6131

EXHIBIT
SHOWING
PRIVATE ROAD ACCESS EASEMENT
ACROSS
PINE GLADES TOWNHOMES PHASE ONE
ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON
PLAT NO. 1285

MECHANICAL & STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING:

CTA ARCHITECTS/ENGINEERS
13 NORTH 23rd
BILLINGS, MONTANA 59101
PHONE: 406-248-7455
FAX: 406-248-3779

**PINE GLADES P.U.D.
CONDOMINIUM BUILDINGS**


Pine Glades Development, LLC
P.O. Box 11870
Jackson, Wyoming 83002
(307) 734-5245 / www.pineglades.com

2 & 3
UNIT BLDGS

DATE: 07/11/08
 REVISIONS: _____

 JOB NO: _____
 CAD FILE: _____
 DRAWN BY: _____
 CHECKED BY: _____

SHEET NUMBER
A-302
BUILDING
SECTIONS



Dear Madam and Sirs,

As concerned citizens, as good faith property owners in the Pine Glades subdivision and as residents of the Town of Jackson, we want to go on record with regard to the issues on the Application for Amendment of the Pine Glades Development Plan, item P19-262. We respectfully file these comments and appreciate the efforts by all members of the Town Planning staff and Commission to address these issues.

1. Overview of Support for Application: We want to be very clear that we, the undersigned homeowners of Pine Glades, support all three of the provisions in the application for Amendment. Given the broad acceptance of two of the items regarding a heated street and the stone additions to the new units, we will focus our discussion on the Application relating to the proposed hiking trails.

A. Overall Position of Undersigned Homeowners: To be 100% clear, while the trails are not perfect for homeowners in Pine Glades development, it is a compromise that is supported by all the undersigned homeowners living on Pine Glades Drive. We believe that substantial effort and cost has and will go into the development of the trails as proposed in the application to the benefit of all residents of Jackson who chose to avail themselves of this opportunity.

B. Trail design: The trail design accomplishes many objectives. It provides ways to access Snow King Mountain. The trails come in close proximity to the homes within the development but are mostly reasonable compromises. The western-most trail is steep as Snow King Mountain is steep. It is not unreasonably so, and in many ways, seems to mirror the steepness of other portions of trails on Snow King. Of course, it will have snow during most winter seasons. Most trails have snow in the winter. This will be no exception and should be well known by residents of Jackson. While most residents believe the proposed trails are a reasonable compromise, however, with regard to the proposed north hiking trail, it does come very close to two condominium units. We would support other viable options to the proposed north hiking trail that eliminates these proximity concerns and understand that the applicant may have already come up with an option to address this matter. In short, the trail design is not perfect from the perspective of the development homeowners but it is demonstrably a very reasonable compromise if the proposed north hiking trail can be modified to effectively address these proximity concerns.

C. Broad Public Easement to Pine Glades Drive: We note at the outset that much of the public comments only tangentially discussed the trail compromise being proposed in the application. Rather, the focus of most commenters opposed to the Application simply turned to the issue of unfettered access to the improved parts of Pine Glades Drive and the private land on Pine Glades. The record should be clear that this, while related, is a very different issue than the question of whether the trail meets the developer's written obligations and is a reasonable compromise to provide trail access. There was never any assumption that the ONLY trail design was one which gives unfettered access to the now improved, paved, snow plowed, well lit from dusk to dawn year round and a well maintained Pine Glades Drive (all of which is paid solely by Pine Glades property owners). Nonetheless, we the homeowners feel the need to address this issue even though it is NOT, nor should it be, part of the consideration for P19-262.

2. Public Record: With regard to unfettered access through a new, previously undocumented public easement through the Pine Glades development, the fact is that the only record of making this public was oral comments in 2007 timeframe. These oral comments were made by the original developer which were NEVER to our knowledge repeated, codified, recorded or confirmed in writing, acted upon in negotiation with the developer, or in any other way materialized to be added to the public records for the Town of Jackson or Teton County. This is a simple fact which, however unfortunate, all the parties must accept. At this very late date, it would be a VERY material change some thirteen years after oral conversations to impose an entirely new right easement for access onto the Pine Glades development and over the land of the good faith purchasers of property. We could go into much greater discussion of the absence of any public access easement but the planning and zoning staff understands this issue in great detail.

3. Practical and design considerations: In addition to the absence of public record supporting public access, there are strong reasons why public access is simply not feasible EVEN had it been authorized:

A. Safety. The project design obviously was not built with the idea of full public use given homeowner's safety. The overhang means that owners are stepping into a canopy road, or active bike, automobile, motorcycle, skateboard path when they step right outside their front door. There is demonstrated "adolescent" (of all ages) uses of the

pillars on our homes as a make-shift bike and skateboard slalom courses that endangers both the participant and the homeowners.

B. Liability: As private land, there is a presumption of culpability if someone is injured or killed on our property. Will the City grant the Pine Glades residents a complete indemnifications of attorney's fees, court costs, and damages as a result of injuries incurred on the development? Of course it will not. Might people use theories of architectural design, attractive nuisance, negligence in maintenance and the panoply of other arguments to find liability of the home owners? Of course they will if someone is injured or killed. It is not reasonable, years after all conditions were duly negotiated and agreed upon, and the design of the homes approved, and the written assurances that it would be PRIVATE, to impose this huge new liability on private property owners. Other private property owners, including those on the large lots on prominent neighborhoods with sizeable buffer zones between their homes and the road, have the unfettered ability to keep trespassers off their property thereby limiting liability. We have had and should continue to have the same right.

C. Quiet enjoyment of private property: A fundamental tenet of private property is the right to enjoy the property with unnecessary noise. The area must be kept private as the approved design of the units is such that people can stand directly underneath our balconies and under our living rooms. If unfettered public access is permitted, this can and will continue to happen at all hours of the day and night. Unlike some neighbors on other neighboring properties, we have no offsets or buffer on our property. The large lots do not have this concern given the distance from the public road. There is no ability to cure this issue for the existing good faith purchasers in the Pine Glades development.

D. Issues of failure to curb pets: There is a known history and well documented pet abuses that occur. Of course, most pet owners are conscientious about managing their pets, but the ones that are not have made an impact on the residents of Pine Glades.

4. Alleged Developer Transgressions: A number of the commenters outside of our community seemed to focus on alleged transgressions (e.g., topping of some trees, unauthorized landscaping, etc.) by the current owner of the development. We are, however, homeowners in the development, and are good faith purchasers of our homes. We are not the developer nor do we share in his potential rewards or financial exposure. If the developer has taken inappropriate actions, the City

has remedies which they can impose upon him WITHOUT unnecessarily penalizing good faith purchasers who wish to live in harmony under the terms and condition in effect when they purchased their homes.

5. Alleged "Elitism": In addition, a number of the external public comments appear to irrationally and inappropriately accuse the residents of the Pine Glades development of elitism. We are of course offended. We can only surmise that such offensive accusations are made based on frustrations largely born from the fundamental weakness of opponents position (total lack of documentation, good faith homeowner purchaser property rights, etc.) to achieve access to our property.

6. Alleged Recriminations of "un-Jackson like" behavior by Pine Glades residents: There is a huge irony in one of the commenters largely chastising the owners in Pine Glades for effectively not giving to others that which we have paid for (i.e., access to our private property). She does this while simultaneously chastising the Pine Glades home owners for not embodying the "spirit of Jackson." We are again offended by such recriminations when we only seek that which other private property owners have in Jackson (i.e., the ability to enjoy their private property). This person demanded that the zoning and planning commission to (paraphrasing) "just use the leverage that the City has and deny everything (without regard to ANY merit on the other two conditions) and make them give us what we want. Don't be a foolish City, just demand it!" So, this is the type of behavior she would have the City of Jackson adopt and be known for? To have no regard for the written, long established public record of negotiations, written reports, permits granted? No regard for good faith purchasers when you have "leverage" and can change the terms of the long standing public record? No regard for the property rights of bona fide good faith purchasers? The great irony of course is that this is NOT what Jackson stands for nor should it ever stand for.

7. Eminent Domain: The City and County of course enjoy the option of appropriating land under eminent domain for appropriate public uses consistent with the Wyoming Constitution and implementing laws and regulations. This of course is an entirely different proceeding than the present one and involves appropriate compensation for such taking of private property. While we see no such need given the developers proposed trail system and access it will provide, we the homeowners in the Pine Glades development will be obligated to have such discussions with the City under the rules and regulations that govern such

matters. We strongly believe however that it should not come to this given the detailed written record and the reasonable trail proposal in the application of the developer.

Thank you for the ongoing efforts and for our ability to respectfully submit our views. We are happy to provide such additional comments as the planning and zoning commission may request.

Respectfully,

Pine Glades Undersigned Homeowners:

<u>Alex Good & Darrylynn Kaun</u>	<u>260 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>James & Lucy Wong</u>	<u>242 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>Charles & Tory Nagle</u>	<u>160 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>Linda Damiano</u>	<u>164 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>Tony Hartl</u>	<u>262 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>Susan Oremus</u>	<u>224 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>Bryan Shannon Mills</u>	<u>220 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>Doug & Paige Lee Schrier</u>	<u>240 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>Bill & Stephanie Binch</u>	<u>244 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>
<u>Jeff Hussey</u>	<u>220 Pine Glades Dr., Jackson, WY</u>

PLANNING

Project Number P19-262 **Applied** 11/14/2019 **STOL**
Project Name Dev Plan Amend - 140 & 142 Pine Glades Dr **Approved**
Type DEVPLAN **Closed**
Subtype AMENDMENT **Expired**
Status STAFF REVIEW **Status**
Applicant Collings Planning Associates **Owner** PINE CANYON, LLC
Site Address **City** **State** **Zip**
 140 Pine Glades Drive Jackson WY 83001
Subdivision **Parcel No** **General Plan**
 22411633438022

Type of Review	Status	Dates			Remarks
		Sent	Due	Received	
Contact Notes Building Kelly Sluder	APPROVED	11/14/2019	12/5/2019	11/15/2019	
Fire Kathy Clay Originally, this entire area was to be irrigated. Irrigated fuels - conifers, aspens, and other plants - have a much lower chance of burning in a wildland fire scenario than those fuels which are not irrigated. I am very concerned to read "phase two is exceeding the planting requirements." As long as this is irrigated planting, there are no concerns. Without irrigation, however, these homes positioned within the wildland urban interface are certainly at a higher risk if the environment is filled with low fuel moisture content conifers.	APPROVED W/CONDITIONS	11/14/2019	12/5/2019	11/18/2019	please see comments
Joint Housing Dept Stacy Stoker (1/10/2020 10:00 AM SAS) The Housing Department's initial concern was who owned the land containing the trails going by the restricted units. Upon review of the plat, it appears that the land is owned as common area by the Homeowner's Association collectively and not by the restricted units' owners. If this is the case, the Housing Department has no concern.	APPROVED	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	1/10/2020	please see comments
Legal Lea Colasuonno (12/6/2019 2:59 PM LC) Initial legal review complete and will continue to monitor compliance with original conditions and appropriateness in light of the LDRs of amendments thereto.	APPROVED W/CONDITIONS	11/14/2019	12/5/2019	12/6/2019	
Parks and Rec Steve Ashworth (11/15/2019 1:29 PM STOL) Comments: •Would request clarification regarding maintenance responsibility of the proposed "trail system" if approved. •There is a reference to "constructing the trails and recording easements that will allow public access across the property." What type of easement?	APPROVED W/CONDITIONS	11/14/2019	12/5/2019	11/15/2019	
Andy Erskine, CPSI					

Type of Review Contact Notes	Status	Dates			Remarks
		Sent	Due	Received	
Pathways Brian Schilling (12/12/2019 11:20 AM STOL) P19-262 – 140 and 142 Pine Glades - Amendment to Development Plan Comments from Teton County/TOJ Pathways Department Status: approved w/conditions	APPROVED W/CONDITI	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	12/12/2019	
•The applicant has proposed a trail plan that connects from Pine Dr. to Shade Monkey by going up the hillside at the far west end of the development. Staff has provided conditional approval to this alignment pending input from the FOP/USFS trail construction crew. •Access to the trailheads shall be maintained year-round (i.e. no piling of snow or other obstacles in front of the trail access points to prevent or discourage use). A clear path to the trail access points shall be maintained. •To the maximum extent possible, trail construction shall use the cut and bench method similar to Detail B-B on Sheet C.1 rather than the fill type of construction shown in Detail C-C on Sheet C.2. This will result in a more durable and sustainable trail. •All of the proposed trail connections are located on steep hillsides that will require steps and/or stairs and tight switchbacks. This effectively renders these connections as “hike only” trails which will not be accessible for bicycle travel. Staff has ongoing concerns about the steep grades and stairs/steps and the impact on accessibility, safety, and sustainability.					
January 31, 2019 comments in response to alternative trail plan					
•While the revised trail provides essentially the same connectivity (start and end points) and I feel it meets the intent of the trail connection, it’s not as nice a trail route for the users as it will be butt up against a retaining wall for much of the route and a 5’ attached sidewalk for the rest, neither of which is a great trail design. The new route is a little more direct and has fewer stairs (although essentially the same overall vertical drop and gain), but overall the original route was a better design. So, I feel it’s acceptable but a step down from the originally proposed route.					
Planning Tyler Valentine (1/10/2020 9:42 AM TV) Please see staff report	APPROVED W/CONDITI	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	1/10/2020	
Police Todd Smith (12/9/2019 8:39 AM STOL) Great to see a commitment to get the hiking trails reestablished by the applicant. It is not uncommon for the police to received complaints regarding this issue from the public wanting access.	APPROVED W/CONDITI	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	12/9/2019	
No additional concerns.					
Todd					
Public Works Brian Lenz	APPROVED W/CONDITI	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	1/10/2020	

Type of Review	Status	Dates			Remarks
		Sent	Due	Received	
Contact Notes (1/10/2020 10:44 AM BTL) Development Plan Review – APPROVED P19-262 OWNER: Pine Canyon LLC APPLICANT: Collins Planning Associates; Bill Collins Pine Glades PUD DATE: January 10, 2020 Brian Lenz, 733-3079 DATE OF SUBMITTAL: November 11, 2019 DATE OF MATERIALS: Project Status, 10/7/2019; Plans 11/7/2019 REVISION NO.: 00 The engineering division has reviewed your application for an Amendment to the DEVELOPMENT PLAN for the Pine Glades PUD submitted on and with application materials as dated above. CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL REQUEST 1: Material Change to Exterior of Duplex S-1 that is still under construction. 1. No Comment REQUEST 2: Trail Network Approval 1. A grading permit is required prior to construction of the proposed trail network. 2. Proposed rock retaining walls over four feet in height must be engineered. Any walls over 6-feet high shall include calculations and factors of safety for sliding, overturning, and bearing capacity. 3. Developer shall provide a cost estimate for the construction of the trails and a financial assurance of 125% of the cost to complete the improvements will be required for completion of the proposed trail network. 4. Proposed signage guiding the public to the proposed trails access shall be included with future grading or building permit submittals. Comments that are not conditions of approval: 1. Past discussion with the applicant indicated that a grading permit would not be required but based on the conceptual designs shown with stairs and proposed retaining walls a grading pre-application meeting and grading permit are warranted. 2. The proposed trail network involves extensive use of stairs and retaining structures. Prior to finalizing the easement provide clarification on the party responsible for the ongoing cost to maintain and repair the proposed structures. 3. In past discussions with the applicant, the tunnel easement provides for a private roadway and does not allow for public vehicular traffic. Please clarify if it does allow for non-motorized and/or pedestrian use by the public. If so, signage to that affect should also be installed at the entrances to the tunnel. CLARIFICATION 1: In road heating element 1. The heating element is not a requirement of public works. There are similar short sections of public road in town, examples include South Cache St., South Millward, 595 Pine Drive, with similar grades (approximately 14%) that are maintained with plowing and sanding. Public Works finds this request reasonable. START Darren Brugmann		11/14/2019	12/5/2019		
.....					



PLANNING PERMIT APPLICATION
Planning & Building Department

150 E Pearl Ave. | ph: (307) 733-0440
P.O. Box 1687 | www.townofjackson.com
Jackson, WY 83001

For Office Use Only

Fees Paid _____ Date & Time Received _____
Application #s _____

Please note: Applications received after 3 PM will be processed the next business day.

PROJECT.

Name/Description: Pine Glades amendment
Physical Address: 140, 142 Pine Glades Drive
Lot, Subdivision: Lots 13, 14, H Pine Glades Townhomes PIDN: 22-41-16-33-4-38-008

PROPERTY OWNER.

Name: Pine Canyon, LLC Phone: 690-4436
Mailing Address: PO Box 4741; Jackson, WY ZIP: 83001
E-mail: collinsplanning@bresnan.net

APPLICANT/AGENT.

Name: Collins Planning Associates Phone: 690-4436
Mailing Address: PO Box 7441; Jackson, WY 83002 ZIP: 83002
E-mail: collinsplanning@bresnan.net

DESIGNATED PRIMARY CONTACT.

_____ Property Owner ☒ Applicant/Agent

TYPE OF APPLICATION. Please check all that apply; review the type of application at www.townofjackson/200/Planning

Use Permit

_____ Basic Use
_____ Conditional Use
_____ Special Use

Relief from the LDRs

_____ Administrative Adjustment
_____ Variance
_____ Beneficial Use Determination
_____ Appeal of an Admin. Decision

Physical Development

_____ Sketch Plan
☒ amd Development Plan
_____ Design Review

Subdivision/Development Option

_____ Subdivision Plat
_____ Boundary Adjustment (replat)
_____ Boundary Adjustment (no plat)
_____ Development Option Plan

Interpretations

_____ Formal Interpretation
_____ Zoning Compliance Verification

Amendments to the LDRs

_____ LDR Text Amendment
_____ Map Amendment

Miscellaneous

_____ Other: _____
_____ Environmental Analysis

PRE-SUBMITTAL STEPS. To see if pre-submittal steps apply to you, go to www.townofjackson.com/200/Planning and select the relevant application type for requirements. Please submit all required pre-submittal steps with application.

Pre-application Conference #: P18 - 250 Environmental Analysis #: n/a
Original Permit #: P07 - 126 & 127 Date of Neighborhood Meeting: _____

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS. Please ensure all submittal requirements are included. The Planning Department will not hold or process incomplete applications. Partial or incomplete applications will be returned to the applicant. Go to www.townofjackson.com/200/Planning and select the relevant application type for submittal requirements.

Have you attached the following?

- X **Application Fee.** Fees are cumulative. Go to www.townofjackson.com/200/Planning and select the relevant application type for the fees.
- X **Notarized Letter of Authorization.** A notarized letter of consent from the landowner is required if the applicant is not the owner, or if an agent is applying on behalf of the landowner. Please see the Letter of Authorization template at www.townofjackson.com/DocumentCenter/View/102/Town-Fee-Schedule-PDF.
- X **Response to Submittal Requirements.** The submittal requirements can be found on the TOJ website for the specific application. If a pre-application conference is required, the submittal requirements will be provided to applicant at the conference. The submittal requirements are at www.townofjackson.com/200/Planning under the relevant application type.

Note: Information provided by the applicant or other review agencies during the planning process may identify other requirements that were not evident at the time of application submittal or a Pre-Application Conference, if held. Staff may request additional materials during review as needed to determine compliance with the LDRs.

Under penalty of perjury, I hereby certify that I have read this application and associated checklists and state that, to the best of my knowledge, all information submitted in this request is true and correct. I agree to comply with all county and state laws relating to the subject matter of this application, and hereby authorize representatives of Teton County to enter upon the above-mentioned property during normal business hours, after making a reasonable effort to contact the owner/applicant prior to entering.

signature on hard copy

Signature of Property Owner or Authorized Applicant/Agent

Bill Collins

Name Printed

October 7, 2019

Date

Agent

Title

LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION

Pine Canyon, LLC

, "Owner" whose address is: P.O. Box 4741

Jackson, WY 83001

(NAME OF ALL INDIVIDUALS OR ENTITY OWNING THE PROPERTY)

_____, as the owner of property
more specifically legally described as: Pine Glades PUD, Lots 13 & 14 and H

(If too lengthy, attach description)

HEREBY AUTHORIZES Collins Planning Associates

as

agent to represent and act for Owner in making application for and receiving and accepting on Owners behalf, any permits or other action by the Town of Jackson, or the Town of Jackson Planning, Building, Engineering and/or Environmental Health Departments relating to the modification, development, planning or replatting, improvement, use or occupancy of land in the Town of Jackson. Owner agrees that Owner is or shall be deemed conclusively to be fully aware of and to have authorized and/or made any and all representations or promises contained in said application or any Owner information in support thereof, and shall be deemed to be aware of and to have authorized any subsequent revisions, corrections or modifications to such materials. Owner acknowledges and agrees that Owner shall be bound and shall abide by the written terms or conditions of issuance of any such named representative, whether actually delivered to Owner or not. Owner agrees that no modification, development, platting or replatting, improvement, occupancy or use of any structure or land involved in the application shall take place until approved by the appropriate official of the Town of Jackson, in accordance with applicable codes and regulations. Owner agrees to pay any fines and be liable for any other penalties arising out of the failure to comply with the terms of any permit or arising out of any violation of the applicable laws, codes or regulations applicable to the action sought to be permitted by the application authorized herein.

Under penalty of perjury, the undersigned swears that the foregoing is true and, if signing on behalf of a corporation, partnership, limited liability company or other entity, the undersigned swears that this authorization is given with the appropriate approval of such entity, if required.

OWNER:

(SIGNATURE) (SIGNATURE OF CO-OWNER)

Title:

(if signed by officer, partner or member of corporation, LLC (secretary or corporate owner) partnership or other non-individual Owner)

STATE OF New York

)

)SS.

COUNTY OF New York

)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by John Tozzi this 25th day of September, 2019.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

(Seal)

(Notary Public)

My commission expires:

SARAH A. TECLA
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01TE6341433
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires May 2, 2020

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT PLAN

October 7, 2019

Project Name, Location

Pine Glades PUD
Townhome Lots 13, 14; Common Lot H
140, 142 Pine Glades Drive

Approval Sought

Development Plan Amendment

PROJECT STATUS

Initial Approval

The Pine Glades PUD was approved in 2008 and includes 27 free-market townhomes and 12 affordable units (later reconfigured into fewer affordable units with the same number of bedrooms). The free-market units were approved for construction in two phases and consist of a combination of two-unit and three-unit buildings.

Phase one has been developed with 14 free-market units (including the last duplex currently under construction) and nine affordable housing units. All of the units, except the duplex under construction (identified as S-1 on lots 13 and 14), have been sold and are occupied. The last two-unit building in phase one that is under construction is the subject of this application.

Phase two has not been fully developed although the major infrastructure has been installed under the future road. Remaining to be constructed in phase two are 13 free-market townhomes, infrastructure services from the main lines to each unit, Jessica Lane and an associated retaining wall.

The PUD approval included an extensive landscape plan that calls for the planting of a significant number of trees and a substantial portion of this planting has been completed. Actually, the new developer of phase two is exceeding the planting requirements. Phase two will include the remaining landscaping and the construction of trails that will provide hiking access to the USFS land.

New Owner

Pine Glades has a 10-year history and currently sits in a half completed state in which much of the property is an unsightly unfinished development. The original developer of Pine Glades failed to complete the project and under financial stress sold the entire development. The second owner also failed to complete the project and eventually sold all undeveloped portions of the development to Pine Canyon, LLC, the current owner and applicant.

Pine Canyon, LLC is intent on completing the development and moving beyond questions that have lingered from past ownerships. In most instances when developments fail and pass

through several owners, the project ultimately is completed through a “work out plan” that reduces obligations or increases development rights. In this instance, the current owner desires to complete the development in part by elevating the amenities and making visual upgrades that enhance the marketability of the development. From the viewpoint of the Town of Jackson and the neighbors, completing the development is the best way to clean up the unsightly property and settle any outstanding questions. Approving the trail plan that is a part of this application a key step in completing the development.

DESCRIPTION of PROPOSED CHANGES

The applicant proposes two items for approval and one item of clarification.

1. Approval is requested of a material change to the exterior of duplex S-1 that is currently under construction on lots 13 and 14. This change adds stone on east and west ends of the S-1 building where wood siding/shingles was planned. Also, stone is added to columns in front of the S-1 building where wood siding was planned. The wood siding on the columns of the existing buildings wick water. See the attached exhibits.
2. Approval also is requested of a trail network that implements a part of the original PUD approval. See the attached exhibits.
3. Clarification is sought that in-road heating elements to melt snow on the Pine Glades roads are not required. The result of this clarification will allow the Pine Glades HOA to avoid repairing and operating an existing element in the phase one road and further allow Pine Glades, LLC to avoid installing a future element in the phase two road.

DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED CHANGES

Material Change to Duplex S – 1

No design guidelines or LDR design standards for “fit and finish” apply to Pine Glades. While this amendment includes a request to change exterior finish from wood siding to stone for the duplex under construction on lots 13 and 14, there are no criteria for approval or denial. The planning department’s procedural requirement for approval of the material change is based on sketches of the buildings that were included in the 2008 PUD application.

Trail Network

Neighbors to the Pine Glades subdivision historically crossed the private property to access trails in the National Forest and the original developer of Pine Glades promised trail access will be maintained as part of the development. Review of information in the planning files and discussions with the planning director led to the conclusion that two trails are required: 1) an upper trail that traverses the hillside uphill of the phase one buildings; and, 2) a lower trail that crosses the hillside below the phase two development. Neither of the previous two developers

completed the trails, causing the neighbors to grow agitated over the years as they expected such access. Pine Canyon, LLC is committed to constructing the trails and recording easements that allow lawful public access across the property.

The attached exhibits delineate the proposed trail locations and where the new links connect to existing trails. The new trail segment that climbs uphill from the existing cul-de-sac was in the original Pine Glades approval but subsequently relocated. A document in the planning department file claims that this segment is too steep and climbs 500 vertical feet. The vertical climb from the cul-de-sac to the connection with the existing USFS trail is 89 feet and the trail maintains a 10% average grade. Pine Glades, LLC will work with Friends of Pathways and the Town/County Pathways Coordinator to determine appropriate signage that guides the public to the trails.

A plan for public hiking access across Pine Glades also must respect the liability and financial obligations of the owners within the Pine Glades development. These owners have assumed legal liability and maintenance obligations for the private roads and common open space within their development. The proposed trails plan strikes a difficult and important balance between public access and private responsibilities and is acceptable to the Pine Glades owners.

Roadway Heating Elements

An in-road heating element was planned for each road of the two roads in Pine Glades and an element was installed when the existing road was constructed. However, as time passed serious issues became evident with these heating elements. For example, the existing element failed three years ago when Pine Glades was owned by a different developer and the HOA did not repair it immediately due to very high costs. Further, the heating element was activated by the snow making operation on the Snow King ski slopes and the electric bill was \$150,000 per winter. Since the malfunction of the heating element, the HOA has maintained an annual snow plowing and sanding contract for \$33,800 and the road has been well maintained. Town roads west of Pine Glades are at the same elevation, grade and sun exposure as Pine Glades and they are routinely maintained by plowing and sanding. Not repairing the existing in-road heating element and not installing the future element in the phase two road, and instead relying on regular plowing and sanding, is consistent with Town's energy conservation objectives.

Nothing in the planning file indicates that the heating elements were required by the Town approval. The planning department informed the applicant that the in-road heating elements were not a requirement and were voluntarily presented by the original Pine Glades developer. However, more recently the department has listed the malfunctioning of the existing element as a violation and stated it must be repaired. This request is to clarify that the heating elements are not required, that they contradict all energy conservation efforts and the more traditional method of winter road maintenance is permitted.

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

8.3.2. Development Plan - Findings for Approval of a development plan

1. *Is consistent with the desired future character described for the site in the Jackson/Teton County Comprehensive Plan.*

Pine Glades is legally vested and can be completed under the currently approved plans, but the PUD also complies with the 2012 Comprehensive Plan. The development is in Character District 6.1, which calls a low to medium density residential neighborhood with some pockets of multi-family development. Although Pine Glades is a PUD, it is developing at a density that complies with the underlying Suburban zoning that was in place when Pine Glades was approved. The Suburban zoning district permitted 2.9 units per acre; Pine Glades is only 2.3 units per acre.

The 2012 Plan also calls for consideration of clustered development with large areas of open space. The Pine Glades buildings are clustered with a lot coverage of 19% of the Base Site Area when 25% coverage was permitted when the PUD was approved. The Pine Glades development has 77% of the property in open space when only 70% was required. The Pine Glades PUD is significantly less impactful to the landscape than the subdivision of single-family lots that was previously approved for the property. The single family lot subdivision was an outright use in the Suburban zoning district and was approved for development. The Pine Glades PUD replaced this subdivision before it was developed.

2. *Achieves the standards and objective of the Natural Resource Overlay (NRO) and Scenic Resources Overlay (SRO), if applicable.*

Neither the NRO nor the SRO applies to the subject property.

3. *Does not have significant impact on public facilities and services, including transportation, potable water and wastewater facilities, parks, schools, police, fire, and EMS facilities.*

When granting the original approval of Pine Glades in 2008, the Town Council agreed with the planning department's analysis and made the finding

“that the proposed development will not have a significant adverse impact on public facilities beyond that of a use allowed by right within the zone. The applicant has proposed significant infrastructure improvements within and beyond the subject property.”

This finding remains applicable today as the numbers of units and bedrooms in the development will not change from the initial approval.

4. Complies with the Town of Jackson Design Guidelines, if applicable.

No design guidelines or LDR design standards apply to this development. While this amendment includes a change from wood siding to stone for the duplex on lots 13 and 14, there are no applicable criteria for approval or denial.

5. Complies with all relevant standards of these LDRs and other Town Ordinances.

The Town Council agreed with the planning department's conclusion in 2008 that the PUD complied with all relevant LDR standards and other town ordinances. This finding remains applicable today as the proposed revision does not increase development rights, lessen protection of natural resources or expand the footprint of development except for the two hiking trails. It may be important to recognize that only one of two phases of Pine Glades has been developed.

6. Is in substantial conformance with all standards or conditions of any prior applicable permits or approvals.

The Pine Glades development is in compliance with the conditions of approval and all applicable LDR standards. This compliance is evidenced by the issuance over several years of building permits for 23 housing units, certificates of occupancy for the 21 units that have been completed, (the duplex on lots 13 and 14 is still under construction) and the release of the financial bond for phase one. The planning department identified several trees that have been topped on the property and is requiring additional trees be planted to mitigate the tree-topping violation. The Pine Glades, LLC will exceed the required amount of planting.

In recent months, the planning department attempted to require the Pine Glades HOA and Pine Glades, LLC record an easement allowing public access on the private roads in Pine Glades. Without any factual or legal basis, the department claims the absence of such an easement is a violation of the PUD. The department's position is not supported by anything in the multiple Pine Glades approvals, and at a minimum, contradicts the following:

- over a decade of planning permits, building permits and occupancy certificates issued by the Town of Jackson and its various departments,
- notes on the subdivision plat signed by the Planning Director and the then Mayor of the Town of Jackson,
- an explicit response from the planning department, in response to a direct question prior to the purchase by Pine Glade, LLC, that stated all required easements have been granted and no additional easements are required,
- the release of financial sureties that were required to guarantee all requirements and obligations were completed for phase one, and
- the insistence by the Town that no public maintenance or ownership responsibilities exist for the Pine Glades roads.

In reliance of its current position regarding public access across private roads, the planning department refers to a statement by the original Pine Glades developer orally spoken in a sketch plan meeting in 2008, however, the department did not incorporate the oral statement into any staff report, conditions of approval, findings of approval, easements, subdivision plat notes, or other relevant documents while they approved permits and occupancy certificates for 12 years. There now have been tens of millions of dollars in Pine Glades purchases in good faith reliance on official decisions and the written record for the development.

But it is not necessary to dwell upon the planning department's assertion because the trail network proposed by the current owner achieves a difficult and important balance. It fulfills the promised hiking access across private property; the public will be granted the hiking access they enjoyed before Pine Glades was developed. At the same time, the owners within the Pine Glades development, who have liability and financial obligations for their private roads and common open space, agree with and support the proposed trail network and its accompanying public access.

PINEGLADES
DEVELOPMENT, LLC.
970 WEST BROADWAY SUITE E
JACKSON, WY. 83001

SAGEBRUSH
ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES
P.O. BOX 624
JACKSON, WY. 83001
307-413-0056 C.

Y2
CONSULTANTS, LLC.
P.O. BOX 2674
215 E. SIMPSON ST.
JACKSON, WY. 83001
307-733-2999

JTEC
JUSTIN TATOSIAN
P.O. BOX
JACKSON, WY. 83001
307-699-1110

MEYERS
ODETTE &
ASSOCIATES
P.O. BOX 267
JACKSON, WY. 83012
307-690-9547

PINE GLADES SI
TWO UNIT BUILDINGS
LOTS 13 & 14, 140 & 142
PINE GLADES DRIVE
JACKSON, WYOMING

DATE/REVISION:
PERMIT 9/23/16
REVISION #1 10/17/16
AMDEND/REV #2 5/31/17
AMDEND/REV #3 12/8/17
REV. #4 7/3/18
REV. #5 10/9/19

A6
ELEVATIONS



NORTH ELEVATION
SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"


AMENDMENT NOTES:
APPROVED AMENDMENT INCREASED HABITABLE FLOOR AREA ON FIRST FLOOR LEVEL OF DUPLEXES BY 100 SQFT BY ENCLOSED THE FRONT RECESSED PORCH AND A PORTION OF THE SIDE PORCH. THE FOOTPRINT OF THE STRUCTURE DID NOT CHANGE. AN ELEVATOR WAS BEING ADDED IN THE STAIR WELL/FOYER AREA. THE FRONT GABLE DORMER WAS ELIMINATED. SHEETS EFFECTED BY THESE CHANGES ARE KEYED WITH AN ASTRIX (*) IN DRAWING INDEX.

REVISION NOTE R3 12/8/17:
EXTERIOR SIDE WALLS WERE CHANGED TO ALL MASONRY FINISH

REVISION NOTE R4 7/3/18:
MASONRY FINISH WAS EXTENDED UP INTO GABLE ENDS AND ALONG THE WALL AT THE SIDE DECK. A WINDOW AND ROOF WAS REMOVED AT THE SIDE DECK. RAILING CHANGED TO CABLE RAIL WITH STEEL POSTS.

REVISION NOTE R5 10/9/19:
REMOVE MULLIONS FROM ALL WINDOWS.
CHANGE SIDING ON BACK AND UPPER LEVEL FRONT TO VERTICAL

1. CLASS "A" COMPOSITE ASPHALT ROOFING AT ALL LOCATIONS.
2. 2X FASICA AND METAL DRIP EDGES.
3. EXPOSED RAFTERS AND T&G DECKING OF TYPE IV HEAVY TIMBER CONSTRUCTION.
4. COPPER SHOLD AND SPARK ARRESTOR PER IMUD.
5. CHIMNEY CRICKET.
6. STONE VENEER.
7. STONE LEDGE.
8. GUARDRAIL AT 42" AFF.
9. HORIZONTAL WOOD SIDING.
10. CEDAR SHINGLE SIDING.
11. HEAVY TIMBER COLUMNS.
12. WOOD TRIM BLOCK FOR LIGHT FIXTURE, TYP.
13. MAXIMUM CLEARANCE SIGN.
14. METAL PANEL SIDING.
15. 2X CORNER BOARDS.
16. RIDGE VENTING.
17. PRIVACY SCREEN.
18. WOOD DECK.
19. MISC. MECH VENTS.



EAST ELEVATION
SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

PINEGLADES

DEVELOPMENT, LLC.
970 WEST BROADWAY SUITE E
JACKSON, WY. 83001

SAGEBRUSH

ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES
P.O. BOX 624
JACKSON, WY. 83001
307-413-0056 C.

Y2

CONSULTANTS, LLC.
P.O. BOX 2674
215 E. SIMPSON ST.
JACKSON, WY. 83001
307-733-2999

JTEC

JUSTIN TATOSIAN
P.O. BOX
JACKSON, WY. 83001
307-699-1110

MEYERS
ODETTE &
ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 267
JACKSON, WY. 83012
307-690-9547

PINE GLADES SI
TWO UNIT BUILDINGS
LOTS 13 & 14, 140 & 142
PINE GLADES DRIVE
JACKSON, WYOMING

DATE/REVISION:

PERMIT 9/23/16

REVISION #1 10/17/16

AMDEND/REV #2 5/31/17


AMDEND/REV #3 12/8/17

REV. #4 7/3/18

REV. #5 10/9/19

A7

ELEVATIONS



SOUTH ELEVATION

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

AMENDMENT NOTES:

APPROVED AMENDMENT INCREASED HABITABLE FLOOR AREA ON FIRST FLOOR LEVEL OF DUPLEXES BY 100 SQFT BY ENCLOSED THE FRONT RECESSED PORCH AND A PORTION OF THE SIDE PORCH. THE FOOTPRINT OF THE STRUCTURE DID NOT CHANGE. AN ELEVATOR WAS BEING ADDED IN THE STAIR WELL/FOYER AREA. THE FRONT GABLE DORMER WAS ELIMINATED. SHEETS EFFECTED BY THESE CHANGES ARE KEYED WITH AN ASTRIX (*) IN DRAWING INDEX.

REVISION NOTE R3 12/8/17:

EXTERIOR SIDE WALLS WERE CHANGED TO ALL MASONRY FINISH


REVISION NOTE R4 7/3/18:

MASONRY FINISH WAS EXTENDED UP INTO GABLE ENDS AND ALONG THE WALL AT THE SIDE DECK. A WINDOW AND ROOF WAS REMOVED AT THE SIDE DECK. RAILING CHANGED TO CABLE RAIL WITH STEEL POSTS.

REVISION NOTE R5 10/9/19:

REMOVE MULLIONS FROM ALL WINDOWS.
CHANGE SIDING ON BACK AND UPPER LEVEL FRONT TO VERTICAL

1. CLASS "A" COMPOSITE ASPHALT ROOFING AT ALL LOCATIONS.
2. 2X FASCIA AND METAL DRIP EDGES.
3. EXPOSED RAFTERS AND T&G DECKING OF TYPE IV HEAVY TIMBER CONSTRUCTION.
4. COPPER SHroud AND SPARK ARRESTOR PER IMUIC.
5. CHIMNEY CRICKET.
6. STONE VENEER.
7. STONE LEDGE.
8. GUARDRAIL AT 42" AFF.
9. HORIZONTAL WOOD SIDING.
10. CEDAR SHINGLE SIDING.
11. HEAVY TIMBER COLUMNS.
12. WOOD TRIM BLOCK FOR LIGHT FIXTURE, TYP.
13. MAXIMUM CLEARANCE SIGN.
14. METAL PANEL SIDING.
15. 2X CORNER BOARDS.
16. RIDGE VENTING.
17. PRIVACY SCREEN.
18. WOOD DECK.
19. MISC. MECH VENTS.




WEST ELEVATION

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"



DRAWING IS TO SCALE
IF BAR MEASURES:

1" = FULL SCALE
1/2" = HALF SCALE



DATE: 11-7-2019

REVISIONS:

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DESIGNED BY: RGB

DRAWN BY: RGB

CHECKED BY:




PROJECT NAME

PINE GLADES JACKSON, WYOMING

TRAIL CONCEPT PLAN OVERALL PLAN

SHEET #

C5



HARMONY
 DESIGN & ENGINEERING
 18 N MAIN STE 305 • DRIGGS ID 83422
 208.354.1331 • www.harmonydesigninc.com

DATE: 11-7-2019	REVISIONS:		

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DESIGNED BY: RGB

DRAWN BY: RGB

CHECKED BY:

PROJ. #: 19020278-1

PROJECT NAME

PINE GLADES




JACKSON, WYOMING

TRAIL CONCEPT PLAN


SOUTHWEST SEGMENT

SHEET #

C1



 HARMONY DESIGN & ENGINEERING 18 N MAIN STE 305 • DRIGGS ID 83422 208.354.1331 • www.harmonydesigninc.com	
DATE: 11-7-2019	REVISIONS:
SCALE: AS SHOWN	DESIGNED BY: RGB
	DRAWN BY: RGB
	CHECKED BY:
	PROJ. #: 19020-278-1
PROJECT NAME PINE GLADES JACKSON, WYOMING TRAIL CONCEPT PLAN NORTHWEST SEGMENT	
SHEET # C2	





HARMONY
DESIGN & ENGINEERING
18 N MAIN STE 305 • DRIGGS ID 83422
208.354.1331 • www.harmonydesigninc.com

DATE: 11-7-2019

REVISIONS:

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DESIGNED BY: RGB

DRAWN BY: RGB

CHECKED BY:


PROJ. #: 19020278-1


PROJECT NAME

PINE GLADES
JACKSON, WYOMING
TRAIL CONCEPT PLAN
NORTHEAST SEGMENT

SHEET #

C4





PLANNING

Project Number P19-262 **Applied** 11/14/2019 **STOL**
Project Name Dev Plan Amend - 140 & 142 Pine Glades Dr **Approved**
Type DEVPLAN **Closed**
Subtype AMENDMENT **Expired**
Status STAFF REVIEW **Status**

Applicant Collings Planning Associates

Owner PINE CANYON, LLC

Site Address **City** **State** **Zip**
 140 Pine Glades Drive Jackson WY 83001

Subdivision **Parcel No** **General Plan**
 22411633438022

Type of Review	Status	Dates			Remarks
		Sent	Due	Received	
Contact Notes Building Kelly Sluder	APPROVED	11/14/2019	12/5/2019	11/15/2019	
Fire Kathy Clay Originally, this entire area was to be irrigated. Irrigated fuels - conifers, aspens, and other plants - have a much lower chance of burning in a wildland fire scenario than those fuels which are not irrigated. I am very concerned to read "phase two is exceeding the planting requirements." As long as this is irrigated planting, there are no concerns. Without irrigation, however, these homes positioned within the wildland urban interface are certainly at a higher risk if the environment is filled with low fuel moisture content conifers.	APPROVED W/CONDITIONS	11/14/2019	12/5/2019	11/18/2019	please see comments
Joint Housing Dept Stacy Stoker (1/10/2020 10:00 AM SAS) The Housing Department's initial concern was who owned the land containing the trails going by the restricted units. Upon review of the plat, it appears that the land is owned as common area by the Homeowner's Association collectively and not by the restricted units' owners. If this is the case, the Housing Department has no concern.	APPROVED	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	1/10/2020	please see comments
Legal Lea Colasuonno (12/6/2019 2:59 PM LC) Initial legal review complete and will continue to monitor compliance with original conditions and appropriateness in light of the LDRs of amendments thereto.	APPROVED W/CONDITIONS	11/14/2019	12/5/2019	12/6/2019	
Parks and Rec Steve Ashworth (11/15/2019 1:29 PM STOL) Comments: •Would request clarification regarding maintenance responsibility of the proposed "trail system" if approved. •There is a reference to "constructing the trails and recording easements that will allow public access across the property." What type of easement?	APPROVED W/CONDITIONS	11/14/2019	12/5/2019	11/15/2019	
Andy Erskine, CPSI					

Type of Review Contact Notes	Status	Dates			Remarks
		Sent	Due	Received	
Pathways Brian Schilling (12/12/2019 11:20 AM STOL) P19-262 – 140 and 142 Pine Glades - Amendment to Development Plan Comments from Teton County/TOJ Pathways Department Status: approved w/conditions •The applicant has proposed a trail plan that connects from Pine Dr. to Shade Monkey by going up the hillside at the far west end of the development. Staff has provided conditional approval to this alignment pending input from the FOP/USFS trail construction crew. •Staff recommends that the applicant make sure the neighbors approve of this alignment prior to meeting with Council.	APPROVED W/CONDITI	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	12/12/2019	
P19-262 – 140 and 142 Pine Glades - Amendment to Development Plan Additional comments from Teton County/TOJ Pathways Department Conditions from TOJ Pathways and FOP review: •Access to the trailheads shall be maintained year-round (i.e. no piling of snow or other obstacles in front of the trail access points to prevent or discourage use). A clear path to the trail access points shall be maintained. •To the maximum extent possible, trail construction shall use the cut and bench method similar to Detail B-B on Sheet C.1 rather than the fill type of construction shown in Detail C-C on Sheet C.2. This will result in a more durable and sustainable trail. •All of the proposed trail connections are located on steep hillsides that will require steps and/or stairs and tight switchbacks. This effectively renders these connections as “hike only” trails which will not be accessible for bicycle travel. Staff has ongoing concerns about the steep grades and stairs/steps and the impact on accessibility, safety, and sustainability.					
Planning Tyler Valentine (1/10/2020 9:42 AM TV) Please see staff report	APPROVED W/CONDITI	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	1/10/2020	
Police Todd Smith (12/9/2019 8:39 AM STOL) Great to see a commitment to get the hiking trails reestablished by the applicant. It is not uncommon for the police to received complaints regarding this issue from the public wanting access. No additional concerns.	APPROVED W/CONDITI	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	12/9/2019	
Todd					
Public Works Brian Lenz	APPROVED W/CONDITI	11/14/2019	12/9/2019	1/10/2020	

Type of Review	Status	Dates			Remarks
		Sent	Due	Received	
Contact					
Notes					
(1/10/2020 10:44 AM BTL)					
Development Plan Review – APPROVED					
P19-262					
OWNER: Pine Canyon LLC					
APPLICANT: Collins Planning Associates; Bill Collins					
Pine Glades PUD					
DATE: January 10, 2020					
Brian Lenz, 733-3079					
DATE OF SUBMITTAL: November 11, 2019					
DATE OF MATERIALS: Project Status, 10/7/2019; Plans 11/7/2019					
REVISION NO.: 00					
The engineering division has reviewed your application for an Amendment to the DEVELOPMENT PLAN for the Pine Glades PUD submitted on and with application materials as dated above.					
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL					
REQUEST 1: Material Change to Exterior of Duplex S-1 that is still under construction.					
1. No Comment					
REQUEST 2: Trail Network Approval					
1. A grading permit is required prior to construction of the proposed trail network.					
2. Proposed rock retaining walls over four feet in height must be engineered. Any walls over 6-feet high shall include calculations and factors of safety for sliding, overturning, and bearing capacity.					
3. Developer shall provide a cost estimate for the construction of the trails and a financial assurance of 125% of the cost to complete the improvements will be required for completion of the proposed trail network.					
4. Proposed signage guiding the public to the proposed trails access shall be included with future grading or building permit submittals.					
Comments that are not conditions of approval:					
1. Past discussion with the applicant indicated that a grading permit would not be required but based on the conceptual designs shown with stairs and proposed retaining walls a grading pre-application meeting and grading permit are warranted.					
2. The proposed trail network involves extensive use of stairs and retaining structures. Prior to finalizing the easement provide clarification on the party responsible for the ongoing cost to maintain and repair the proposed structures.					
3. In past discussions with the applicant, the tunnel easement provides for a private roadway and does not allow for public vehicular traffic. Please clarify if it does allow for non-motorized and/or pedestrian use by the public. If so, signage to that affect should also be installed at the entrances to the tunnel.					
CLARIFICATION 1: In road heating element					
1. The heating element is not a requirement of public works. There are similar short sections of public road in town, examples include South Cache St., South Millward, 595 Pine Drive, with similar grades (approximately 14%) that are maintained with plowing and sanding. Public Works finds this request reasonable.					
START		11/14/2019	12/5/2019		
Darren Brugmann					

Tyler Valentine

From: Merigliano, Linda -FS <linda.merigliano@usda.gov>
Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2019 10:33 AM
To: Tyler Valentine
Cc: Chris Owen; Farris, Timothy -FS; Moore, Mary C -FS
Subject: RE: Pine Glades
Attachments: DM_PineGlades2011.doc

Tyler --- The decision memo issued August 4, 2011 is still valid. Please let us know if you have any questions about this

From: Chris Owen [mailto:chris@friendsofpathways.org]
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2019 1:52 PM
To: Merigliano, Linda -FS <linda.merigliano@usda.gov>
Cc: Farris, Timothy -FS <timothy.farris@usda.gov>
Subject: Re: Pine Glades

Linda Tyler says that should be good, if you have the signed copy can you email him at. Or he said just an email from you saying the old letter is still valid. - Chris

tvalentine@jacksonwy.gov

On Thu, Dec 12, 2019 at 1:14 PM Merigliano, Linda -FS <linda.merigliano@usda.gov> wrote:

We did the NEPA and issued a decision for the Shade Monkey trail so this might still suffice. I have a signed copy around somewhere

From: Chris Owen [mailto:chris@friendsofpathways.org]
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2019 11:43 AM
To: Merigliano, Linda -FS <linda.merigliano@usda.gov>; Farris, Timothy -FS <timothy.farris@usda.gov>
Subject: Pine Glades

Hey Linda and Tim Pine Glades is working with the town to fulfill their obligation to restore the neighborhood access trails to the forest. Tyler Valentine had questions about any approval that may be needed on your end and I gave him your contact info. I attached the proposal showing the trail climbing from Wister Dr. past the west end of pine Glades and joining the SHade Monkey trail near the USFS and Pine Glades property boundary. Worst case we could have it connect right at the property line so no new trail would be on the forest. When I chatted with him it looks to be a hike only trail as it has several steps and tight switchbacks which seems appropriate based on what me and EJ looked at on that side.

Chris

--

Chris Owen

Trails Program Manager

Friends of Pathways

307-733-4534

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

--

Chris Owen

Trails Program Manager

Friends of Pathways

307-733-4534

DECISION MEMO

Pine Glades connection trail

**USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Region
Bridger-Teton National Forest
Jackson Ranger District
Teton County, Wyoming**

I. INTRODUCTION

In January 2008, the Town of Jackson approved the Final Development Plan for the Pine Glades townhouse development located immediately east of Snow King Resort. The Bridger-Teton National Forest is located on the southern boundary of the property. Prior to development, the forested hillside contained a trail that traversed the property and was frequently used by the public as a connection between town and trails located on the National Forest. As part of the "Subdivision Improvement Agreement Contract", the developers agreed to construct a trail between Pine Drive and the Sink-or-Swim trail and make this trail available for general public use. Due to the economic recession, some items, including the trail construction, were deferred with approval from the Town of Jackson. In accordance with the "Improvement Agreement", the trail construction must now be completed by fall 2011. The estimated length of the new trail is 2,890 feet of which 71.3% is located on private land. Approximately 830 feet is located on the National Forest. The trail would be managed for a mix of non-motorized uses with mountain biking and hiking predominant uses. The trail will be designed and built to meet Forest Service standards for sustainable native surface trails. The work on the National Forest will be supervised by Forest Service personnel with labor coming from Friends of Pathways trail employees. Funding for the project is provided by the developer in accordance with the "Subdivision Improvement Agreement".

II. DECISION

A. Description of Decision

My decision is to authorize construction of approximately 830 feet of trail on the National Forest to complete the connection between Pine Drive and the Sink-or-Swim trail. My decision incorporates the project construction methods described in section C.

B. Purpose of Decision

The purpose of the decision is to replace an existing trail and in so doing so, improve the sustainability of the trail and help meet goals outlined in the National Sustainable Recreation Framework, notably connecting citizens to their public lands through outdoor recreation.

C. Project Treatment Methods

To protect natural resources, wildlife, and safety objectives, the following treatments will be implemented:

1. All trail construction and reconstruction will be implemented according to Forest Service Trail Construction and Maintenance Notebook standards.
2. Old trails will be physically closed.
3. Trails managed for mountain bike use will incorporate good line of sight and avoid any blind corners to minimize the potential for a sudden encounters between people and wildlife (particularly moose, bears, or lions).

III. REASONS FOR CATEGORICALLY EXCLUDING THE DECISION

Decisions may be categorically excluded from documentation in an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), when they are within one of the categories identified by the U.S. Department of Agriculture in 7 CFR part 1b.3 or one of the categories identified by the Chief of the Forest Service in Forest Service Handbook (FSH) 1909.15 sections 31.1b or 31.2, and there are no extraordinary circumstances related to the decision that may result in a significant individual or cumulative environmental effect.

A. Category of Exclusion

The proposed project can be categorically excluded from documentation in an EA or EIS because it fits category 31.2-1, described in Forest Service Handbook Interim Directive (ID) 1909.15-2004-3, July 6, 2004. This category is for "Construction and reconstruction of trails."

The categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because no significant issues were raised regarding the proposal and resource specialists found no extraordinary circumstances with potential adverse effects on the environment.

B. Relationship to Extraordinary Circumstances

1. Threatened and Endangered Species or Their Critical Habitat -

The Endangered Species Act requires that federal activities do not jeopardize the continued existence of any species federally listed or proposed as threatened or endangered, or result in adverse modification to such species' designated critical habitat. This decision will have 'no effect' on listed species or their critical habitats; this trail is primarily located in the Town of Jackson and since it replaces an existing trail, the project is not expected to alter the amount or type of use occurring in the area.

2. Floodplains, Wetlands, or Municipal Watersheds -

Floodplains: Executive Order 11988 is to avoid adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains. Floodplains are defined by this order as, "... the lowland and relatively flat areas, adjoining inland and coastal waters, including flood prone areas of offshore islands, including at a minimum, that area subject to a one percent [100-year recurrence] or greater chance of flooding in any one year." The project is not located in or near floodplains based on site review.

Wetlands: Executive Order 11990 is to avoid adverse impacts associated with destruction or modification of wetlands. Wetlands are defined by this order as, "... areas inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support and under normal circumstances does or would support a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds." There are no wetlands in the project area.

Municipal Watersheds: Municipal watersheds are managed under multiple use prescriptions in forest plans. This project is not located within a municipal watershed.

3. Congressionally Designated Areas -

This project is not located in a Congressionally designated Wilderness or Wilderness Study Area nor is it located within a designated Wild and Scenic River corridor. No adverse effects are expected on designated special areas.

4. Inventoried Roadless Areas (IRAs) -

This project is not located in an Inventoried Roadless Area.

5. Research Natural Areas -

The project is not located within or near Research Natural Areas.

6. Native American Religious or Cultural Sites, Archaeological Sites, or Historic Properties or Areas -

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires federal agencies to take into account the effect of a project on any district, site, building, structure, or object that is included in, or eligible for inclusion in the National Register. There are no anticipated adverse effects to cultural resources.

IV. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The Town of Jackson held multiple public meetings regarding the Pine Glade development plan. Support for replacing the existing trail emerged during those meetings. No opposition to the project was raised.

V. FINDINGS REQUIRED BY AND/OR RELATED TO OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

My decision will comply with all applicable laws and regulations. I have summarized some pertinent ones below.

Forest Plan Consistency (National Forest Management Act) - This Act requires the development of long-range land and resource management plans (Forest Plans). The Bridger-Teton Forest Plan was approved in 1990, as required by this Act. The plan provides for guidance for all natural resource management activities on the Forest. The Act requires all projects and activities be consistent with the Forest Plan. The project is located in DFC 9B which is managed for special use recreation. The proposed project is consistent with Forest Plan direction.

Endangered Species Act - See Section III, Item B1 of this document.

Sensitive Species (Forest Service Manual 2670) - This Manual direction requires analysis of potential impacts to sensitive species, those species for which the Regional Forester has identified population viability is a concern. The proposed project would not adversely impact any known sensitive species.

Clean Water Act - This Act is to restore and maintain the integrity of waters. The Forest Service complies with this Act through the use of Best Management Practices. This decision incorporates Best Management Practices to ensure protection of soil and water resources.

Wetlands (Executive Order 11990) - See Section III, Item B2 of this document.

Floodplains (Executive Order 11988) - See Section III, Item B2 of this document.

Clean Air Act –

Under this Act areas of the country were designated as Class I, II, or III airsheds for Prevention of Significant Deterioration purposes. Class I areas generally include national parks and wilderness areas. Class I provides the most protection to pristine lands by severely limiting the amount of additional human-caused air pollution that can be added to these areas. This decision will not adversely affect air quality.

Federal Cave Resources Protection Act - This Act is to secure, protect, preserve, and maintain significant caves, to the extent practical. Site features and field review substantiate that no caves are in the decision area. No cave resources will be affected by this decision.

National Historic Preservation Act –
See Section III, Item B6 of this document.

Archaeological Resources Protection Act –
See Section III, Item B6 of this document.

Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act –
See Section III, Item B6 of this document.

Wyoming Wilderness Act and Wild and Scenic Rivers Act –
See Section III, Item B3 of this document.

Environmental Justice (Executive Order 12898) - This Order requires consideration of whether projects would disproportionately impact minority or low-income populations. This decision will not adversely impact minority or low-income populations.

VI. ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OR APPEAL

This decision is not subject to a higher level of administrative review or appeal pursuant to 36 CFR 215.8.

VII. IMPLEMENTATION DATE

This decision may be implemented immediately. Work on these trails is expected to occur over the next two years.

VIII. CONTACT PERSON

For further information contact:

Linda Merigliano
Jackson Ranger District
PO Box 1689
Jackson, WY 83001
(307) 738-5428

IX. SIGNATURE AND DATE

I have concluded that this decision may be categorically excluded from documentation in an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment as it is within one of the categories identified by the U.S.

Department of Agriculture in 7 CFR part 1b.3 or one of the categories identified by the Chief of the Forest Service in Forest Service Handbook (FSH) 1909.15 sections 31.1b or 31.2, and there are no extraordinary circumstances related to the decision that may result in a significant individual or cumulative environmental effect. My conclusion is based on information presented in this document and the entirety of the Planning Record.

/s/ Dale Deiter
Dale A. Deiter
Jackson District Ranger

8.4.2011
Date

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) Should contact USDA's target center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-w, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice or TDD).

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT

May 28, 2019

Collins Planning Associates
Attn: Bill Collins
PO Box 7441
Jackson, WY 83002

RE: Development Obligations and Compliance of the Pine Glades Planned Unit Development

Dear Mr. Collins:

The Pine Glades Planned Unit Development (PUD), Sketch Plan and Final (Major) Development Plan (FDP) is a residential hillside development consisting of 27 market townhomes units and 9 deed restricted units. The PUD and Sketch Plan were approved on October 8, 2007 and the FDP was approved on April 14, 2008. The project is broken into two phases: Phase I consisting of all improvements and buildings along Pine Glades Drive, and Phase II consisting of all improvements and buildings along Jessica Lane. Several 'Applicants' or 'Developers' have been involved starting with the original Applicant, Dave Taylor, who first proposed the project and stayed with the project until the original FDP was approved. Today, a new property owner, John Tozzi, is being represented by the Applicant, Bill Collins.

As Phase I comes near to completion, the past few years have resulted in compliance issues surrounding pedestrian access through the site's roadways, access to trails, usage of the break-away emergency gate, and many more. Complaints from Pine Drive residents and Pine Glades owners were received and several of these matters have involved the local Police and Fire Department, in addition to Planning. Recently, the Applicant applied for two applications: Item P18-342 Development Agreement to commence infrastructure-related construction on Phase II (Jessica Lane), and Item P19-018 Development Plan Amendment to modify the last two units in Phase I which include minor habitable and garage expansions.

During the application review process for both items P18-342 & P19-018, Town of Jackson (Town) Staff received additional public comment from both Pine Drive residents and Pine Glades owners expressing frustrations on matters related to trails, access through the Pine Glades roadways, etc. As a result the Applicant requested that their applications be continued in order to identify any un-satisfied development obligations prior to the items going before the Town Council. The Town agreed to further research the Pine Glades PUD and FDP approvals and provide the applicant with the Town's position on whether the Pine Glades PUD and FDP is out of compliance with any development obligations.

The following is an itemized list of development obligations for the Pine Glades PUD and the associated compliance status of P05-126 & 127 ("Sketch Plan & PUD for Pine Glades") and P07-126 & 127 ("Pine

Glades Final Development Plan"). Under each heading is a description of a specific development obligation, status of compliance, and a reference to attached exhibits.

ROADWAYS: Non-Compliant with Pine Glades PUD

As part of the Pine Glades PUD the Applicant committed, both verbally and in writing, to provide public non-vehicular/non-motorized access across, over and on the site's roadways. The complete public record memorializing this obligation is provided below (Exhibits A – H).

***EXHIBIT A:** Letter from Jackson Hole Community Pathways to the Town Planning and Building Department related to the approved Variance (P07-076) to develop on a lot with slopes greater than twenty-five percent (25%). The letter commends the original Developer (Dave Taylor) on their willingness to include public access across, over and on Pine Glades PUD for non-motorized users.*

***EXHIBIT B:** Transcription of David Taylor (original developer) on record at the Jackson Town Council meeting on January 7, 2008 committing to the Jackson Town Council that the Pine Glades PUD would be open to the public and that the public would have non-vehicular access through the interior-roads to access trails.*

***EXHIBIT C:** The narrative section (5 pages taken from the project summary) of the Final Development Plan pledging that the updated project plan specifically included public access to the trail heads in the Pine Glades PUD from Pine Drive and Spruce Drive (page 2). In addition, the last two pages under headings Section 2170.J.11 Streetscapes states 'Nevertheless the proposal includes pedestrian pathways, in the form of public trail access easements fronting the lower row of buildings, allowing pedestrians access from the Pine and Wister Drive neighborhoods to the Snow King Ski Areas and Bridger-Teton National Forest'. Furthermore on the last page, it states that 'the development will provide at least four pedestrian access easements across the property providing a link from the neighborhoods west to Snow King slopes and beyond...'*

***EXHIBIT D:** The approved Final Development Plan trail map identifying all trails within the Pine Glades PUD as 'Public Ski/Hiking Trails' which directly connect to the roadways.*

***EXHIBIT E:** The recorded Subdivision Improvement Agreement which, on page three under 'Public Pathways,' describes the developer's obligation to build the trails delineated on the trail map as 'Public Ski/Hike Trails' (see also EXHIBIT D).*

***EXHIBIT F:** The approved Minor Deviation (P11-025) for a requested trail relocation with attached Town of Jackson departmental reviews discussing obligations for trail signage at the Cache Street and Pine Drive access points. The documents states that 'the applicant shall not obstruct public access on the proposed pathway'. Furthermore, this document includes a review comment that states 'the applicant shall not obstruct public access on the Town of Jackson access easement designated as "GCE Roadway" on the Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition plat'.*

***EXHIBIT G:** The recorded plat for the Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition which states that the Town of Jackson is granted an access easement across the entire GCE Roadway (under the Certificate of Owner, first column, 5th from the bottom). The second page is the actual recorded plat showing the GCE Roadway.*

EXHIBIT H: A second approved Minor Deviation (P14-062) for a second requested trail relocation with attached departmental reviews stating that 'the new trail location will need to be delineated on a Pathways Easement or Amended Plat.

Based on the fact as evidenced in the public records described above and attached hereto, the Applicant was required to provide public access across, over and on the Pine Glades PUD roadways. This was verbally promised by the Developer (Dave Taylor) before the Town Council, was referenced in the FDP proposal, was clearly identified on the approved trail map, was clearly identified in the SIA, and was further a condition of approval with both Minor Deviations related to trail relocation.

TRAIL CONNECTIVITY: Compliant with Pine Glades PUD and Incomplete

When the Pine Glades PUD was approved there were several trails proposed throughout the site to provide trail access to the Shade Monkey and Sink or Swim trails for residents within the development, for neighboring residents on Pine Drive and Wister Avenue, and for the general public. Exhibits B - H each support the fact that public use of trails within the development was part of the development's approval. Currently, because all public access points connecting Pine Glades Drive to the Snow King trails (specifically the Shade Monkey and Sink or Swim trails) have been installed, Pine Glades PUD is in compliance with its public trail access obligations with regard to Phase I. The final outstanding trail access point to be installed must be addressed with the Development Agreement required for Phase II (Jessica Lane), which will include a trail connection from Wister Avenue to Pine Glades Drive (Exhibit D). The "S1 trail" (specifically defined as the trail access point at the duplex numbered 140 and 142 Pine Glades Drive) has been vacated and replaced with a new trail connection at the north end of the upper opening of the tunnel, as evidenced by Exhibits I and J.

EXHIBIT I: Correspondence between the Town and the Applicant confirming that the S1 trail was vacated as part of approved Minor Deviation P14-062.

EXHIBIT J: April 15, 2019 correspondence between Town Planning and Jackson/Teton County Pathways Department confirming that the S1 trail was vacated and the new trail adjacent to the tunnel was installed and is compliant with Jackson/Teton County Pathways Department trail standards.

Based on the fact, as evidenced in the public records described above and attached hereto, that Pine Glades PUD established the required connectivity to public trails from the site, it is compliant with Phase I of the Pine Glades PUD. However, one further connection is required based upon the approved trail map (Exhibit D) for Phase II, and must be addressed in the Phase II Development Agreement.

PUBLIC ACCESS – SIGNAGE: Non-Compliant with Pine Glades Final Development Plan

As part of the Final Development Plan, public non-vehicular/non-motorized access was proposed and approved, and the installation of signage to that effect was required at the Cache Street and Pine Drive access points. This signage was approved as part of the FDP and required as part of Minor Deviation P11-025 (Exhibit F). However, "No Trespassing" signage is currently installed at both the Cache Street and Pine Drive access points. Pine Glades PUD is therefore out of compliance.

EXHIBIT K: Photos of No Trespassing signage (taken in April 2019).

Based on the fact, as evidenced in the public record described above and attached hereto, that Pine Glades PUD was required to install and maintain signage indicating the right to public non-vehicular/non-

motorized access across, over and on the site and that currently "No Trespassing" signage is erected at two locations on the site, the Applicant's ongoing actions violate a term or condition of the Pine Glades PUD.

PUBLIC ACCESS – BOULDERS: Non-Compliant with Pine Glades Final Development Plan

As described above, public non-vehicular/non-motorized access is approved as part of the Pine Glades PUD and the boulders recently installed adjacent to the break-away gate, while tolerated by the Fire Department, are located too close to the break-away gate restricting pedestrians and bicyclists from accessing the site by forcing them to either climb around the boulders or crawl under the gate.

EXHIBIT K: Photo of the west end of the temporary gate with adjacent boulders to the right (taken in April 2019)

Based on the fact, as evidenced in the public record described above and attached hereto, that Pine Glades PUD was required to provide the public non-vehicular/non-motorized access across, over and on the site and that boulders adjacent to the break-away gate restrict pedestrians and bicyclists from accessing the site, the Applicant's actions violate a term or condition of the Pine Glades PUD.

BREAK-AWAY GATE: Compliant with Pine Glades PUD and Incomplete

The break-away gate at the west end of Pine Glades Drive was required for the purpose of emergency access in light of the fact that emergency vehicles may not be able to access the site via the tunnel on Cache Street. The break-away gate approval also includes allowing construction-related traffic that cannot fit through the tunnel associated with an approved Building, Grading or Development related permit. The break-away gate is not to be used by residents of Pine Glades Drive as daily or intermittent access at all. The Town was notified that the break-away gate was recently damaged, is being repaired and that a temporary fence is in its place. Upon installation of the new gate, the use of the gate shall only be for emergency vehicle access and construction-related vehicles that cannot access the construction site via the tunnel due to their size and which are associated with a Town Building, Grading or other related Development permits.

EXHIBIT G: The recorded plat for Pine Glades PUD which clearly identifies the west end of Pine Glades Drive adjacent to Pine Drive as "Emergency Access Only."

EXHIBIT K: A photo showing the temporary gate that needs to remain in place until the new gate is installed (taken on April 1, 2019).

Based on the fact, as evidenced in the public records described above and attached hereto, that the Pine Glades PUD west entrance is limited to "Emergency Access Only," any use of the break-away gate for any vehicles, residents' vehicles included, other than 1) emergency vehicles and 2) a construction-related vehicle that cannot fit through the tunnel and is associated with a Town Building, Grading or other related Development permit is non-compliant with the Pine Glades PUD.

TOPPING OF TREES: Non-Compliant with Pine Glades Final Development Plan

A Visual Impact Analysis was required as part of the Pine Glades Final Development Plan due to the impacts of the development on a hillside with a grade of twenty-five percent (25%) or more. There

was a specific tree removal plan and re-planting plan that was to be strictly abided by. Ultimately the existing vegetation remaining was to serve the purpose of screening the development from public view (i.e. from adjacent streets, the Town Square, highways, etc.) and the private clearing or "topping" of trees for better views for the Pine Glades residents is prohibited. Recent photos taken show several trees have been topped.

***EXHIBIT L:** The original Visual Impact Analysis showing which trees shall be removed, which trees can be pruned, and which trees shall remain untouched.*

***EXHIBIT M:** Photos of trees topped adjacent to the S1 duplex and the triplexes further up Pine Glades Drive (taken X date).*

Based on the fact, as evidenced in the public records described above and attached hereto, that the Visual Impact Analysis made a condition of the Pine Glades PUD explicitly prohibited tree topping and trees have been topped, the Applicant's actions violate a term or condition of the Pine Glades PUD.

ROADWAY HEATING ELEMENT: Non-Compliant with Pine Glades Final Development Plan

As part of the Final Development Plan for Pine Glades, roadway heating elements were approved uphill from the tunnel on both Pine Glades Drive and Jessica Lane. The applicant has stated that the heating element is not operating due to high costs and mechanical issues. The heating element is a required condition of the project's design and function.

***EXHIBIT D:** A depiction of the approved Snow Melt Areas.*

Based on the fact, as evidenced in the public record described above and attached hereto, that the Final Development Plan included the roadway heating element as a condition of the approval, the non-functioning status of the roadway heating element at this time is non-compliant with the Pine Glades PUD.

LANDSCAPE PLAN: Compliance Indeterminate with Pine Glades PUD

The approved landscape plan for Pine Glades PUD includes an expansive tree removal component in addition to several planting areas. It required: approximately one hundred and eighty (180) new Aspen trees, sixty (60) new Serviceberry trees and sixty (60) new Snowberry trees to be equally distributed throughout Pine Glades development (both Phase I & II). All of the trees marked for removal have been removed. However, no record has been kept as to the number of trees that have been planted, as part of Phase I, therefore it is indeterminate whether Pine Glades PUD is in compliance with its landscape plan at this time.

***EXHIBIT N:** The approved landscape plan for Pine Glades PUD.*

Based on the fact, as evidenced in the public record described above and attached hereto, that the Final Development Plan included a landscape plan as part of the approval and the Applicant has not verified compliance with that Landscape Plan, it is indeterminate at this time whether landscaping is in compliance with the Pine Glades PUD.

SIDEWALKS: Compliant with Pine Glades PUD and Incomplete

The Final Development Plan depicts sidewalks along the southern side of both Pine Glades Drive (Phase I) and Jessica Lane (Phase II). In Phase I, the applicant provided a sidewalk within the tunnel that continues up Pine Glades Drive and ends at the duplex addressed as 140 and 142 Pine Glades Drive. At this time Phase I is nearly complete and sidewalks have not been provided for the remainder of Pine Glades Drive.

EXHIBIT D: A depiction of the approved sidewalks within the site.

Based on the fact, as evidenced in the public record described above and attached hereto, that the Final Development Plan depicts sidewalks and that some sidewalk portions have been constructed and some remain unbuilt at this time, the Applicant is currently compliant with approved construction plans for Phase I.

NEXT STEPS: Compliance Summary, Enforcement Actions and Applicant Obligations

As set forth above in detail, there are reasonable grounds supporting the finding that Pine Glades PUD is out of compliance with a variety of terms and conditions of its approvals. Based on the number of compliance issues, the individualistic nature of each issue, and the varying stages of non-compliance each issue is in, the Town has developed a timeline for compliance as follows:

1: The following compliance issues must be resolved as indicated below. Failure to resolve these issues by the assigned deadline will result in the Town referring the issue to the Town of Jackson Code Enforcement Officer, in accordance with Land Development Regulation Division 8.9.2, and may prevent the issuance of further approvals and/or permits for the Applicant pursuant to Land Development Regulation Division 8.9.3.

- **Public Access - Signage:** The Pine Glades PUD required the installation and maintenance of signage indicating the right to public non-vehicular/non-motorized access across, over and on the site. The currently installed "No Trespassing" signage erected at two locations on the site violates terms and conditions of the Pine Glades PUD. All 'No Trespassing' signs must be removed by **June 1, 2019**. In addition, a new sign plan that is consistent with the approved Final Development Plan must be provided to the Town Planning Department by **July 1, 2019**.
- **Public Access - Boulders:** The Pine Glades PUD required public non-vehicular/non-motorized access across, over and on the site. However boulders have been installed adjacent to the break-away gate, thus restricting pedestrians and bicyclists from accessing the site. This violates the terms and conditions of the Pine Glades PUD. The boulders must be shifted to allow at least four to six (4-6) feet of space for bicyclists and pedestrians to freely access Pine Glades Drive and the area must be inspected by the Town no later than **July 1, 2019**.

2: The following issues are either currently compliant with the Pine Glades PUD but not complete, and thus require further action by the Applicant to achieve full compliance at build-out, or are currently complete but non-compliant with the Pine Glades PUD. To ensure full compliance will be achieved at build-out or, if currently non-compliant, the following items must be completed by **September 1, 2019**. Failure to resolve these issues by this date will prevent the issuance of further approvals and/or permits for the Applicant pursuant to Land Development Regulation Division 8.9.3.

- **Roadways:** Because the Applicant was required to provide public access across, over and on the Pine Glades PUD roadways and because ongoing actions actively prohibit and/or dissuade public access, the terms and conditions of the Pine Glades PUD approval is being violated. The Applicant must come into compliance by September 1, 2019 and, specifically, to do so the Applicant must record a new easement on the GCE Roadway against its property or amend the plat to memorialize in perpetuity that there is a public non-vehicular/non-motorized right of access across, over and on the Pine Glades PUD roadways.
- **Trail Connectivity:** Phase I of the Pine Glades PUD established the required connectivity to public trails from the site for the development that has been completed. However, a further connection is required as a term of Phase II. As part of the revised Development Agreement or By September 1, 2019 the Applicant must 1) provide a map identifying the future location of the lower connector trail from Wister Avenue to Pine Glades Drive and 2) provide a cost estimate for this trail that will be used to calculate the appropriate bond (as part of the Phase II Development Agreement).
- **Break-Away Gate:** The Pine Glades PUD west entrance is limited to "Emergency Access Only," and by September 1, 2019 the Applicant must 1) reinstall the permanent break-away gate and 2) provide draft language for the Phase II Development Agreement that dictates the schedule of dates when the construction vehicles will use the break-away gate and what type of vehicles will use it. After September 1, 2019, with the exception of emergency use or construction-related use associated with a Town Building, Grading or other related Development permits, the gate must remain closed and locked.
- **Landscaping:** Since the Applicant has not verified compliance with that Landscape Plan, it is indeterminate at this time whether landscaping is in compliance with the Pine Glades PUD. Therefore, by September 1, 2019 the Applicant must 1) prove the number and the location of new trees planted per the approved Landscape Plan; 2) provide the number of outstanding trees to be planted; 3) provide a schedule for full compliance with its Landscape Plan obligations; and 4) provide a cost estimate for the remaining planting required to come into full compliance at build-out that will be used to calculate the appropriate bond (as part of the Phase II Development Agreement II).
- **Sidewalks:** The sidewalks depicted in the Final Development Plan are partially built. By September 1, 2019 the Applicant must delineate a pedestrian/bike lane within the GCE roadway with striping (Phase I). As part of Phase II, the Applicant must complete the sidewalks on Jessica Lane.

3: The following issue is currently non-compliant with the Pine Glades PUD and must be compliant by **December 31, 2019**. Failure to resolve the issue by December 31, 2019 will prevent the issuance of further approvals and/or permits for the Applicant pursuant to Land Development Regulation Division 8.9.3.

- **Roadway Heating Element:** The Final Development Plan includes the roadway heating element as part of the approval. Because the roadway heating element does not function at this time it is out of compliance with the Pine Glades PUD. The Applicant must ensure the heating element is fixed and functioning by December 31, 2019.

4: This item is a permanent condition for which no compliance timeline is appropriate. This item has been referred to the Town of Jackson Code Enforcement Officer for immediate action:

- **Topping of Trees:** The Visual Impact Analysis, made a condition of the Pine Glades PUD, explicitly prohibited tree topping and trees have been topped. The Applicant's actions violate a term of the Pine Glades PUD. The Town will pursue this matter immediately through the Town of Jackson Code Enforcement Officer, as set forth in Land Development Regulation Division 8.9.2.

5: The resubmission of the Phase II Development Agreement application.

On November 16, 2018 the Applicant submitted an application for Phase II and, at this time, it is unknown when the Development Agreement for Phase II will be heard by the Town Council. As a result, the Town Planning Department requires the Applicant to re-submit the Development Agreement for Phase II to address the issues in this letter no later than **September 1, 2019**. Failure to do so, or abandonment of the current Development Agreement, will result in the Town pursuing any outstanding compliance issues under Land Development Regulation Division 8.9.



Tyler Valentine
Senior Planner

Enclosures:

EXHIBIT A – Dave Taylor (Original Developer) Transcription
EXHIBIT B – Letter from Pathways
EXHIBIT C – FDP Project Description
EXHIBIT D – Approved Trail & Snow Melt Map
EXHIBIT E – Subdivision Improvement Agreement
EXHIBIT F – 2011 Minor Deviation
EXHIBIT G – Recorded Plat
EXHIBIT H – 2014 Minor Deviation
EXHIBIT I – S1 Trail Correspondence
EXHIBIT J – Town Correspondence on S1 Trail Vacation
EXHIBIT K – Signs & Gate
EXHIBIT L – Visual Analysis
EXHIBIT M – Topping of Trees
EXHIBIT N – Approved Landscape Plan



July 17, 2007

Via email as attachment shill@ci.jackson.wy.us

Town of Jackson
Planning and Building Department
Attn: Shawn W. Hill, Associate Planner
P.O. Box 1687
Jackson, WY 83001

Subject: Item P07-076 Pine Glades Development, LLC

Dear Shawn,

I have reviewed the Pine Glades Development application, and would like to offer the following comments of support from Friends of Pathways in regards to the trail and non-motorized access proposed.

Friends of Pathways is a private not-for-profit corporation which is an advocate for bicycling, walking, and trails, and as a partner of government agencies for projects of mutual interest. We work to enhance and connect the communities in and around Jackson Hole by promoting safe and convenient non-motorized transportation and recreation facilities and programs. Friends of Pathways, (FOP) was founded in 1994, and today has grown to over 1,100 members.

This project includes important access for recreational trails and public land access to the Greater Snow King Trails Network. I have reviewed the most recent trail proposal, and wish to commend the applicant for their willingness to include the public access for non-motorized uses, and for their flexibility to provide the most useful trail alignments.

Specifically, it is very helpful to see:

- The public easement on all sidewalks and roads for non-motorized use;
- The trail connection on the west side that will climb up to the "Sink or Swim" trail;
- The west-east trail above the homes that will contour to connect to the Snow King mountain trails, and the improved grades so the trails will not be so steep.

I believe these trails will serve both the future Pine Glades residents and the public at large for enhanced public land access. Please contact me if you or the Planning Commission has any questions on these comments at 733-4534.

Sincerely,

Tim Young, Executive Director

P.O. Box 2062 ~ 335 South Millward Street ~ Jackson, WY 83001
307.733.4534 ~ tim@friendsofpathways.org
www.friendsofpathways.org

1

2

TOWN OF JACKSON

3

TOWN COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING

4

5

ITEM TOPIC P05-126:

6

7

Pine Glades Sketch Plan & Planned Unit
Development for a 39-unit Development at
10, 30 and 40 Spruce Drive

8

9

HELD AT:

11

Town Hall
150 E. Pearl Avenue
Town Hall Council Chambers
Jackson, Wyoming 83001

12

13

14

Monday, January 7, 2008
6:00 p.m.

15

16

17

TRANSCRIPTION OF AUDIO - 55:33- 58:30

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Transcribed by:
Denise Nowak
Certified Shorthand Reporter
and Notary Public

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S:

2 MAYOR BARRON: Further questions?

3 COUNCILWOMAN TURLEY: Dave, I was curious
4 about -- and maybe this is too detailed at this
5 point I guess, per Shawn's comments earlier, but
6 the applicant submittal talks a lot about
7 pedestrian and bike accessibility, so I was just
8 curious about how the tunnel would work in terms of
9 pedestrians and bikers using the tunnel, and if
10 there are lights and safety and ventilation. I do
11 see a sidewalk here with pedestrians. I don't see
12 room for a bike lane.

13 MR. TAYLOR: There is a sidewalk for road
14 bikes. There is not currently, at least shown, a
15 bike lane in the tunnel, or on the interior roads.
16 However, the interior roads are open to
17 non-vehicular/non-motorized vehicle access of the
18 general public. And we've built -- in consultation
19 with Pathways, we are designing to build over a
20 mile of mountain bike trails or hiking trails
21 across the property through which you could get to
22 the bottom of the hill. But for a road bike,
23 there's not a bike path in the tunnel right now.

24 COUNCILWOMAN TURLEY: It is well lit and
25 ventilated for pedestrians?

1 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. In fact, we're going -- the
2 police chief is concerned about my ability to keep
3 graffiti out of the tunnel. But our intention
4 actually is to have it very well lit and put some
5 form of metal cutout art in the tunnel to make it
6 interesting.

7 It's about 500 feet long. It takes about 20
8 seconds to drive through it, but I don't want
9 people to be afraid to drive through the tunnel.

10 ATTENDEE: Able to keep graffiti away from the
11 walls with it --

12 MR. TAYLOR: So how do you do this?

13 ATTENDEE: -- being open around the schools
14 and whatnot.

15 MR. TAYLOR: Probably why I wanted to put a
16 gate at the entrance, but...

17 COUNCILWOMAN TURLEY: Well that gets at my
18 other question. I'm glad to hear that you aren't
19 putting a gate at the entrance, but I do wonder, if
20 that's a private drivethrough and you want to
21 discourage people from entering there if they
22 aren't residents or visitors to the development --

23 MR. TAYLOR: No, it's open to the public.

24 COUNCILWOMAN TURLEY: Okay.

25 MR. TAYLOR: It will have a public easement

1 probably, I guess to Pathways, but for
2 non-motorized vehicles.

3 COUNCILWOMAN TURLEY: So what will be your
4 signage there to discourage vehicles from using it
5 that aren't attempting to access the development?

6 MR. TAYLOR: I haven't written it yet, but I
7 suppose it would say Private Drive, non...

8 ATTENDEE: No Through Traffic.

9 MR. TAYLOR: No Through Traffic.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2

3 STATE OF IDAHO }
 } ss.:
4 COUNTY OF FREMONT }

5

6 I, Denise Nowak, a Shorthand Reporter
7 and Notary Public within and for the State of
8 Idaho, do hereby certify:

9 That I reported the proceedings
10 in the within entitled matter, and that
11 the within transcript is a true record of
12 such proceedings.

13 I further certify that I am not
14 related, by blood or marriage, to any of
15 the parties in this matter and that I am
16 in no way interested in the outcome of
17 this matter.

18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19 set my hand this 3rd day of April, 2019.

20

21

22 -----
DENISE NOWAK
23 Notary Public State of Idaho
My Commission Expires: 3/13/2021

24

25

PROJECT SUMMARY:

This application proposes development of a clustered community of 27 market priced townhomes and 12 affordable condominiums to be known as Pine Glades on 17.11 acres located at 10 and 40 Spruce Drive. The proposed access to the property uses innovative road design and extensive pathways to substantially minimize disturbance and visual impact. The property lies within the Suburban Residential (SR) zoning district and is in neither the NRO nor SRO. It is bordered on the east by the Snow King Ski Area, on the north and west by residential development, and on the south by the Bridger-Teton National Forest. The entire parcel slopes steadily from the south to the north and is heavily forested with mature Douglas Fir trees.

Recognizing the difficulties and negative impacts of the previously approved single-family subdivision, we have chosen an alternate approach that seeks to minimize the impacts of development and to respect and accentuate the positive characteristics of the property. To achieve this we undertook an extensive study of the property and solicited, received, and listened to input from the community and Town planners. Based on this process of study and listening we believe we have taken development challenges and turned them into opportunities - opportunities to provide the Town of Jackson with a high quality development project that enhances the neighborhood, maintains the character of the site, and that unit owners and neighbors alike can appreciate and enjoy.

To accomplish these goals given the size and unique characteristics of the property required the flexibility in design afforded a Planned Unit Development ("PUD"). The conceptual design of this project dovetails with all of the PUD standards, most notably provision for the efficient development and use of streets, utilities, and pathways, preservation of unique natural and scenic features, and maximizing open space, all resulting in a development achieving high quality design.

The overall design of the development consists of two roads running parallel with the existing slopes of the site and partially beneath the buildings, thereby minimizing the visual impact of the project and resulting in the least site disturbance possible. The location and layout of the buildings is designed to minimize visual and environmental impacts by incorporating drive aisles and parking areas below the buildings, eliminating the need for individual driveways and minimizing site disturbance and lot coverage by stacking parking and living uses.

The application proposes access to the property via a road originating at the southern terminus of Cache Street and extending up the slopes of Snow King below ground to minimize impacts. Once the "tunnel" reaches the north east corner of the property it

resurfaces and the internal roads then access each row of buildings at a single location, substantially limiting the length of road necessary. With this design, wildlife migration is least impacted and pedestrian pathways from Pine, Spruce and Wister Drives to Snow King and Bridger-Teton National Forest can be achieved.

Numerous public benefits are being proposed with this project, including:

- elimination of traffic disturbance on the surrounding neighborhood;
- a 50 foot diameter turnaround at the southern end of Pine Drive;
- an easement for the Town to construct a turnaround at the eastern end of Wister Drive should future demand require;
- enlargement of the Town's water booster pump(s) at the base of the hill to improve inadequate water pressures in the area;
- one mile of additions to pathways and pedestrian easements;
- a pedestrian easement to access Snow King from the eastern end of Spruce Drive;
- an easement expanding skiable terrain across the southeast corner of the property;
- new pedestrian and bicycle access from the south end of Pine Drive to the Sink-or-Swim trail in the Bridger-Teton National Forest; and
- providing more than twice the minimum affordable housing requirement.

The architectural design eloquently expresses recognition of traditional mountain west building styles, current design techniques, the challenging building site, and a respect for the surroundings. Construction materials will include wood, stone, and roofing and siding shingles in muted colors designed to blend with the hillside and minimize visual impact.

Review Procedures, Standards & Criteria: This application is for a PUD and Conditional Use Permit subject to the development standards within Article IV.

- The PUD application is subject to the review standards outlined in LDR §2170.J, Criteria for Review (of PUDs), §2170.I, Flexible Development Standards (for PUDs), and §52100.E, Development Plan Standards.
- Since LDR §49190, Development in Hillside Areas, requires a development proposal in hillside areas having an average cross slope of 10% or greater to obtain a Conditional Use Permit, we are also applying for a Conditional Use Permit subject to the review standards in §52100.E, Development Plan Standards, §49190.D, and §5140.B and requesting that the Conditional use Permit application be combined with the Development Plan Application as permitted by to LDR §51200.C.2, Multiple Applications.

Project Evolution: Over the course of the past three years we have sought community input for the project, held workshops with the Town Council and Planning Commission, open houses for neighbors and the public, and most recently received Sketch Plan approval from both the Planning Commission and Town Council. The result has been more than two dozen modifications to the original design – virtually every one of which has resulted in an improved project. Following is a list of the more significant revisions:

Significant Revisions since Sketch Plan Approval

- Increased roadway clearance under buildings to in excess of 11 feet, permitting a wider range of delivery vehicles and also increasing overall building height.
- Eliminated the need for an access road from Wister by creating a turnaround for the Fire Department at the end of the lower road.
- Increased guest parking by adding covered parking spaces between buildings
- Proposed improvements to the Pine/Cache intersection

Significant Revisions since Initial Public Workshops

- Reduced number of market units from 44 to 27
- Reduced number of buildings from 13 to 10
- Reduced building scale from 4 units per building to 2 and 3 units per building
- Relocated Buildings and Roadways to Minimize Tree Removal
- Increased Affordable Housing to provide twice the minimum required
- Located Affordable Housing On-Site
- Further clustered buildings into under 5 acres
- Moved several buildings outside of Avalanche Zone
- Developed photo-realistic 3D visual impact model which shows nominal impact on scenic assets
- Eliminated Switchbacks by Acquiring #40 Spruce Drive
- Commitment to LEED certification – may be 1st residential project in the state
- Enhanced for improved Fire Department Access to the Snow King hillside, including added turnouts, expanded street widths, and added emergency access points
- Substantial additions to Pathways, Side Walks and Ski Easements
- Eliminated Gate House at entrance
- Developed Comprehensive Construction Management Plan
- Developed Environmental, Recycling & Waste Management Plan
- Construct a 50 ft. diameter cul-de-sac for Town at the end of Pine Drive

Section 2170.J. 10, Emergency access. Adequate, approved access to all structures by emergency vehicles shall be provided.

The plans have been carefully designed and re-designed to ensure emergency access is provided to all areas of the development. This includes emergency vehicle access at both ends and in the middle of both rows of buildings, appropriately located vehicle turnarounds, and safe, convenient access between rows of buildings. Roadway clearances exceed 13' 6" on the primary access road for fire truck access and 11'3" within the buildings for ambulance access to individual units.

Section 2170.J. 11, Streetscapes. All Planned Unit Developments with frontage on a public street or approved private street shall provide safe, inviting, and attractive streetscapes. Where appropriate, residential units, including outdoor spaces such as porches, decks, and balconies, shall be oriented to the street yard, and where appropriate, streetscapes shall not be dominated by parked vehicles and garage entrances. Trash enclosures and dumpsters shall not be located in street yards or adjacent to any street.

Considering the location of the proposed project within a forest apart from traditional neighborhood development, it is difficult to apply the criteria that front setbacks should create an attractive streetscape. Nevertheless, the proposal includes pedestrian pathways, in the form of public trail access easements fronting the lower row of buildings, allowing pedestrian access from the Pine and Wister Drive neighborhoods to the Snow King Ski Area and the Bridger-Teton National Forest. The design of the buildings follows the contours of the site. This, along with the use of decks, porches and balconies, and the retention, to the greatest extent possible, of the current forested character of the site, will result in a comfortable and pleasing pedestrian environment.

By locating the vehicular access to the site and the parking primarily below grade and placing the buildings above these features, the development dramatically limits the amount of land covered by structures, resulting in extensive open space for a project of this scale. Considering the amount of open space and the proposal to provide trail easements across the site, the front setbacks proposed create abundant functional open space. The relative isolation of the development site from the street, coupled with the proposal to locate the buildings well back from the front lot line, result in a minimal, and perhaps non-existent, impact on access to light and air from the street.

Section 2170.J. 12, Pedestrian system. Walkways shall form a logical, safe, and convenient system for pedestrian access to all dwelling units, project facilities and amenities, and principal off-site pedestrian destinations. Provision shall be made for pedestrian and bicycle travel in accordance with Pathways in Jackson Hole, A Conceptual Plan (March 1992). Linkages to schools, parks, public lands, and pathways existing on adjacent properties shall be provided.

The development will provide at least four pedestrian access easements across the property providing a link from the neighborhoods on the west to Snow King slopes and beyond. Pedestrian access to and from each unit is provided within the design. In addition, each unit within the development will have ski in and ski out access.

Section 52100.E.5, Conditional and Special Uses The proposed Development Plan shall comply with the conditional or special use standards, as applicable, pursuant to §5140.B, Conditional Use Standards or §5140.C, Special Use Standards, if the proposed use is specified as a Conditional or Special use in Table 2200, Use Schedule.


Section 5140.B, Conditional Use Standards. The issuance of a Conditional use permit shall be dependent upon findings that the proposed use, as conditioned, fully complies with these Land Development Regulations. A Conditional use not involving a new or remodeled structure may be issued on a temporary or term basis in order to determine and demonstrate the nature and extent of any adverse effects of the Conditional use. The Town Council may also attach any other conditions deemed appropriate, including conformity to a specific site plan, to ensure compliance with the following standards.

Section 5140.B.1, Consistent with Comprehensive Plan The proposed Conditional use shall be consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, including standards for building and structural intensities and densities, and intensities of use;

Please see above discussion of the projects consistency with the Comprehensive Plan under both the PUD and Development Plan sections of this report.

Section 5140.B.2, Compatibility The proposed Conditional use shall be compatible with the scale and character of the immediate vicinity of the land proposed for development.

The density and design of the development is compatible with both the density or the surrounding SR zoned neighborhood and the character of the neighboring development. Density is below what is currently present in the surrounding neighborhood and allowed in the SR zoning district. Though practically invisible from surrounding homes due to building locations and heavy tree cover, the design of the development, with a clustering of buildings and a separation of modules intended to minimize scale, results in a mass and scale that maintains and enhances the character of the neighboring subdivisions.



Prepared By: JFH
Date: 10-05-07
Rev. Date:

RENDEZVOUS ENGINEERING, P.C.
P.O. BOX 4558 JACKSON, WYOMING 83001
25 SOUTH GROS VENTRE STREET
PHONE - 307.733.5252 FAX - 307.733.2334

DRAWING TITLE:
FINAL SITE CONDITIONS

PROJECT TITLE:
**PINE GLADES
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

PROJECT NUMBER:
05-019

DRAWING NUMBER:
C2.2

SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENT AGREEMENT

RELEASED	
INDEXED	✓
ABSTRACTED	✓
SCANNED	

THIS AGREEMENT dated this 31st day of December, 2010, by and between PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT, LLC, hereinafter referred to as "Subdivider", and the TOWN OF JACKSON, a municipal corporation of the State of Wyoming, hereinafter referred to as the "Town", provides as follows:

WHEREAS, Subdivider is required to construct certain public improvements (the "improvements") as a condition of the approval of the final plat and associated Final Development Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Jackson Land Development Regulations require that a subdivision agreement for public improvements be executed by the Subdivider and the Town and recorded in the Office of the Teton County Clerk and Recorder; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council approves of the terms and conditions of this agreement for the Final Plat Subdivision designated as the Pine Glades Townhomes. Phase One Addition to the Town of Jackson; and

WHEREAS, this Subdivision Improvement Agreement supersedes the Development Agreement executed by the Town and the Developer on July 9, 2008.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED that for and in consideration of the aforesaid premises and the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, paid by each of the said parties to the other, Subdivider on its own behalf or any successor in interest or assign, and the Town do mutually covenant and agree as follows:

1. SUBDIVIDER TO COMPLY

Subdivider shall comply with all improvement requirements contained in Section 6140 A. of the Town of Jackson Land Development Regulations, the Development Plan approved by Town Council on January 22, 2008 and subdivision improvement plans and specifications retained on file in the office of the Town Engineer the Planning Director, and the requirements of the Town Planning and Zoning Commission and Town Council for this subdivision and additional improvements as set forth within this document. Improvements shall be engineered, designed, constructed, and installed solely at Subdivider's own cost.

2. DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND FINAL PLAT REQUIREMENTS INCORPORATED

The requirements of the Town Council, as set forth in its approval of any required Final Development Plan, Conditional Use Permit, and Final Plat are hereby incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. Development and use of all land within the

GRANTOR: PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT LLC ET AL
GRANTEE: PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT LLC ET AL
Doc 0767878 bk 750 pg 263-274 Filed At 16:09 ON 02/09/10
Sherry L. Daigle Teton County Clerk fees: 53.00
By Mary Smith Deputy

subdivision is limited to that conveyed by the Final Plat, Pine Glades Townhome Covenants and the Land Development Regulations of the Town of Jackson, as they may be amended from time to time.

3. APPROVED SUBDIVISION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

The subdivision infrastructure improvement plans and specifications filed by Subdivider or its agents, as approved by the Town Engineer and maintained on file in the office of the Town Engineer, and any revision of said improvement plans and specifications approved by said Town Engineer and additional improvements as set forth within this document are hereby incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. All public improvement work required by Subdivider under this agreement shall be in accordance with said improvement plans and specifications.

4. ESTIMATED COST OF SUBDIVISION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND SECURITY THEREFORE

The list of improvements and upgrades together with the total cost of improvements and upgrades required by this agreement as estimated by the Town Engineer is attached hereto as **Attachment "A"** and by this reference made a part hereof. Subdivider shall deposit with the Town, prior to filing of the Final Plat, an irrevocable letter of credit, or performance and payment bond, or funds in escrow, or other equivalent security or commitment approved by the Town Council. Such security shall be in the amount of 125% of the estimate approved by the Town Engineer and Planning Director (the "Security") and such security amount shall be released as portions of work are completed and reasonably approved for release by the Town Engineer per Paragraph 12 below.

5. ESTIMATED COST OF OTHER SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENTS AND SECURITY THEREFORE

Affordable Housing Obligation. Subdivider has made minor modifications to two existing two bedroom units one of which will qualify as a two bedroom Category I unit and one of which will qualify as a two bedroom Category II unit under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines (collectively, the "Existing Affordable Units"). Subdivider shall construct the following: (i) six two bedroom units all of which qualify as two bedroom Category Six units under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines; (ii) two one bedrooms units one of which will qualify as a one bedroom Category I unit under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines and one of which will qualify as a one bedroom Category II unit under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines; and (iii) two one bedroom units all of which will qualify as one bedroom Category III units under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines (the "New Affordable Units") (the Existing Affordable Units and the New Affordable Units shall be collectively referred to herein as the "Affordable Housing Units"). The construction of the New Affordable Units shall be completed within two (2) years of the recordation of the Final Plat for the

Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition to the Town of Jackson. In the event that said units are not completed on or before such two year anniversary of the recordation of the Final Plat for the Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition to the Town of Jackson, Lot F of the Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition to the Town of Jackson shall be conveyed to the Town of Jackson and all construction plans required to complete said structure shall be delivered to the Town of Jackson.

6. PUBLIC PATHWAYS

The Public Pathways delineated as "public ski/hike trails" on the site plan of the Final Development Plan approved by Council, requires that the developer complete the installation of the Public Pathways as part of the overall improvements described in Attachment "A". At such a time that the Town determines that public access is safe and appropriate, the Developer shall receive a letter from the Town requesting that all required work necessary (survey, design and installation) of an approved Public Pathway or Public Pathway segment shall be completed within six months of the request. The developer may be granted an extension for the completion based on a determination by the Town Engineer that weather conditions has precluded progress.

7. UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL POWER, TELEPHONE, TELEGRAPH, CABLE TELEVISION, AND GAS FACILITIES

All electrical power, telephone and telegraph communication, cable television, and gas facilities within the subdivision shall be installed underground, except that above-ground facilities necessary to serve underground facilities, other installation of peripheral overhead electrical transmission and distribution feeder lines, or other installation of either temporary or peripheral overhead communications, distance, trunk, or feeder lines may be allowed. Subdivider understands and agrees that any and all said facilities that are to be constructed within or under any street improvements shall be in place prior to the construction of the surface street improvements. Subdivider affirms that the public utility companies, including the cable television company enfranchised by the Town, providing the said services and facilities to and within the subdivision have been directly consulted by Subdivider through its officers, agents, or employees and that Subdivider is informed as to the approximate cost to Subdivider of the provision and installation of said facilities and services underground and that the requirements for said facilities have been considered in the preparation of the subdivision improvement plans.

8. EXACTIONS:

Subdivider shall pay exactions for the following purposes and in the following amounts to the Town prior to the filing of the Final Plat:

Parks Exactions:	\$ 29,000.00
School Exaction:	\$ 23,000.00

9 TIME FOR COMPLETION:

Subdivider agrees to install all improvements as required including off-site improvements and further agrees that all such work of improvements shall be fully completed on or before July 14, 2010, unless said time upon written request of Subdivider made to the Town Engineer of the Town is extended by the Town, which extension shall not be unreasonably withheld.

No occupancy shall be granted for any Phase One building until all the improvements, dedications and warranties listed on **Attachment "B"** have been completed and approved by the Town. The Town shall accept no building permit applications for Phase Two until Subdivider and the Town have negotiated and executed a new Development Agreement for outstanding improvements required by the Final Development Plan.

10. WARRANTY:

All work and improvements required pursuant to this agreement and the ordinances of the Town shall be subject to and shall carry a guarantee and warranty for all work and materials for a period of one (1) year from the date of acceptance, which shall be for the benefit of the Town and this obligation shall survive any release of security by the Town. The Subdivider shall post maintenance bonds or appropriate letters of credit to secure the warranties equal to 20% of the total estimated cost of construction.

11. LIABILITY:

Subdivider agrees to carry a comprehensive general liability policy with minimum limits of \$500,000 for each occurrence and \$1,000,000 annual aggregate for bodily injury and \$500,000 for each occurrence and \$1,000,000 annual aggregate for property damage or a combined single limit policy with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 for each occurrence and \$2,000,000 annual aggregate which includes coverage for manufacturer and contractors, independent contractors, products, completed operations, maintenance of the utility tunnel (and all associated heating, access, ventilation and structure systems) and personal injury. Subdivider also agrees to name the Town as an additional named insured as respects the contract, and a certificate of insurance covering these items shall be filed with the Town Engineer prior to the filing of the Final Plat.

12. PERFORMANCE TESTING AND INSPECTION:

Subdivider shall be required to obtain a Town approved State of Wyoming licensed engineer to perform and/or monitor all testing required per the Town standards.

Subdivider shall be responsible for obtaining all required Town permitting and abiding by the conditions set forth within same. Subdivider shall be required to complete all construction work and necessary performance tests on installed infrastructure per the Grading Permit application. Subdivider shall be responsible for the preparation of daily inspection reports and tests results. This information shall be submitted to the Town

Engineer. The Town shall have the right, but not the obligation, to be present at any and all such performance tests and to perform periodic observation of any and all phases of construction. Subdivider shall notify the Public Works Department prior to the commencement of any performance test or any placement of asphalt pavement or concrete curb and gutter and shall provide placement for a representative of the Town to be present at the test or placement.

13. RELEASE OF SECURITY: As improvements are completed, the Subdivider may submit a written request to the Town Engineer for a release of part or all of the Security. Upon inspection and approval, the Town Engineer may release the requested amount of the Security or portion thereof. If the Town Engineer determines that any of the improvements are not constructed in substantial compliance with approved plans and specifications and/or requirements, the Town Engineer shall furnish the Subdivider a list of specific deficiencies and shall be entitled to withhold collateral sufficient to ensure compliance. If the Town Engineer determines that the Subdivider has not constructed any or all of the improvements in accordance with the approved plans and specifications and/or requirements, the Town Engineer shall draw and expend from the Security such funds as may be necessary to construct the improvements in accordance with the approved plans and specifications and/or requirements. Release of the Security does not constitute certification of compliance with the standards of the Land Development Regulations or any applicable code or other requirement or a release of the responsibility of the Subdivider. The Town has the right to withhold issuance of certificates of occupancy for improvements in future phases if Subdivider or its agents, successors or assigns, has not repaired public infrastructure that has been damaged by Subdivider, its agents, successors or assigns during future construction related activities.

14. DEVELOPMENT COORDINATION:

Unless specifically provided in this Agreement to the contrary, the contact person representing the Town shall be the Town Engineer who shall have general responsibility for coordinating development of the improvements required herein. Subdivider shall notify the Town Engineer when improvements shall be installed. The Planning Director shall coordinate development exactions related to parks fees, school fees and affordable housing or construction of affordable housing.

15. ACCEPTANCE:

THE TOWN SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY IMPROVEMENTS, MAINTENANCE, OR CARE UNTIL THE SAME SHALL BE ACCEPTED, NOR SHALL THE TOWN EXERCISE ANY CONTROL OVER THE IMPROVEMENTS UNTIL ACCEPTED. NO OCCUPANCY WILL BE GRANTED WITHIN THE DEVELOPMENT UNTIL THE PUBLIC UTILITIES AND OTHER OUTSTANDING PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS (AS LISTED IN ATTACHMENT "B") HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED. THE TOWN MAY PERMIT OCCUPANCY AND DELAY THE ACCEPTANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS PROVIDED PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED BY SUBDIVIDER AS DETERMINED BY THE TOWN PURSUANT TO

TOWN REGULATIONS. The following administrative procedure for acceptance of improvements:

- (1) Prior to acceptance. The Town shall not have any responsibility with respect to any improvement, notwithstanding the use of the same by the public, unless the improvements have been formally accepted by the Town and the Subdivider has provided all necessary warranty bonds.
- (2) Request for Acceptance. Upon completion of the improvements, the Subdivider may request, in writing, their acceptance by the Town. This request shall be accompanied by proof that there are no outstanding judgments or liens against the land upon which the public improvements are located. Upon acceptance of the public improvements located within the utility tunnel, the following provisions shall be included within the granting document or a separate document to be recorded against the property: (i) the Pine Glades Homeowners Association shall indemnify and hold the Town harmless from any and all personal injury or property damage to the public infrastructure located within the utility tunnel resulting from the Pine Glades Homeowners Association negligently maintaining the utility tunnel (including but not limited to its obligation to maintain and operate the heat within the tunnel and its obligation to keep the tunnel water-sealed); (ii) the Pine Glades Homeowners Association shall keep the utility tunnel insured against loss or damage, for its full insurable value by a company authorized to do business in Wyoming and shall furnish the Town with a certificate of such insurance; and (iii) upon notice from Lower Valley Energy, or its successors, of the nonpayment of electricity invoices for the utility tunnel by the Pine Glades Homeowners Association, the Town shall have the right to pay for such electrical services and all invoices, together with costs and reasonable attorneys' fees without waiving the fact that such electrical services, invoices and reasonable attorneys' fees shall be and remain the personal obligation of each lot and residential units within Pine Glades (all phases) and shall be a lien upon each lot and residential unit within Pine Glades (all phases) until paid in full.
- (3) Final Inspection. Upon receipt of a written request for acceptance from Subdivider, the Town Engineer and Town Planning Director will conduct a final inspection of the public improvements and will furnish a written list of any deficiencies noted. The Town Engineer and Town Planning Director will base the inspection on compliance with the approved Final Development Plan, construction plans, profiles and specifications, as required by the Land Development Regulations, development permits and Final Development Plan. Upon satisfactory completion of all construction in accordance with the approved plans, profiles and specifications, as certified by a registered engineer in the State of Wyoming, and receipt of reproducible record drawings and satisfactory test results, the Town Engineer will notify the Subdivider in writing of the Town's approval of

the public improvements and schedule the request for approval of the bill of sale by the Town Council.

- (4) Record Drawings. Prior to the acceptance of any completed improvements, record drawings and specifications for streets, water, sewer, drainage and other facilities must be submitted to the Town Engineer. The plans shall be submitted in a computerized electronic media format deemed suitable by the Town Engineer and shall be accompanied by two (2) sets of prints. The plans shall show the detailed location of all utilities including service lines to lots. A permanent benchmark shall be described on each sheet.
- (5) Certifications. The following certification by the project engineer shall appear on the face of the record drawings:

Record Plans Certificate

These record plans were prepared under my direct supervision and control and are accurate representation of the public improvements shown hereon as they were constructed. The improvements as installed conform to the requirements of the Wyoming Department of the Environmental Quality, the Wyoming Department of Transportation, the Town of Jackson and other applicable agencies. Where the improvements were constructed in a different manner, form, type, alignment, location or material than as originally approved, they have been so noted.

(Engineer's Signature)

(Engineer's Name, Printed) Date

Wyoming P.E. No.

- (6) Notification of Acceptance. Upon action of the Town Council to accept the improvements, the Town Engineer shall notify the Subdivider in writing of the Town's acceptance.
- (7) Responsibility to Maintain. Upon acceptance by the Town, all responsibility for the public improvements shall be assumed by the Town, except that the Subdivider shall be subject to a one (1) year warranty on the construction of the improvements from the time of acceptance by the Town.

16. NOTICES

Any notice or communication required or permitted herein shall be given in writing and shall be personally delivered or sent by United States mail, postage prepaid, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed as follows:

Town: Town of Jackson
Attention: Town Engineer
P.O. Box 1687

Jackson, WY 83001

Subdivider: Pine Glades Development, LLC
P.O. Box 642
Jackson, WY 83001

Either party upon written notification sent via United States Mail, return receipt requested, may change mailing addresses and contact information.

17. BINDING EFFECT OF AGREEMENT AND MODIFICATIONS

This agreement shall run with the land included within the subdivision and shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the successors and assigns of the parties hereto. No modification of the terms of this agreement shall be valid unless in writing and executed with the same formality as this agreement, and no waiver of the breach of the provisions of any section of this agreement shall be construed as a waiver of any subsequent breach of the same section or any other sections which are contained herein.

18. TITLE AND AUTHORITY

Subdivider warrants to the Town that it is the record owner of the Subject Property upon which the development shall be constructed or is acting in accordance with the authority of the owner. The undersigned further warrants having full power and authority to enter into this Agreement.

19. SEVERABILITY

This agreement is to be governed and construed according to the laws of the State of Wyoming. In the event that any provision of this Agreement is held to be in violation of Town, State or Federal laws and hereby rendered invalid or unenforceable as to any party or circumstance, such finding shall not render that provision invalid or unenforceable as to any other persons or circumstances. If feasible, any such offending provision shall be deemed to be modified to be within the limits of enforceability or validity; however, if the offending provision cannot be so modified, it shall be stricken and all other provisions of this Agreement in all other respects shall remain valid and enforceable.

**TOWN OF JACKSON,
a municipal corporation
of the State of Wyoming**



Mark Barron, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:


Audrey Cole
Town Attorney

ATTEST

Roxanne Devries Robinson, Town Clerk



CONTENTS:

Town Engineer

Planning Director

STATE OF WYOMING)
)ss.
COUNTY OF TETON)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Audrey Cohen-Davis as Town Attorney of the Town of Jackson this 9th day of FEBRUARY, 2010.

Witness my hand and official seal.

Notary Public

My commission Expires: 6/29/11

STATE OF WYOMING)
)ss.
COUNTY OF TETON)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Shawn P. O'Malley as Town Engineer of the Town of Jackson this 9th day of FEBRUARY, 2010.

Witness my hand and official seal.

Notary Public

My commission Expires: 6/29/11

STATE OF WYOMING)
)ss.
COUNTY OF TETON)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Tyler Sinclair as Planning Director of the Town of Jackson this 9th day of FEBRUARY, 2010.


Witness my hand and official seal.

Notary Public

My commission Expires: 6/29/11

Pine Glades Development, LLC,
a Wyoming limited liability company


By Fluid Capital, LLC,
a Washington limited liability company,
its Manager

By 
Jeffrey S. Hussey, its Manager

STATE OF Wyoming)
COUNTY OF Teton) ss.

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Jeffrey S. Hussey, as Manager of Fluid Capital, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, as Manager of Pine Glades Development, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, this 31st day of December, 2009.

Witness my hand and official seal.



Brenda Wylie
Notary Public
My commission expires: 8-1-2012

Attachment “A”

The following costs are being provided as an estimate of the total remaining work for the Pine Glades Development
Please note that the total bond amount indicated can be used for the completion of any portion of work not completed
and accepted by the Town.

South Cache Streetscape Improvements (Pine Glades Development)

Item	Quantity	Unit Measurement	Price	Cost
Concrete Curb & Gutter	814	LF	\$55.00	\$44,770.00
Concrete Valley Gutter	180	SF	\$20.00	\$3,600.00
Concrete Sidewalk	2150	SF	\$12.00	\$25,800.00
Retaining Wall	160	LF	\$70.00	\$11,200.00
12-inch PVC Pipe	89	LF	\$85.00	\$7,565.00
Storm Manhole	2	EA	\$3,500.00	\$7,000.00
Storm Catch Basin	3	EA	\$4,500.00	\$13,500.00
Connection to Existing Storm Drain	3	EA	\$1,750.00	\$5,250.00
Crush Gravel Base	380	TON	\$40.00	\$15,200.00
Bituminous Concrete Pavement	185	TON	\$135.00	\$24,975.00
Landscaping & Pavers	1	LS	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
TOTAL				\$178,860.00

Pine Drive West of Cache (Road Repairs)

Item	Quantity	Unit Measurement	Price	Cost
Crush Gravel Base	285	TON	\$40.00	\$11,400.00
Bituminous Concrete Pavement	145	TON	\$135.00	\$19,575.00
TOTAL				\$30,975.00

Spruce Drive West of Millward (Road Repairs)

Item	Quantity	Unit Measurement	Price	Cost
Crush Gravel Base	325	TON	\$40.00	\$13,000.00
Bituminous Concrete Pavement	165	TON	\$135.00	\$22,275.00
TOTAL				\$35,275.00

Pathway (Pine Glades Development)

Item	Quantity	Unit Measurement	Price	Cost
Pathway	4,200	LF	\$4.00	\$16,800.00
TOTAL				\$16,800.00

Site Grading	\$30,000.00
Utility Tunnel	\$40,000.00
Storm system (Lower Road)	\$10,000.00
Fire Hydrants (lower road)	\$9,000.00

Bond	\$350,910.00
Bond Total x 1.25	\$438,637.50

Attachment “B”

The following will be completed prior to the Town granting occupancy for Pine Glads Phase One buildings.

Item
Complete Grading, slopes and drainage swales behind buildings
Engineering approval of all retaining walls and slopes above four feet in height will be provided
Gate at the end of Pine Drive will be completed
Dedication and warranty for the purchased fire hydrants, water and sewer system shall be completed
or deferral of dedication of water and sewer infrastructure if approved by the Town



PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT

August 16, 2011

Pine Glades Development, LLC
Attn: Adam Scott
P.O. Box 642
Jackson, WY 83001

Re: Item P11-025 – Minor Deviation
Pine Glades Planned Unit Development
30-266 Pine Glades Drive; 150-270 Jessica Lane

Dear Mr. Scott:

This letter is to confirm that pursuant to Section 51200.J of the Town of Jackson Land Development Regulations, the Planning Director **approved** your request for a Minor Deviation of the Final Development Plan for the Pine Glades Planned Unit Development, subject to the attached Project Reviews. This Minor Deviation allows the relocation of the Sink-or-Swim pathway connection from the Pine Drive turnabout to the west end of the development near Building "S1" as depicted in the proposed site plan.

Please note that any revisions to the Final Development Plan shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Director. Approval of any changes to the Final Development Plan shall be in accordance with Section 51200.J. Minor Deviations and 51200.K. Amendment to a Development Plan permit of the Town of Jackson Land Development Regulations.

Should you have any questions, please contact Shawn Hill at 733-0440, Ext. 1303.

Respectfully,



Tyler Sinclair, AICP
Director of Planning & Building

TS:ad

Enclosure

pc: Brenda Wylie, Wylie Law Firm

8/17/2011

**Town of Jackson
Project Plan Review History**

Page 1

Project Number P11-025
Project Name Re-locate multi-use pathway
Type MINOR DEVIATION
Subtype FDP
Status APPROVED

Applied 6/21/2011 AD
Approved 8/15/2011 AD
Closed
Expired
Status 8/15/2011 AD

Applicant Pine Glades Development, LLC

Owner PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT, LLC

Site Address
30-266 Pine Glades Drive, etal

City
Jackson

State Zip
WY 83001

Subdivision

Parcel No General Plan
22411633438006

Type of Review	Status	Dates			Remarks
		Sent	Due	Received	
Fire <none> Kathy Clay, Fire Inspector Jackson Hole Fire/EMS 733-4732	APPROVED W/COND	6/22/2011	6/22/2011	7/5/2011	

1. 20' fire access lanes shall be maintained through the GCE-roadways through the subdivision.

Legal A Cohen-Davis	APPROVED	6/22/2011	6/22/2011	6/30/2011	
------------------------	----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--

Parks and Rec <none>	APPROVED	6/22/2011	6/22/2011	7/5/2011	
-------------------------	----------	-----------	-----------	----------	--

Pathways Brian Schilling	APPROVED	6/22/2011	6/22/2011	7/5/2011	
-----------------------------	----------	-----------	-----------	----------	--

Planning Shawn Hill	APPROVED W/COND	6/22/2011	6/22/2011	7/5/2011	
------------------------	-----------------	-----------	-----------	----------	--

1. The applicant shall not obstruct public access on the proposed pathway.
2. The applicant shall not obstruct public access on the Town of Jackson access easement designated as GCE-Roadway on the Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition plat.
3. The applicant shall place signage at the Cache Avenue and Pine Drive accesses stating that public access is granted to pedestrians and bicyclists. This signage shall be installed prior to building permit issuance for any future building within the Pine Glades PUD or by October 15, 2011, whichever comes first.
4. The applicant shall have a survey prepared that documents the final alignment of the pathway and record said survey prior to October 15, 2011, and provide notification to the Planning Department. This survey shall also include an element ensuring public access on the trail itself as well as each end of the trail alignment.

Police <none>	APPROVED	6/22/2011	6/22/2011	6/24/2011	No police concerns
------------------	----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--------------------

Project Reviews

Report By: Shawn Hill



Type of Review
Contact
Notes

Status

Dates

Sent

Due

Received

Remarks

Public Works
Shawn OMalley

APPROVED

6/22/2011

6/22/2011

6/23/2011

No Engineer concerns

CERTIFICATE OF OWNER

State of Wyoming)
County of Teton) ss.
Town of Jackson)

The undersigned owner hereby certifies that the foregoing subdivision of Lot 9 of Block 7 of the Aspen Hill Lots, a subdivision of record in the Office of the Clerk of Teton County, Wyoming, as Plat No. 124, and that parcel of land located within the NE1/4SE1/4 Section 33, T41N, R116W, 6th P.M., as shown on this plat and more particularly described under the Certificate of Surveyor is with free consent and in accordance with the desire of the undersigned owner and proprietor of the described lands;

that the name of the subdivision shall be PINE GLADES TOWNHOMES PHASE ONE ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON;

that this subdivision is subject to a Declaration of Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions to be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of Teton County, Wyoming, concurrently with this plat;

that this subdivision is subject to any covenants, conditions, restrictions, easements, ditches, roadways, rights-of-way, common areas, reservations and building setback requirements as delineated on the Aspen Hill Lots Plat, recorded as Plat No. 124, on file in the Office of the Clerk of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is in accordance with and subject to the terms and conditions of the PINE GLADES PUD Final Development Plan approved by the Town Council of Jackson, Wyoming, on January 22, 2008;

that access to this subdivision is from Pine Glades Drive;

that Pine Glades Drive and Jessica Lane are private roads serving this subdivision;

that emergency access to this subdivision is from Pine Drive through a break-away access system;

that this subdivision is subject to any covenants, conditions, restrictions, easements, ditches, roadways, rights-of-way, common areas, reservations and building setback requirements as delineated on Maps T-56A and T-56C on file in said Clerk's Office;

that this subdivision is subject to that Subdivision Improvement Agreement to be recorded concurrently with this plat;

that as of the date of recording this plat, this plat is subject to that certain Lien Statement executed by Sullivan Construction, LLC, claimant, recorded in the Office of the Teton County Clerk on April 27, 2009 in Book 727 of Photo, Pages 1 to 274 and that certain Amendment To and Partial Release of Lien executed by Sullivan Construction, LLC, claimant, recorded in the Office of the Teton County Clerk on June 30, 2009, in Book 734 of Photo, Pages 286 to 300;

that this subdivision is subject to that right-of-way easement granted to the Town of Jackson on file in Book 85 of Photo, Pages 251-253, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to that easement granted to Landmark Homes and Development Company, Inc. on file in Book 54 of Photo, Page 528, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to that easement granted to Jackson Hole Cable Telecommunications, Inc. on file in Book 54 of Photo, Page 529, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to that easement granted to Lower Valley Power and Light, Inc. on file in Book 54 of Photo, Page 530, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to that easement deed granted to Jackson Hole Cable TV, Ltd. on file in Book 87 of Photo, Pages 230-245, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to that easement granted to Lower Valley Power and Light, Inc. on file in Book 88 of Photo, Page 414, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to that easement deed granted to Jackson Cable Corp. on file in Book 120 of Photo, Pages 316-330, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to that easement deed granted to McCaw Cablevision Limited Partnership Jackson Hole on file in Book 174 of Photo, Pages 66-85, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision has the use and benefit of that Tunnel and Construction Easement Agreement on file in Book 705 of Photo, Pages 1188-1203, records of Teton County, Wyoming and that certain Amendment to Tunnel and Construction Easement Agreement to be recorded concurrently with this plat;

that this subdivision has the use and benefit of that Access, Utility, Roadway Maintenance and Construction Easement Agreement described in Book 705 of Photo, Pages 491-503, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to those access and/or utility easements hereby granted to the Town of Jackson as shown hereon and to those easements granted to the Town of Jackson by that certain Right-of-Way, Utility and Snow Storage Easement Agreement to be recorded concurrently with this plat;

that the Town of Jackson is hereby granted a utility easement in, under, over and across the entire GCE-Roadway, as shown hereon, except for those portions of the GCE - Roadway that are within those Lots designated as townhome lots, for purposes of installing water and sewer utility infrastructure and all appurtenances thereto;

that the Town of Jackson is hereby granted an access easement across the entire GCE-Roadway;

that the Town of Jackson is hereby granted an access and maintenance easement across the entire subdivision for purposes of accessing and maintaining all water valves, meters, shut-off boxes, sewer cleanouts, manholes and appurtenances thereto;

that this subdivision is subject to that 15 foot wide access easement and skier access easement set forth in that certain Access and Recreation Easement Agreement described in Book 706 of Photo, Pages 518 to 531, and that certain First Amendment to Access and Recreation Easement to be recorded concurrently with this plat and all amendments thereto;

that, pursuant to a condition required by the Town of Jackson of the approved Final Development Plan, the undersigned hereby reserves unto itself, its heirs, successors and assigns, the right to grant to the public a pedestrian easement to be located within Lot H;

that this subdivision is subject to that Access and Utility Easement Agreement granted to the owner of Lot 68 Aspen Highlands, Plat No. 175, as shown hereon and of record in Book 728 of Photo, Pages 208-215, records of Teton County;

CERTIFICATE OF OWNER (CONTINUED)

that this subdivision is subject to those Distribution Gas & Electric Easements of record in Book 735 of Photo, Pages 14-16, and in Book 735 of Photo, Pages 17-22, records of Teton County, Wyoming, as shown hereon;

that all owners of lots or units within the subdivision, and their heirs, successors, and assigns, the Town of Jackson, utility service providers, and emergency vehicles, including ambulances, fire-fighting vehicles, and police vehicles are hereby granted an access easement in and through that certain three dimensional airspace within Lots 1-14 as described and shown hereon, and such access easements are hereby declared to be a part of the GCE-Roadway;

that Lots A-E are subject to the access easements as shown hereon, and the undersigned, pursuant to the Final Development Plan hereby reserves the right to grant to all owners of lots or units within the subdivision, their heirs, successors, and assigns, the Town of Jackson, utility service providers, and emergency vehicles, including ambulances, fire-fighting vehicles, and police vehicles, an access easement in and through those certain three dimensional airspace within Lots A-E to be described in a future plat, and such access easements shall be declared to be a part of the GCE-Roadway;

that the Common Area, Lot H, including the GCE - Roadway, shall be conveyed by recorded deed to the Homeowners Association for common use and enjoyment of the Common Area by all owners of a lot or unit within this subdivision except for those portions of said Common Area being reserved and dedicated as Limited Common Elements and for those uses and areas restricted as noted on this plat and in those Declarations cited above;

that a non-exclusive easement in, over and across that portion of the GCE - Roadway (Pine Glades Drive and Jessica Lane), is hereby granted to each owner of a lot within Pine Glades Townhomes, their heirs, successors, and assigns for purposes of access to and from said lots and for underground utilities serving said lots;

that a non-exclusive easement in and through the Three-Dimensional Access Easement Across Lots 1-14 and A-E is hereby granted to each owner of a lot within Pine Glades Townhomes, their heirs, successors, and assigns for purposes of access to and from said lots;

that those portions of Common Area, Lot H, divided into Limited Common Elements as denoted by LCE followed by the number of the associated lot, are reserved for the exclusive use and enjoyment of the owner of such lot, subject to easements of sight and or of record including those granted hereon and subject to the rights of such owner, his heirs and assigns, and of the Homeowners Association and its authorized representatives to enter upon said Limited Common Elements for the purpose of installing, maintaining, or inspecting utility facilities serving this subdivision;

that non-exclusive easements across the Common Area, Lot H, including all of the Limited Common Elements, are hereby granted to Lower Valley Energy and those other utility companies, their successors and assigns serving this subdivision for installation, maintenance and repair of the utility services for the subdivision as shown hereon;

that the undersigned owner reserves the right to vacate and replat Lot F and Lot G into a total of 12 condominium units and common area pursuant to the Final Development Plan;

that the undersigned owner reserves the right to vacate and replat Lots A, B, C, D and E into a total of 13 townhome lots pursuant to the Final Development Plan;

that the undersigned reserves the right to assign appurtenant Limited Common Elements to condominium units and lots (either individually or collectively) to be created upon the vacation and replat of Lots A-G by recording such replat(s) and/or by recording a supplemental declaration;

that all easements, rights, obligations and burdens created by this plat shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the lots and all additional lots and/or condominium units created by the vacation and replat of lots pursuant to the reserved rights of the undersigned;

that access across the Common Area, Lot H, and in and through the Three-Dimensional Access Easement Across Lots 1-14 & A-E is hereby granted to emergency vehicles, including ambulances, fire-fighting vehicles, and police vehicles;

that the undersigned owner reserves unto itself, its heirs, successors, and assigns the right of ingress and egress across the Common Area, Lot H, for construction and other purposes relating to this subdivision and the right to grant unto other parties non-exclusive easements across Common Area, Lot H;

that the seller does not warrant to the purchaser that he or she shall have any rights to the natural flow of any stream within or adjacent to the subdivision;

that Wyoming law does not recognize any riparian rights with regard to the natural flow of a stream or river for persons living on the banks of the stream or river;

that all rights under the Homestead Exemption Laws of the State of Wyoming are hereby waived and released;

that Lot 9 of Block 7 of the Aspen Hill Lots is hereby vacated, hereby now being a portion of PINE GLADES TOWNHOMES PHASE ONE ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON;

that in accordance with said Section 34-12-110, Wyoming Statutes, the Teton County Clerk is respectfully requested to write "vacated" across Lot 9 of Block 7 of the Aspen Hill Lots recorded in said Office as Plat No. 124.

PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT, LLC
a Wyoming limited liability company

BY: Fluid Capital, LLC
a Washington limited liability company
ITS: Manager

BY: Jeffrey S. Hussey
ITS: Manager

SIGNATURE BY SEPARATE AFFIDAVIT

FINAL PLAT
PINE GLADES TOWNHOMES PHASE ONE
ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON

BEING
LOT 9 BLOCK 7 ASPEN HILL LOTS
TOGETHER WITH UNPLATTED LANDS LOCATED WITHIN
NE1/4SE1/4 SECTION 33
T41N, R116W, 6TH P.M.
TOWN OF JACKSON
TETON COUNTY, WYOMING

SHEET 2 OF 7



265 North Glenwood
P.O. Box 12290
Jackson, WY 83002
(307) 734-6131

LEGEND

- INDICATES A STEEL PIPE WITH A BRASS CAP INSCRIBED "RLS 164" AND APPROPRIATE DETAILS FOUND THIS SURVEY; WYOMING CORNER RECORD ON FILE
- INDICATES A STEEL PIPE WITH A BRASS CAP INSCRIBED "RLS 376" AND APPROPRIATE DETAILS FOUND THIS SURVEY; WYOMING CORNER RECORD ON FILE
- INDICATES A STEEL PIPE WITH A BRASS CAP INSCRIBED "PHILIP G. MORTON" AND APPROPRIATE DETAILS FOUND THIS SURVEY; WYOMING CORNER RECORD ON FILE
- INDICATES A STEEL PIPE WITH A DOA-USFS TYPE BRASS CAP INSCRIBED WITH APPROPRIATE DETAILS FOUND THIS SURVEY
- INDICATES A STEEL PIPE WITH A BRASS CAP INSCRIBED "PLS 6447" AND APPROPRIATE DETAILS SET THIS SURVEY
- INDICATES A T-SHAPED STEEL STAKE WITH A CHROME CAP INSCRIBED "RLS 164" FOUND THIS SURVEY
- INDICATES A T-SHAPED STEEL STAKE WITH A CHROME CAP INSCRIBED "PE&LS 578" FOUND THIS SURVEY
- INDICATES A T-SHAPED STEEL STAKE FOUND THIS SURVEY
- INDICATES A 5/8 INCH DIAMETER STEEL REBAR WITH AN ALUMINUM CAP INSCRIBED "PLS 6447" SET THIS SURVEY

- EXTERIOR PROPERTY LINE
- TOWNHOME LOT LINE
- TOWNHOME LOT LINE COINCIDENT WITH EASEMENT
- - - LOT LINE TO BE VACATED BY THIS SUBDIVISION
- ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
- EASEMENT
- CENTERLINE OF EASEMENT
- LIMITED COMMON ELEMENT

THREE-DIMENSIONAL ACCESS EASEMENT
(SEE CROSS-SECTION DETAILS ON SHEETS 4-6)


- LCE LIMITED COMMON ELEMENT AND ASSOCIATED LOT NUMBER
- D-() DECK-LOT NUMBER
 - P-() PARKING-LOT NUMBER
 - DR-() DRIVEWAY-LOT NUMBER

GRID NORTH


ON SIGHT

LAND SURVEYORS

On Sight Land Surveyors, Inc.
Teton County Control Network
2001



--- NOTE: LINE & CURVE TABLES ARE SHOWN ON SHEET 7 ---



FOR FURTHER DETAIL, REFER TO THE
THE FOLLOWING SHEETS:

LOT	SHEET NO.
LOT 1	6
LOT 2	6
LOT 3	6
LOT 4	6
LOT 5	6
LOT 6	6
LOT 7	6
LOT 8	6
LOT 9	6
LOT 10	5
LOT 11	5
LOT 12	5
LOT 13	5
LOT 14	5
LOT A	4, 5
LOT B	4, 5
LOT C	6
LOT D	6
LOT E	6
LOT F	4
LOT G	4
LOT H	4, 5, 6

PUBLIC MAINTENANCE OF ASPEN DRIVE, PINE DRIVE, AND SPRUCE DRIVE

PINE GLADES DRIVE AND JESSICA LANE ARE PRIVATE ROADS

NO PUBLIC MAINTENANCE OF PINE GLADES DRIVE AND JESSICA LANE

THIS SUBDIVISION WILL BE CONNECTED TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM

THIS SUBDIVISION WILL BE CONNECTED TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON SEWER COLLECTION AND TREATMENT SYSTEM

SELLER DOES NOT WARRANT TO THE PURCHASER THAT HE OR SHE SHALL HAVE ANY RIGHTS TO THE NATURAL FLOW OF ANY STREAM WITHIN OR ADJACENT TO THE SUBDIVISION

WYOMING LAW DOES NOT RECOGNIZE ANY RIPARIAN RIGHTS TO THE CONTINUED NATURAL FLOW OF ANY STREAM OR RIVER FOR PERSONS LIVING ON THE BANKS OF THE STREAM OR RIVER

THE SURFACE ESTATE OF THE LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED IS SUBJECT TO FULL AND EFFECTIVE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MINERAL ESTATE

AREAS OF POTENTIAL AVALANCHE HAZARD WITHIN THIS SUBDIVISION WERE IDENTIFIED BY JMY ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRACTING AND DOCUMENTED IN A REPORT TITLED DATED SEPTEMBER 23, 2007. THIS STUDY IDENTIFIED "BLUE" ZONES, AREAS OF MODERATE HAZARD IN THE AVALANCHE ZONING PLAN. LOT F AND LOT G LIE WITHIN THE "BLUE" ZONE OF THE STUDY. ACCORDING TO THE STUDY, PRIVATE HOMES ARE PERMITTED IN THE BLUE ZONE IF THEY ARE DESIGNED TO RESIST AVALANCHE FORCES OR ARE OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY AVALANCHE DEFENSE.

FINAL PLAT
PINE GLADES TOWNHOMES PHASE ONE
ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON

BEING
LOT 9 BLOCK 7 ASPEN HILL LOTS
TOGETHER WITH UNPLATTED LANDS LOCATED WITHIN

NE1/4SE1/4 SECTION 33

T41N, R116W, 6TH P.M.

TOWN OF JACKSON

TETON COUNTY, WYOMING

SHEET 3 OF 7

On Sight
LAND SURVEYORS, INC.

265 North Glenwood
P.O. Box 12290
Jackson, WY 83002
(307) 734-6131

U.S.A.
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
U.S. FOREST SERVICE
BRIDGER-TETON NATIONAL FOREST



SCANNED

P14-062

PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT

October 24, 2014

Brenda Wylie
P.O. Box 4574
Jackson, Wyoming 83001

Re: Item P14-062
30 Pine Glades Drive

Dear Ms. Wylie:

This letter is to confirm that on October 22, 2014, the Town of Jackson Planning Director **approved** your request for a Minor Deviation to relocate the approved pathway connecting Pine Glades Townhomes to the Town of Jackson "Sink or Swim" pathway subject to the departmental reviews attached hereto.

Please note that any revisions to the Final Development Plan shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Director. Approval of any changes to the Final Development Plan shall be in accordance with Section 51200.J. Minor Deviations and 51200.K. Amendment to a Development Plan permit of the Town of Jackson Land Development Regulations.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 733-0440, Ext. 1302.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Lindsay Kissel".

Lindsay Kissel
Senior Planner

Project Number	P14-062	Applied	8/11/2014	TV
Project Name	Pine Glades Trail Relocation	Approved	8/18/2014	TV
Type	MINOR DEVIATION	Closed		
Subtype		Expired	8/18/2014	TV
Status	STAFF REVIEW	Status		

Applicant	Brenda Wylie	Owner	PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT, LLC
------------------	--------------	--------------	------------------------------

Site Address	City	State	Zip
30 Pine Glades Drive	Jackson	WY	83001

Subdivision	Parcel No	General Plan
	22411633438006	

Type of Review	Status	Dates			Remarks
		Sent	Due	Received	
Contact Notes					
Legal	APPROVED W/COND	8/12/2014	9/2/2014	9/2/2014	
Audrey Cohen-Davis					
The new location wil need to be delineated on a Pathways Easement or amended Plat.					

Planning	APPROVED	8/12/2014	9/2/2014	10/24/2014	
Lindsay Kissel					

Public Works	APPROVED W/COND	8/12/2014	9/2/2014	8/13/2014	
Jeremy Parker					

Lindsay Kissel

From: Brenda Wylie [brenda@wyliefirm.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 3:38 PM
To: Lindsay Kissel
Cc: koehler.jack@gmail.com
Subject: FW: Pine Glades and Shade Monkey
Attachments: Shade Monkey Map.pdf

Thank you Jack. I have Lindsay at the Town to this e-mail. Regarding the old trail, that was my understanding as well - that the stone and material would be pushed up in a mound at the turn area so that it would deter people from riding over it.

Lindsay, can you let me know if you need anything further from me? See attached and below.

Best regards,
Brenda

Wylie Law Firm LLC | 320 E. Broadway, P.O. Box 4574, Jackson, Wyoming 83001 | work 307-733-6688 | mobile 307.690.4181 | brenda@wyliefirm.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail message contains information belonging to the law firm, which may be privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you think that you have received this message in error, please e-mail the sender. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.

CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Internal Revenue Service regulations require that certain types of written advice include a disclaimer. To the extent the preceding message contains advice relating to a Federal tax issue, unless expressly stated otherwise the advice is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used by the recipient or any other taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding Federal tax penalties, and was not written to support the promotion or marketing of any transaction or matter discussed herein.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jack Koehler [<mailto:koehler.jack@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, October 17, 2014 4:39 PM
To: Brenda Wylie
Cc: Katherine Dowson
Subject: Pine Glades and Shade Monkey

Hi Brenda,
I finally found time to put together the map you requested. We have an accurate GPS track of the finished trail alignment, including where it crosses town land that we could make available to Todd Cederholm if we need a better map to describe an access easement. It is in our GIS system and can be easily shared. Let me know if you need help with this if the attached map is not sufficient.

As I recall it, I was to write the town and let them know that FOP would be responsible for the trail build. I feel fine saying the trail is built. There is restoration work, related to

the new trail that should take place, but it is a drop in the bucket compared to the total amount of clean up and restoration that is still to be done from all the snow making and other trail work. It's a bit overwhelming how trashed the place looks right now.


As far as the old trail down to the development, I recall we were to rework the new junction at the top of the new trail to direct bike and hikers out on to Snow King or up the shade Monkey trail. This was to be accomplished by adding some stone steps or other natural material that would be awkward to ride or step over. We were going to leave the old trail in place. Can you please verify my understanding? The kiosk at Pine Glades has been removed.

If there is anything else you would like us to accomplish please let me know.

Thanks,
Jack

--

Jack Koehler
koehler.jack@gmail.com
PO Box 3791 Jackson, Wyoming 83001
307 413 6018



100 0 100 200 300 400 Feet

Tyler Valentine

From: Chris Owen <chris@friendsofpathways.org>
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2019 12:24 PM
To: Tyler Valentine; Jack Koehler; Brian Schilling
Subject: Re: Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer
Attachments: Pine Glades Access-03.jpg

Hey Tyler good to meet you this morning, heres a quick recap of the work we have done just for the record. Jack and Brian I included you in case I missed anything. In 2011 FOP worked with town and Pine Glades to build the Shade Monkey Trail from one of the higher lots on Pine Glades Dr. to the USFS boundary. In 2014 FOP worked with Snow King to extend Shade Monkey to the base of the Cougar lift utilizing their old horse trails to provide an in town access point to the national forest. It was either that summer or the next that we closed the spur of the trail that accessed Pine Glades at the higher lot on Pine Glades Dr. As mentioned in the email we built a small retaining wall of rocks to support the main leg of the trail and clearly channel people onto the Snow King ski area and the lower portion of Shade Monkey. We left the old trail bench to pine glades and removed the kiosk at the higher lot. As of last summer 2018 it looked rarely used but there were some track and matted grass along the fence line of construction at that higher lot, seemingly from folks in the neighborhood walking around the construction to access the trail. We can certainly go in and put a hard closure on the trail by dropping trees, reseeding etc. FOP worked again with Pine Glades in 2017 to build the new access point just above the tunnel. The USFS wanted to adopt the user created trail to the 5th switchback on the Shade Monkey Trail so we worked with the contractor to move some of the large rock lining that northeast corner of the property to line a trail that we constructed, lined with gravel, and added one of the new trail wayfinding signs to denote an official trail.

The access point from Wister Ave. to the trail system on the national forest does look doable by traversing below Jessica Lane and tying in at the staircase at the end of the retaining wall to gain access back to the road and the access trail mentioned above on the northeast corner of the property. This would involve roughly \$3000 worth of work as compared to the other alternative of trying to build a trail along the western property boundary which is steep and would require a much larger staircase construction out of railroad ties or something similar for approximately 500 vertical feet up the hillside. This would be more costly due to the railroad ties, rebar, more labor etc.

Thanks Tyler,

Chris

On Mon, Apr 15, 2019 at 10:00 AM Tyler Valentine <tvalentine@jacksonwy.gov> wrote:
Jack,

Good chatting with you earlier. I am trying to verify in writing that the portion of trail in front of the duplex at 140 Pine Glades Drive (which connected to Shade Monkey) was vacated and relocated adjacent to the upper opening of the tunnel. Attached is a correspondence between you and Brenda Wylie and Lynsey Kissel which appears to confirm the trail vacation/re-location.

Also, if there are any obligations of the developer to upgrade the new trail connector, please let me know via site inspection. Also, a cost estimate would be helpful so we can have them bond for those improvements.

Thanks, Tyler V.

733-0440 x1305

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: <PlanningXerox@townofjackson.com>
Date: April 15, 2019 at 9:52:46 AM MDT
To: "Valentine, Tyler " <tvalentine@jacksonwy.gov>
Subject: Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer
Reply-To: <PlanningXerox@townofjackson.com>

Please open the attached document. It was scanned and sent to you using a Xerox Multifunction Printer.

Sent by: Guest
Number of Images: 3
Attachment File Type: pdf, Multi-Page

Sent from Town of Jackson Planning Department Xerox

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).

--
Chris Owen
Trails Program Manager
Friends of Pathways
307-733-4534

Snow King TH



New Access Trail

Access Trail from
Wister Ave.

Old Access Trail

Shade Monkey



NO
Trespassing
Private Property

NO
Trespassing
Private Property



CAUTION
Max. Height
10 Feet
No Semi Trucks
or Trains

SPEED
LIMIT
10

NO
Trespassing
Private Property



Visual Impact Analysis

Pine Glades Development, LLC

The Pine Glades visual impact analysis has three different presentations: a comparative view of similar, neighboring properties; a comparative cross-section of foreground clearing; and a 3-D modeling of the site with proposed buildings and roadways.

Comparative View of Similar, Neighboring Properties:

Snow King Estates and Aspen Highlands, two developments built on similar slopes with similar tree density as the proposed Pine Glades development, have nominal visibility from US Highway 89 as illustrated in a picture taken from the National Wildlife Museum.

Comparative Cross-Section of Foreground Clearing:



The Pine Glades design seeks to minimize visual impact by incorporating drive aisles and parking areas below the buildings, eliminating the need for individual driveways and minimizing site disturbance and lot coverage by terracing parking and living uses. To illustrate the impact minimized disturbance has on visual impact, see the cross-section of the DeFazio single family residence under construction at 695 Pine Drive vs. a cross-section of the proposed Pine Glades town homes, attached hereto. The 160 feet of foreground clearing between the DeFazio roofline and the Moeller roofline, includes: DeFazio's house, driveway and yard, Pine Drive, and Moeller's driveway, yard and house. To the contrary, the proposed Pine Glades development has a nominal foreground clearing and as a result has nominal visual impact.

3-D Modeling of Site with Proposed Buildings and Roadways:

The revised 3-D analysis provides a life like animation of the proposed Pine Glades community. To ensure accuracy, after buildings and roadways were mapped and all trees with a five inch or greater trunk diameter were surveyed, a fuel management specialist from the Teton Science School determined which trees were to be removed in order to provide appropriate defensible space, as well as locations for trees to be added to further reduce visibility of the Pine Glades development from town.




Visibility of Other Hillside Neighborhoods Surrounding Snow King from North of Town

**32 Homes in Snow King Estates, 4 Visible
Nearly 1 Mile of Roads, None Visible**






**7 Homes at Ends of Pine and Wister, None Visible
Nearly 1/4 Mile of Roads, None Visible**



Pine Glades Development, LLC

Comparative Cross-Section of Foreground Clearing




View of DeFazio and Moeller Houses
The distance between the tree lines is approximately 160 Feet.



Same picture as above only take behind the red truck
Notice the distance between the tree lines in approximately 28 feet





LEGEND

- TREES TO BE REMOVED
- TREES WHICH MAY NEED PRUNING TO BE ABOVE ROOFLINE, OUT OF ROAD OR >10' FROM A BUILDING
- UNTOUCHED TREE
- ASPEN PLANTING AREAS (APPROX. 180 ASPEN TREES, 60 SERVICEBERRY, AND 60 SNOWBERRY EQUALLY DISTRIBUTED THROUGHOUT PLANTING AREAS)

0 50 100 150 200

SCALE: 1" = 100'

PROJECT TITLE: PINE GLADES FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN PUD & CUP	DRAWING TITLE: TREE SURVEY USED FOR VISIBILITY STUDY		DAVE TAYLOR & ASSOCIATES, INC. 70 S. KING STREET, P.O. BOX 11870 JACKSON, WYOMING 83002 (307) 734-5245	PREPARED BY: DWT DATE: 9-25-07 REV. DATE:
	PROJECT NUMBER: 05-019	DRAWING NUMBER: S8A		











Landscape Plan

Pine Glades Development, LLC

Currently the subject property is dominated by a mature Douglas Fir forest with over 3,000 trees in excess of 6" in diameter. Limited numbers of Aspen trees, serviceberry, snowberry and chokecherry occur in the canopy openings of the Fir. The duff layer, which varies in depth from 1" to 6", is covered with moss, lichen or fungi. As such the forest can be characterized as mature, homogeneous with very little sunlight penetration resulting in low plant species diversity.

The project plant unit requirement is calculated to be 42 units. Given the large number of existing trees the applicant requests that the plant unit requirement be satisfied by credit for existing vegetation. This request is supported by the table entitled "Landscape Requirements" which indicates the plant units required, and compares this requirement (utilizing Plant Unit Alternative B) to the existing vegetation, as well as the additional material proposed to address the Fuels and Vegetation Management Plan.

The applicant has completed a Fuels and Vegetation Management Plan for the project area. This plan identifies areas to be cleared of mature Doug Fir trees and replanted with Aspen overstory and Serviceberry/Snowberry understory. These areas (and the requisite plant numbers) are identified on the Landscape Plan and intended to provide fire barriers for the proposed development. Exact placement of the plant material within the designated areas will be accomplished by a representative from Teton Science School Conservation Research Center and the Teton County Fire Marshall during the construction process to insure maximum effectiveness.

As demonstrated by the visual analysis presented during the Sketch Plan hearings the applicant is sensitive to the visual impacts of the development as viewed from points in the Town of Jackson. In order to address these impacts the developer is committed to preserving as many existing trees as possible during construction and planting up to 100 additional trees for screening purposes. In order to maximize their effectiveness, these plantings will be located after building construction. Accordingly, these plantings are not indicated on the Landscape Plan.

Landscaping Requirements

Suburban Residential Zoning District

(LDR §4160)

Plant Units Required:		Plant Units per unit	Plant Units Required	
Dwelling units	39 dwelling units	x 1	=	39
Parking	37 outdoor spaces	x 1/12	=	3
				<u>42</u>

Required vs. Proposed Plants:		Plants per Plant Unit*	Total Plants Required	Existing & Proposed Plants**	Surplus Over Req'd
8' & Larger Evergreen Trees (Douglas Fir)		3 x 42 =	126	>2,800	>2,670
3" & Larger Canopy Trees (Aspen)		2 x 42 =	84 ***	240	156
#5 & Larger Container Shrubs (Service & Snowberries)		2 x 42 =	84	>220	>140


*Using Plant Unit Alternative B by way of example to show magnitude of surplus over requirement

* Existing & Proposed Plants:

Exiting Plants Remaining		New Plantings	Total
8' & Larger Evergreen Trees	> 2,800	t.b.d.	>2,800
3" & Larger Canopy Trees	60	180	240
#5 & Larger Container Shrubs****	> 100 ***	120	>220

*** Although credit not taken here, bike racks at each building (11) reduce the required plantings by 11 canopy trees

**** There are over 100 shrubs 5'-6' tall. The property is, in fact, carpeted with small shrubs probably numbering in the thousands



270 WEST PEARL, SUITE 103
P. O. Box 3070
JACKSON, WY 83001
307.734.1908
307.733.3752 (fax)
WWW.LRW-LAW.COM



LONG
REIMER
WINEGAR

LONG | REIMER | WINEGAR | BEPPLER LLP

ERIKA M. NASH
PARTNER

ADMITTED IN WY
enash@lrw-law.com

WITH ATTORNEYS ADMITTED IN
WY CO UT CA ID MT NE ND AZ & WA

June 26, 2019

Town of Jackson
Planning & Building Department
Attn: Tyler Sinclair, Director
P.O. Box 1687
Jackson, WY 83001

Via Email: tsinclair@jacksonwy.gov

Town of Jackson
Lea M. Colasuonno,
Interim Town Attorney
P.O. Box 1687
Jackson, WY 83001

Via Email: lcolasuonno@jacksonwy.gov

Re: *Compliance with Pine Glades Planned Unit Development; Other*

Dear Mr. Sinclair and Ms. Colasuonno,

As you are aware, my office, along with Bill Collins of Collins Planning Associates, Todd Cedarholm of On Sight Land Surveyors Inc., Dave Myers of Mountain Home Building, and Carolyn Burke of Sagebrush Architect Services, are working together with Pine Canyon, LLC, the current owner of portions of Pine Glades Planned Unit Development, to complete this development in a timely manner. Specifically, approximately 1.5 years ago, Pine Canyon, LLC, purchased the remaining development rights of this development comprising of the two unit duplex currently under construction in Phase 1 and the entire Phase 2 of the development (often referred to herein as "Pine Glades").

Pine Glades was originally approved by the Town of Jackson approximately twelve years ago in 2007, when the development was owned then by Dave Taylor, who ultimately never completed the development and apparently failed to make good on many of his promises to homeowners inside Pine Glades and neighboring properties, and to the Town of Jackson itself. Pine Canyon LLC purchased the remaining development rights and has been diligently working to understand the scope of the development and quickly start to address what amounts to over ten years of deferred maintenance issues, including but not limited to completing Phase 1, while working towards starting and completing Phase 2, which requires the final step of the Town of Jackson and Pine Canyon entering into a Development Agreement.

When on behalf of Pine Canyon, its advisors reviewed the title report for the development which included all Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions ("CC&Rs"), plats, recorded easements,

and restrictions of title. The team also reviewed the development file with the Town of Jackson Planning and Building Department and submitted and received responses to a detailed Zoning Compliance Verification prior to purchasing the property. The town's February 17, 2017 ZCV stated that the staff was unaware of any outstanding easement requirements for the entire Pine Glades PUD and further stated that the staff was not aware of any additional outstanding conditions or requirements that would prevent the issuance of building permits on the remaining unbuilt townhomes. The title was clear and the development plan, coupled with the Town's ZCV response, was clear also regarding what was left to be completed and the outstanding obligations and requirements for the Pine Glades developer. These remaining items included the construction of the final two unit duplex in Phase 1, constructing a trails system that accesses the existing adjacent trails on Snow King and in Bridger Teton National Forest, and construction of all of Phase 2, including some of the Phase 2 infrastructure which requires the Town and the new owner enter into a Development Agreement.

As the Pine Glades team worked toward a development agreement and several modifications the new owner initially wished to make to the PUD, we learned that the Town Planning Department required an easement of non-vehicular access for the general public to travel on the two private roads within the Pine Glades PUD. We very much disagree that any such requirement was imposed on the original Pine Glades PUD or can be imposed now. In an attempt to resolve this disagreement, on March 22, 2019, Pine Canyon agent Bill Collins, provided the Town planners and then-attorney Audrey Cohen-Davis, a memo written by Todd Cedarholm that was accompanied with several exhibits that demonstrated that no such public access was ever required or recorded on the private roads in the PUD. Mr. Collins asked that in turn, the Town staff review their files and provide a response memo with supporting evidence that the staff believes supports the assertion that non-vehicular access is required as a PUD condition on the private roads.

Thus, we now are in receipt of your compliance letter dated May 28, 2019, which purports to contain all outstanding items/conditions for this development as well as all evidence you believe supports the Town's assertion that non-vehicular public access is or must be granted over the Pine Glades private roads. Thank you also again for agreeing at our meeting on June 17, 2019 to discuss the compliance letter in general terms, where you agreed to extend the unilaterally imposed initial compliance deadlines in that letter to July 1, 2019 in order to give us adequate time to review and respond to the compliance letter. As set forth in greater detail below, Pine Canyon, its consultants and its legal team, do not believe that a public easement for non-motorized access currently exists over the private roads in the Pine Glades development, nor do they believe that such non-motorized access easement was ever an approved condition of the development. However, Pine Canyon is proposing an improved trail system, attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, (the "Current Trail Proposal") that will provide public access on the Pine Glades development's private property while maintaining the roads located within the development as private roads. To the extent necessary, this trail system will only necessitate public access onto the cul-de-sac at the western end of Pine Glades Drive for the public to access two trails that traverse uphill and downhill from that point on the road. This would be the only location where the public needs access on private roads located within the development, which we believe, will satisfy the intent of the original developer's promise to the members of the public, which is to allow the public to gain reasonable access to the

trail systems on this private development that cross and hook into trails on Snow King Mountain and in the Bridger Teton National Forest.

Of Phase 1, there are 12 market townhomes (both duplex and triplex), 2 affordable condominium units (duplex), and 7 affordable townhome units (all attached), and as previously mentioned, a duplex that remains to be completed. At this time, Phase 2 includes 13 market townhome units consisting of three triplexes and two duplexes, but none of those units have been constructed, nor has Jessica Lane, the road servicing Phase 2, been constructed. As most of Phase 1 has been developed and is occupied by homeowners, Phase 1 has been turned over to the Pine Glades Homeowner's Association, a nonprofit corporation filed with the Wyoming Secretary of State (the "HOA") comprised of owners of the Phase 1 constructed properties. The HOA controls the management and oversight of various common areas and community improvements in Phase 1, and enforces certain rules and restrictions in Phase 1 as guided by its Bylaws, the CC&Rs, as supplemented and amended, and the various plats. The HOA bears all maintenance rights, obligations and expenses across the roadways and sidewalks, as well as any other common areas. Thus, the HOA and not Pine Canyon, LLC, may be the successor to any right or ability to grant future easements over portions of Phase 1 of Pine Glade development, and Pine Canyon, LLC is still the developer of Phase 2, and has the right to complete the development which may include granting certain easements over Phase 2.

I. CURRENT STATUS: NO PUBLIC EASEMENT EXISTS FOR NON-MOTORIZED VEHICLES OVER PRIVATE ROADS IN PINE GLADES.

a. Legal Requirements to Establish an Easement

Because the creation of an easement is a transfer of an interest in real property, legal formalities are required. Generally, an easement can be created in one of two ways. First, an easement can be created by a written instrument that demonstrates the intent to convey such interest and which is signed and notarized by the party from whom the interest will pass.¹ The easement should also clearly state to whom the easement is granted and the location of the easement.² If an easement is created for the public, the written instrument creating the easement and recorded in the public record must have a clear indication of such intent.³

Second, an easement by plat can also establish the right of the public to use property, which is often referred to as a statutory dedication.⁴ To establish an easement by plat to the public, the acknowledged, recorded subdivision plat must clearly establish the owner's intent to irrevocably dedicate specifically identified property to public use. Such a plat is interpreted by looking at the plain language of the plat.⁵ Examples of when an easement by plat dedicates the surface of the property for public use occurs when the plat clearly indicates the public has the right to use the

1 See Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 34-1-106; *Whalon v. North Platte Canal & Colonization Co.*, 11 Wyo. 313 (Wyo. 1903).

2 See Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 34-1-141.

3 See, e.g., *Yeager v. Forbes*, 2003 WY 134, ¶ 28, 78 P.3d 241, 253 (Wyo. 2003).

4 See *Owsley v. Robinson*, 2003 WY 33, ¶ 9, 65 P.3d 374 (Wyo. 2003).

5 See Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 34-12-104; *Owsley*, 2003 WY 33, ¶¶ 10-12.

property for such things as cemeteries, schools, bridges, and parks.⁶ If for example, the plat indicates that there is an easement for utility purposes, then that is the only intended use and does not create an easement to the general public for use of the surface.⁷ Furthermore, if a plat map shows a trail or road, that alone is insufficient to establish a public easement over the trail or road unless there is a clear indication on the plat or a subsequent document that the trail or road is for the general public to use.⁸

In this instance, there are two main items being discussed, public easements (non-motorized) across (1) paved sidewalks/roadways and (2) dirt trails. Currently, there are no trails established on the plat itself; the trails in current existence and those yet to be established reside on the edges of the Pine Glades subdivision, and have been illustrated on a trail map, but no written and recorded easements yet exist for the trails to the public at this time; those easement must still be granted as well, and Pine Canyon agrees that these trails and easements are a part of the requirements to the approved Pine Glades PUD. As discussed in greater detail below, there are no written and recorded easements to the public for access across the roadways either, but these easements are not a requirement of the Pine Glades PUD.

b. An Easement to the Public Over Private Roadways Does Not Currently Exist.

There is no disagreement that the roads within Pine Glades are private roads (the “private roads”) and there is no intent to dedicate them as public roads. In general, these roads are steep, cross through a tight, blind tunnel, and run under living spaces and in front of entry doors and garages for the units; in short they are not conducive to public motor access. Further, there is no outlet at the end of the subdivision roads; everyone has to enter and leave through the same entrance, which includes the tunnel. This leaves the limited issue of whether an easement over the private roads for non-motorized access has been granted to the public. Based on our extensive review of the documents, including the exhibits attached to your May 28, 2019 letter, no easement currently exists to the public over the Pine Glades’ private roads.

An access easement and a right-of-way are not one in the same. An access easement is generally granted to a specific entity for a specific purpose such as utility work/maintenance, emergency vehicle access, etc. A right-of-way is granted for travel purposes. An access easement to a municipality does not automatically grant unfettered access to the public for vehicle, pedestrian, bicycle, equestrian across the property. The Pine Glades subdivision plat grants easements to the Town of Jackson in two instances. In one instance in the certificate of owner, the Town of Jackson is granted an access easement across the entire GCE Roadway. In this note the public is never mentioned and the intent was NOT to grant general access to the public but rather to grant access to the Town of Jackson for the limited purpose of performing municipal duties such as inspections, code enforcement, water meter readings, etc. A second access easement is more specific and grants access for certain utility work and emergency access. Both of these easements mirror almost exactly the review comments made by then Town Engineer Shawn O’Malley when he reviewed the subdivision plat prior to its approval and recording. The law is very clear that an

⁶ See *id.* ¶ 11.

⁷ See *id.*

⁸ See *Beach v. Turim*, 287 Va. 223, 229, 754 S.E.2d 295, 298 (2014).

access easement cannot be inferred, it must be expressly granted, particularly an access easement to the public. Neither of these two easements to the Town grant access to the general public.

i. Specific Example of Public Access Easement In the Town of Jackson

In the Town of Jackson, there is a specific example readily available where the Town required a public access easement to be granted on private roads. Specifically, in the Daisy Bush subdivision the plat clearly provides that the roads within this subdivision, Dylan Drive and Wheatleigh Way, are private roads, and that the lot owners within the subdivision bear all costs of maintenance and ownership of the roads. The current and previous plats, also however, expressly grants public access on the private roads as evidenced below:

The Daisy Bush plat 1296, Certificate of Owner – “the access and utility easement connecting Dylan Drive and Wheatleigh Way was dedicated on said Plat No. 1244 as a public right-of-way for uninhabited non-motorized access and for emergency vehicles only, and that dedication is hereby affirmed.”

The Daisy Bush plat 1296, map on sheet 2 also contains the following notes attached to Dylan Drive and to Wheatleigh Way: “Town of Jackson Utility and Public Access Easement for Non-motorized and Emergency Vehicles Only.”

Further the Daisy Bush plat 1244, Certificate of Owner – “that those roadway and utility easements shown hereon as Dylan Drive and Wheatleigh Way are hereby dedicated as Private roadway and utility easement for the use of the owners of the lots within this subdivision, their heirs successors, and assigns, and shall be the perpetual maintenance responsibility of the owners of lots within this subdivision. Said easements are further dedicated as public right-of-way for uninhibited (sic) non-motorized access.”

Daisy Bush plat 1244, map on sheet 2 also contains the following notes attached to Dylan Drive and Wheatleigh Way: “Driveway, Utility and Public Access Easement.”

This subdivision located in the Town of Jackson is a good example of where there was obviously a clear requirement and condition of the development permit process to provide to the public non-motorized access across certain roadways and then the clear language in the plat that created such easements in favor of the public. No such easement language currently exists for Pine Glades, nor was it ever a condition or requirement of the development permit that such easement language should exist.

II. SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO MAY 29, 2019 LETTER

According to Mr. Sinclair’s representations in our meeting on June 17, 2019, the May 28, 2019 letter contains the complete public record, including specific Exhibits, in support of the Town’s position that an access easement is to be granted to the public over private roads for non-motorized uses. From our review of the public record as demonstrated by the Exhibits, these items fall short of fulfilling the necessary requirements to establish a public easement over the private

sidewalks and roadways located in the Pine Glades development. We will address each exhibit in more detail below.

Exhibit A

The July 17, 2007 letter, attached as Exhibit A to the May 28, 2019 letter, is simply a public comment from a non-governmental organization expressing an opinion about a development application that was being reviewed by the Town Council; the letter did not establish a condition or easement on said application. This letter is not acknowledged or signed by the developer and has no binding effect on the developer (or successors to the developer). It simply provides Tim Young's public comment after his review of the proposed trails. The Town's May 28, 2019 letter mischaracterizes Mr. Young's letter and inserts meaning that is not evident in the plain meaning of the words in his July 17, 2007 letter. Mr. Young makes a salient statement in his July 17, 2007 letter that it is very helpful to see a public easement on all sidewalks and roads, but the Town of Jackson never acted on this suggestion as a condition to the PUD, and no such easement existed then and does not exist today.

Exhibit B

Similarly, the transcript of the January 7, 2008 Town Council meeting on the Pine Glades PUD sketch plan, attached as Exhibit B to the May 28, 2019 letter, containing Pine Glades developer Dave Taylor's statements made during such meeting do not meet the legal requirements of creating a condition or an easement. While the developer provided oral responses to questions, those responses again were never acted upon by the Town of Jackson. The oral statements needed to be properly memorialized to create the legal obligation the Town Planning Department now desires. It is also important to note that Dave Taylor's comments were made during a preliminary meeting in which the Pine Glades PUD sketch plan was reviewed by the Town Council and not during a meeting in which final approval was decided. The sketch plan staff report treats Pine Glades first as a sketch plan for a 39 unit PUD, and then at a later time, a Final Development Plan for a 39-unit PUD was submitted, reviewed and approved by the Town Council. There was no separate PUD approval that was granted concurrently with the sketch plan approval that can be characterized as a final decision. While the Town now relies heavily on Dave Taylor's comments in the preliminary sketch plan meeting, during the review and ultimate approval of the subsequently submitted Final Development Plan, there was absolutely no mention of Mr. Taylor's earlier comments, or the reliance on such comments, in the several pages of staff review comments, the staff report for the Final Development Plan, the Town Council's Findings of Approval, the motion of approval, the conditions of approval, or in the minutes of the Council's meeting in which approval was granted to the Pine Glades Final Development Plan PUD. In good faith, Pine Canyon relied on the written development record and did not have knowledge of the transcript that was included in your letter, but even so, such comments are not the same as an easement or a condition to grant an easement to the public. Furthermore, the transcript containing Mr. Taylor's comments was created only two months ago in April, 2019, after town staff located the tape of the 2008 meeting and had a portion of the recording transcribed to include in your May 28, 2019 letter.

Exhibits C, D

Exhibits C and D relate to the **trail system** and pedestrian easements that were proposed as part of the Pine Glades development; however they do not describe any easement or rights of public access on the sidewalks and private roads in the development. Rather these Exhibits detail a public trail system to access Snow King and the USFS lands. The current developer of the Pine Glades Phase 2 will complete the trail system that fulfills all obligations imposed on Pine Glades to build such a system, and these trails will be surveyed and specifically described and easements will be recorded that expressly allow to public to enjoy them in perpetuity. However, granting public access to a surveyed and specifically defined trail does not automatically or also grant public access to the private roads in the Pine Glades development.

The Final Development Plan Trail Map, attached as Exhibit D to your May 28, 2019 letter, actually provides clear evidence that a public easement was not granted over the private roads. Based on the map legend, the public ski/hiking access is delineated on the map and verbally described as being on “soft surface” trails. These trails are not shown on the private roads. The two trails passing near the S – 1 building and the affordable housing units, and appearing to connect to the private Pine Glades Drive were deleted by the Minor Deviation that Mr. Sinclair approved in 2014 (Exhibit H). The planning staff has asserted in the past that public access on the private roads is necessary to access the trails that were required per this Trail Map. However, the Current Trail Proposal will not require public access on the private roads to access the trails, except for a limited access on the western cul-de-sac described above.

The sidewalk shown on the map matches the Project Summary in Exhibit C that says pedestrian access will be provided to and from each unit within the development, however there is no allowance granted to the general public for use of the sidewalk. This sidewalk is in neither the General nor Limited Common Elements of the subdivision, but rather it passes through private townhouse lots and is subjected to a 3-dimensional access easement to other homeowners. This easement expressly prescribes the beneficiaries who enjoy the easement and the general public is not included in this specific list.

Pine Canyon has developed a plan to provide public access easements through trails, but not public easements over the private roads, see **Exhibit 1**. The Current Trail Proposal includes a trail system shown on the enclosed map, except for the two trails that were deleted by the Minor Deviation, with the following revisions: 1) reinstate the western trail that traverses uphill from the Pine Glades Drive cul-de-sac that was deleted by a Minor Deviation Mr. Sinclair approved in 2011; 2) construct a newly proposed trail that traverses downhill from the same cul-de-sac and connects to a trail that traverses the lower hillside; and, 3) extend to the existing Snow King trail network the lower trail that will traverse the lower hillside below future phase 2. This extension of the lower trail replaces any trail that was moved from the S – 1 vicinity to the upper end of the tunnel.

Exhibit E

The Subdivision Improvement Agreement dated December 31, 2010 (“SIA”), attached as Exhibit E to the May 28, 2019 letter, also does not support the assertion that an easement exists in

favor of the public over the private roads and in fact it provides clear evidence that contradicts such an assertion. The SIA contains both direct and indirect references to the Trail Map that is discussed above. The Attachment A to the SIA includes a line item for bonding 4,200 lineal feet of trail, a length that approximates the amount of on-site trails in the Current Trail Proposal. The staff's own characterization of this exhibit correctly contains no reference to public access on the private roads.

Exhibit F

The 2011 Minor Deviation, attached as Exhibit F to the May 28, 2019 letter, does not support any assertion that an easement exists over the private roads in Pine Glades. This Minor Deviation that Mr. Sinclair approved (which was later superseded by a subsequent 2014 Minor Deviation) is not relevant to the Town's current assertion. Furthermore, Shawn Hill's staff review comments that are attached to this Exhibit do not contradict the Current Trail Proposal. To the extent that one attempts to advocate that there is a contradiction between Pine Canyon's proposal and Mr. Hill's comments, it is important to note that a staff review of a proposal cannot create new requirements that were not previously established by the conditions of an approval or in adopted regulations

Exhibit G

The recorded Pine Glades Subdivision Plat, attached as Exhibit G to the May 28, 2019 letter, includes the easements that were granted to the Town of Jackson and are discussed above. In a letter dated March 22, 2019, from Todd Cedarholm, PLS, to the Town of Jackson, attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**, Mr. Cedarholm specifically addresses all of the easement language used. None of the language in the Plat provides a clear intent to grant the public non-motorized access over the private roads.

In both the Certificate of Owner and delineated on the map, the private roads are established as "Private Roads" with no exception, caveat or qualification that deviates from the plain and common meaning of this term. Furthermore, the Plat establishes the boundaries of the private townhouse lots that are traversed by the sidewalk mentioned above, and further creates the 3-dimensional access easement also discussed above. Each townhouse lot owner must grant an easement to the general public before the public can travel on the sidewalk that passes through these lots.

The Town in its May 28, 2019 letter asserts that the general public has access to the private roads as a result of an easement granted to the Town of Jackson over the General Common Element roads. This easement was granted to the municipal corporation known as the Town of Jackson to permit town officials to perform their normal and regular municipal duties, such as inspections, enforcement, water meter reading, etc. It does not grant an easement to the general public. Furthermore, the sidewalk on which the public would travel is within the boundaries of private townhouse lots and not in the private roads or common elements.

The Plat was reviewed by the staff in several departments of the Town of Jackson prior to

it being approved and recorded in January of 2010, and detailed review comments were made by all departments. On April 15, 2009, the Town's engineer, Shawn O'Malley reviewed the Plat and made the following comments: (1) "[p]rior to approval, the plat documentation shall be revised to grant the Town an access easement over the entirety of all roadway and parking areas." (2) "[p]rior to approval, the easement documentation on the plat shall be revised such that the Town of Jackson is provided a minimum of a 40-foot wide access and maintenance over all locations of water mains, sewer mains, fire hydrant laterals, and fire hydrants." Following such comments, language similar to Mr. O'Malley's review comments were added to the Subdivision Plat.

Also, at the time of approving the Pine Glades Plat, the Town's legal department made many highly detailed comments about required and necessary easements that should be established on the subdivision Plat. Despite this extensive legal review, there is no mention of public access or public easements over the private roads. The Planning Department also reviewed the proposed Plat and also made no mention of public access on the Pine Glades private roads. While the Town may now wish that such access exists, or a condition to grant such access exists, the numerous review comments make it clear that a public easement over the private roads was not a condition or a matter that the Town required prior to the approval and recordation of the Plat.

Exhibit H

Mr. Sinclair's second approved Minor Deviation, attached as Exhibit H to your May 28, 2019 letter, does not support an assertion that an easement exists over the private roads and in fact it provides clear and significant evidence that contradicts such an assertion. This approved Minor Deviation and the accompanying emails and trail map, clearly demonstrate that the trails shown on the Trail Map that pass near the S – 1 building and the affordable housing units were deleted. Access on the private roads to allow the general public to access these two trailheads, which has been asserted by the planning staff, can no longer be argued. Any trail that was relocated to the vicinity of the upper end of the tunnel will be replaced by the lower trail from Wister Drive as shown in the Current Trail Proposal. Access on the private roads to access the trails will no longer be necessary as the lower trail will provide connection to the Snow King Trail network

III. Other Issues To Consider About Granting to the Public an Easement Over Private Roads

In addition, please consider additional recorded documents that we have reviewed, which also do not grant a public easement over the private roads in the Pine Glades subdivision.

The Town granted Pine Glades a Tunnel Easement across Town of Jackson property for a private roadway, Book 705, Pages 1188 1(a) "The Tunnel Easement is hereby granted for all purposes necessary or incidental to Grantees operation, maintenance, use, modification, repair and replacement of an underground tunnel and related roadway facilities as a PRIVATE ROADWAY...". Also, paragraph 4. "PRIVATE ROADWAY. The tunnel Facilities shall be remain a PRIVATE ROADWAY.

Pine Glades did grant a Right-of-Way, Utility and Snow Storage Easement Agreement, recorded in Book 750, Pages 275 for a "...roadway, turnaround and the right to lay out, install, operate, and maintain public utilities and appurtenances thereto within the easement..." also, "Grantee shall have the right to use that property described as "Easement 1" ...for those purposes described above ...and shall have NO RIGHT TO USE EASEMENT 1 FOR ANY OTHER USE." The caps are added for emphasis, but these additional documents are also clear that the roads in Pine Glades are private roads with no public non-motorized access easement.

a. No Easement Can Now Be Granted or Required of Developer for Phase 1.

Because no public easement was given by the original developer before the majority of the lots in Phase 1 were sold and the private roads and common areas were conveyed to the HOA, the current developer and any subsequent developers do not own the private road already constructed. Thus, Pine Canyon has no power to act by itself or on behalf of the HOA or owners or to grant a public easement across the common elements of the HOA.

Further, similar to Pine Canyon, the current owners purchased their property subject only to the recorded easements and dedications. To require Pine Canyon to grant a public easement puts the developer in a situation where the developer is unable to fulfill such a condition. The HOA and/or individual owners would have to grant such easements.

Additionally, the way Phase 1 was planned and constructed creates significant safety risks for the public and liabilities for the HOA. As you are aware, because of the drop off on the right side of the road as you drive up to Phase 1, there is no sidewalk on the right side of the road. The other side of the road was built right in front of the garages and front doors and under the cantilevered living spaces. Because it is common for owners to park their vehicles in front of their entry doors and garages, it essentially creates a one-lane road that is similar to driving through a parking garage. To add public access (bikes, skateboards, strollers, etc.) through this area creates a significant public safety concern for everyone involved. If the Town has a legitimate public purpose that would necessitate such access, please promptly provide it. Otherwise, Pine Canyon believes that the new proposed trails will solve access issues to the trails, thereby honoring the intent of the original developer, without placing the public in danger, or raising liability issues for the HOA.

Also, discussed previously, the current sidewalks are located on the private townhouse lots and not on the private roads (adjacent to the roadways) or in the Common Elements. Thus, it is likely that if the Town is going to require a public easement on the sidewalks, negotiation and conveyance by each individual lot owner would be necessary, something that might be impossible given how many owners there are with opposing opinions toward such a request.

In addition to the procedural difficulties of gaining public access easements from numerous owners of individual lots and the HOA, new conditions and requirements cannot now be retroactively imposed on a previously approved development. Vested rights have long ago accrued to the Pine Glades PUD that allow the current developer to proceed with completing the development. The Town Planning Department's February 17, 2017 ZCV clearly supports this

statement.

Finally, the Town of Jackson has also already approved the PUD Final Development Plan for Phase II. All that is left to complete prior to construction is a development and bonding agreement. This agreement is not a new development proposal that is subjected to new and additional conditions or requirements, but rather it simply memorializes the developer's financial obligations from the previous approval. To attempt to change the conditions or rescind the permit would place significant burdens on Pine Canyon, would not be in compliance with land use rules, and which do not appear to further a legitimate public purpose, especially if the Current Trail Proposal system is approved.

IV. SPECIFIC COMPLIANCE ISSUES

Although it came as a surprise to everyone working with Pine Canyon, the May 28, 2019 letter raises several compliance issues, which Pine Canyon responds to as follows:

a. Trail Connectivity

Exhibits I and J

Pine Canyon agrees that Phase 1 is compliant and at the conclusion of the development of Phase 2 that it also will be in compliance. The Current Trail Proposal will fulfill the trail requirements for the entire Pine Glades PUD.

b. Signage

The Town has objected to the current signs that state "No Trespassing," and requires that they be removed by July 1, 2019. As discussed above, there is no public easement over the private roads. Thus, a member of the public using such private roads is trespassing. The sign puts the public on notice that they are on private land and such notice reduces the liability for the HOA.⁹

While the Town now takes issue with the "No Trespassing" signs, there are similar signs in other developments throughout the Town. For example:

The Eastridge Addition plat 730, Certificate of Owners clearly states that Henley Road is private and there are currently two no trespassing signs at the beginning of the subdivision road. See **Exhibit 3**.

The Flat Iron subdivision plat 906 contains a private road within common lot 16 and a no trespassing sign currently stands at the beginning of the subdivision road. See **Exhibit 4**.

⁹ See *Concho Const. Co. v. Oklahoma Nat. Gas Co.*, 201 F.2d 673, 674 (10th Cir. 1953) (citing Restatement of Torts § 367 & cmt. a.); *Missouri-Kansas-Texas R. Co. v. Mathis*, 349 F.2d 897, 900 (10th Cir. 1965) (holding that lesser duties owed to a trespasser does not exist when an owner negligently or intentionally leads the public to believe that private land is part of a public right-of-way).

The Love Ridge Lodge Homes plat 1184 contains private roads and there currently are several signs throughout the development that say private property, no parking. See **Exhibit 5**.

T – Map 28K depicts a private road that crosses Flat Creek and traverses up Saddle Butte. A prominently located sign stands at the bridge before Saddle Butte Road that says no trespassing, private. See **Exhibit 6**.

If in fact there is no public access easement, then no trespassing is fundamentally a correct and permissible statement to make, although maybe not very pleasing to view. Further, the HOA is technically the correct party to change the signs, and some homeowners have said that negative interactions with the public have lessened due to the signs (such as dogs barking at homeowners, or being off leash and charging homeowners, or skateboarders almost impacting cars coming up the tunnel, or sightseers parking in the roadway). However, Pine Canyon and the HOA may be able to work on the language of the signs to make them more palatable but it cannot include notice to public access that does not exist. (New signs will direct the public to the trails.) While the language can be changed to give notice that it is a private road, no thru access, etc., the HOA needs to reduce its liability per its insurance carrier as much as possible by following its duty to informing members of the public that they are on private property. Pine Canyon proposes changing the sign language to state: “private tunnel”, “private road” and “no thru access”, or similarly worded signs, and if no objection is made from the Town planning staff, will have new signs made and ideally posted by August 15, 2019.

c. Public Access-Boulders

As discussed above, there is no public easement over the Pine Glades private roads. Thus, the boulders are further notice to the public that they are entering private property and accessing private roads. Because there is no public easement, blocking the public from entering is not only allowed but prudent to assert the private nature of the property the public is attempting to access. However, many of the boulders will be removed when the break-away gate is installed.

d. Break-Away Gate

The break-away gate has been ordered and will be installed in the near future. Future use, as was past use, will be in compliance, and the Town has not alleged otherwise.

e. Topping of Trees

Pine Canyon will perform any reasonable mitigation that will be required by the Town code enforcement officer for this item. However, it is position of Pine Canyon that some of the trees reviewed may have been topped by the former developer. Pine Canyon hired a professional landscaper to clean up deadfall and dead trees (thereby reducing fire fuel), and no live trees were removed or intentionally topped; and over thirty (30) 8'-18' spruce and fir trees have been added into the development so far in an effort to improve the feel of the development and add screening, new growth and mitigate dead, unmanaged prior plantings.

f. Roadway Heating Elements

The original developer proposed two heating elements in the private roads. One heating element was installed in the existing Pine Glades Drive as part of Phase 1 and a second element is proposed for the future Jessica Lane in Phase 2. Pine Canyon disclosed to the Town that the existing heating element is no longer working and the HOA is satisfied paying the plowing and sanding contractor who has provided winter maintenance for the past few winters. The road has been safe and well maintained for a fraction of the energy consumption in the early years (Snow King Resort snow making activated the heating element on a frequent and unnecessary schedule). The HOA wishes to avoid repairing the existing heating element and to not install the second heating element in the phase 2 road.

In an early discussions about this development, Town Planner Tyler Valentine concluded that the heating elements were voluntarily proposed by the Pine Glades developer and not required by the Town approval. In a later pre-application conference attended by Town engineering, planning, fire, police, and legal departments, no one was able conclude if the heating elements were required or voluntarily proposed by the developer. Also, everyone in this pre-application conference was supportive of removing the future element from the Phase 2 road and not repairing the existing malfunctioning element. Only after this latest disagreement on public access arose, has the heating element become a compliance issue. Further, Phase 2 has limited grade changes, so no need for heating of the roadway as snowplowing is also effective here.

The Town has an extensive history of reducing energy consumption and promoting energy conservation. Road heating elements are a direct conflict with these recent and on-going efforts. Furthermore, neighboring town streets are plowed and sanded during winter with no significant difficulties, and these roads are at the same elevation and sun exposure at the private roads in Pine Glades. But a few examples of roads with steep elevations which are not heated are Spring Creek Drive, Pine Glades Drive, Snow King Drive to Love Ridge, and of course, Teton Pass itself. We would ask that this compliance issue be removed along with the requirement for having a heating element in Phase 2. The Phase 2 hearing element will be addressed in the Development Agreement; we would like to reach an agreement on the Phase 1 heating element in light of the non-compliance letter, as we do not want to continue to have it as a working element for the reasons set forth herein.

g. Landscape Plan

Pine Canyon will fully comply with, and probably exceed the landscaping requirements.

h. Sidewalks

To date, Pine Canyon has fully complied with the sidewalk requirement and will comply in the future as well. Regarding the issue of granting a non-motorized access easement to the public across sidewalks, the sidewalks, including a three dimensional access easement over them are within Lots 1 through 12 in phase 1, and will be within future town house lots in phase 2

Tyler Sinclair
Lea Colasuonno
June 26, 2019
Page 14 of 14

when existing Lots A through E are developed and further subdivided.

CONCLUSION:

The current developer, Pine Canyon, would like to proceed to complete Phase 1, without any additional changes except for the avalanche mitigation, which was erroneously planned by the original developer and could have killed someone, and to get approval of exterior finishes which have been changed for the final two unit duplex in Phase 1. Then, Pine Canyon wants to move forward immediately to complete the Development Agreement which will include the enhanced Current Trail Proposal, to be installed as significant increased costs to Pine Canyon, and all of the trails will have easements granted to the public for its use. If it makes sense to have another conversation with Planning Staff and the Planning Director, we are happy to do so, as it is important to Pine Canyon that it have the support of staff and the Planning Director to complete the project. We look forward to a final determination from Planning Department regarding the public access non-motorized issue and various compliance issues.


Very truly yours,

LONG REIMER WINEGAR BEPPLER LLP



BY: ERIKA M. NASH


Cc: Tyler Valentine, Senior Planner, (via email only: tvalentine@jacksonwy.gov)
Todd Cedarholm, Principal, On Sight Land Surveyors, Inc. (via email only:
todd@onsightsurvey.com)
William Collins, Principal, Collins Planning Associates (via email only:
collinsplanning@bresnan.net)





On Sight
LAND SURVEYORS, INC.

155 West Gill Ave.
P.O. Box 12290
Jackson, WY 83002
(307) 734-6131



DETAIL EXHIBIT
SHOWING
PROPOSED TRAIL
PREPARED FOR
PINE CANYON, LLC



Todd Cedarholm, PLS

Tyler Valentine, Senior Planner
 Town of Jackson Planning and Building Department
 150 E Pearl Ave
 PO Box 1687
 Jackson, WY 83001

March 22, 2019

RE: Pine Glades Easements, Pathways, and Public Access Issues

Tyler,

As a follow up to our conversations regarding pathways and access to the streets and pathways within the Pine Glades development, I believe a more thorough review of the record is necessary for a clearer understanding of the issues. I believe that the original intent of the development was for the roads to remain private and that public access would be limited to the required public pathway elements. Summarized below are the important access issues and references to the pertinent documents.

1. Pine Glades Drive and Jessica Lane are clearly labeled as **PRIVATE ROADS** within the certificate of owner on plat sheet 2 (Appx 1) **AND** within the general notes on plat sheet 3 (Appx 2).
2. The Certificate of Owner on Plat 1285, Sheet 2, paragraph 24 states, **“that the Town of Jackson is hereby granted an access easement across the entire GCE-Roadway”**, (Appx 3) The purpose of the easement is to grant legal access to Town staff, agents, and appointees for the purposes of utility/infrastructure service or maintenance, emergency services, etc. This easement does not create access for the Public.
3. The Certificate of Owner on Plat 1285, Sheet 2, paragraph 27 states, **“that, pursuant to a condition required by the town of Jackson of the approved Final Development Plan, the undersigned hereby reserves unto itself, its heirs, successors and assigns, the right to grant to the public a pedestrian easement to be located within Lot H”** (Appx 3) Clearly it is the intent of the owner to create public access to the property on a trail network and limit public access to that network only. An access easement and a right-of-way are not one in the same. An access easement is generally granted to a specific entity for a specific purpose such as utility work/maintenance, emergency vehicle access, etc. A right-of-way is granted for travel purposes. An access easement granted to a municipality does not grant unfettered access to the public for vehicle, pedestrian, bicycle, equestrian across a property.
4. The Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions for Pine Glades Townhome Phase One Addition to the Town Of Jackson, reviewed and approved by Town Staff and recorded concurrently with the plat, speak very clearly to the issue of private roads and public access (Appx 4). **Section 10.2 Easements for Utilities and Infrastructure** describes the easements granted to the Town for utility work, etc. **Section 10.5 Easement for Emergency Vehicles** describes access for Emergency Vehicles. **Section 10.8 “Title to Roadways; Use of Roadways; Roadways are Private**, clearly states that the roads are considered private.



Todd Cedarholm, PLS

5. The Town granted Pine Glades a Tunnel Easement across TOJ property for a private roadway, 705p1188 pp.(Appx5) 1(a) **"The Tunnel Easement is hereby granted for all purposes necessary or incidental to Grantees operation, maintenance, use, modification, repair and replacement of an underground tunnel and related roadway facilities as a PRIVATE ROADWAY..."**. Also, paragraph 4. **"PRIVATE ROADWAY. The tunnel Facilities shall be remain a PRIVATE ROADWAY.**
6. Pine Glades granted a Right-of-way, Utility and Snow Storage Easement Agreement, 750p275 (Appx 6) for a **"...public roadway, turnaround and the right to lay out, install, operate, and maintain public utilities and appurtenances thereto within the easement..."** also, **"Grantee shall have the right to use that property described as "Easement 1" ...for those purposes described above.. and shall have NO RIGHT TO USE EASEMENT 1 FOR ANY OTHER USE."**
7. The **Subdivision Improvement Agreement, 750p263,(Appx 7)** as executed, does not include requirements for public access other than via the **Public Pathways** requirement. **"6. PUBLIC PATHWAYS. The Public Pathways delineated as 'public ski/hike trails' on the site plan of the final Development Plan approved by the Council, requires that the developer complete the installation of the Public Pathways as part of the overall improvements described in Attachment "A"..."** The Public Pathway requirement only creates a public right-of-way along the pathways, not the roadways.

I believe that a complete review of the record documents clearly shows that the Public Access considered and approved is limited to the Public Pathway system.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or additional materials.

Regards,

Todd Cedarholm, PLS

CC: Bill Collins, Dave Meyers, Erika Nash.
Attachments: Appendices 1-7

Appendix 1

Pine Glades Plat 1285, Sheet 2, Certificate of Owner

CERTIFICATE OF OWNER

State of Wyoming)
County of Teton) ss.
Town of Jackson)

The undersigned owner hereby certifies that the foregoing subdivision of Lot 9 of Block 7 of the Aspen Hill Lots, a subdivision of record in the Office of the Clerk of Teton County, Wyoming, as Plat No. 124, and that parcel of land located within the NE1/4SE1/4 Section 33, T41N, R116W, 6th P.M., as shown on this plat and more particularly described under the Certificate of Surveyor is with free consent and in accordance with the desire of the undersigned owner and proprietor of the described lands;

that the name of the subdivision shall be PINE GLADES TOWNHOMES PHASE ONE ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON;

that this subdivision is subject to a Declaration of Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions to be recorded in the Office of the Clerk of Teton County, Wyoming, concurrently with this plat;

that this subdivision is subject to any covenants, conditions, restrictions, easements, ditches, roadways, rights-of-way, common areas, reservations and building setback requirements as delineated on the Aspen Hill Lots Plat, recorded as Plat No. 124, on file in the Office of the Clerk of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is in accordance with and subject to the terms and conditions of the PINE GLADES PUD Final Development Plan approved by the Town Council of Jackson, Wyoming, on January 22, 2008;

that access to this subdivision is from Pine Glades Drive;

that Pine Glades Drive and Jessica Lane are private roads serving this subdivision;

that emergency access to this subdivision is from Pine Drive through a break-away access system;

that this subdivision is subject to any covenants, conditions, restrictions, easements, ditches, roadways, rights-of-way, common areas, reservations and building setback requirements as delineated on Maps T-56A and T-56C on file in said Clerk's Office;

that this subdivision is subject to that Subdivision Improvement Agreement to be recorded concurrently with this plat;

that as of the date of recording this plat, this plat is subject to that certain Lien Statement executed by Sullivan Construction, LLC, claimant, recorded in the Office of the Teton County Clerk on April 27, 2008 in Book 707 of Plats, Pages 4 to 274 and that certain Agreement To and Benefit

Appendix 2

Pine Glades Plat 1285, Sheet 3, Plat Notes

PUBLIC MAINTENANCE OF ASPEN DRIVE, PINE DRIVE, AND SPRUCE DRIVE

PINE GLADES DRIVE AND JESSICA LANE ARE PRIVATE ROADS

NO PUBLIC MAINTENANCE OF PINE GLADES DRIVE AND JESSICA LANE

THIS SUBDIVISION WILL BE CONNECTED TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM

THIS SUBDIVISION WILL BE CONNECTED TO THE TOWN OF JACKSON SEWER COLLECTION AND TREATMENT SYSTEM

SELLER DOES NOT WARRANT TO THE PURCHASER THAT HE OR SHE SHALL HAVE ANY RIGHTS TO THE NATURAL FLOW OF ANY STREAM WITHIN OR ADJACENT TO THE SUBDIVISION

WYOMING LAW DOES NOT RECOGNIZE ANY RIPARIAN RIGHTS TO THE CONTINUED NATURAL FLOW OF ANY STREAM OR RIVER FOR PERSONS LIVING ON THE BANKS OF THE STREAM OR RIVER

THE SURFACE ESTATE OF THE LAND TO BE SUBDIVIDED IS SUBJECT TO FULL AND EFFECTIVE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MINERAL ESTATE

AREAS OF POTENTIAL AVALANCHE HAZARD WITHIN THIS SUBDIVISION WERE IDENTIFIED BY JMY ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRACTING AND DOCUMENTED IN A REPORT TITLED DATED SEPTEMBER 23, 2007. THIS STUDY IDENTIFIED "BLUE" ZONES, AREAS OF MODERATE HAZARD IN THE AVALANCHE ZONING PLAN. LOT F AND LOT G LIE WITHIN THE "BLUE" ZONE OF THE STUDY. ACCORDING TO THE STUDY, PRIVATE HOMES ARE PERMITTED IN THE BLUE ZONE IF THEY ARE DESIGNED TO RESIST AVALANCHE FORCES OR ARE OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY AVALANCHE DEFENSE.

Appendix 3

Pine Glades Plat 1285, Sheet 2, Certificate of Owner

Construction Easement Agreement described in Book 705 of Photo, Pages 491–503, records of Teton County, Wyoming;

that this subdivision is subject to those access and/or utility easements hereby granted to the Town of Jackson as shown hereon and to those easements granted to the Town of Jackson by that certain Right-of-Way, Utility and Snow Storage Easement Agreement to be recorded concurrently with this plat;

that the Town of Jackson is hereby granted a utility easement in, under, over and across the entire GCE–Roadway, as shown hereon, except for those portions of the GCE – Roadway that are within those Lots designated as townhome lots, for purposes of installing water and sewer utility infrastructure and all appurtenances thereto;

that the Town of Jackson is hereby granted an access easement across the entire GCE–Roadway;

that the Town of Jackson is hereby granted an access and maintenance easement across the entire subdivision for purposes of accessing and maintaining all water valves, meters, shut-off boxes, sewer cleanouts, manholes and appurtenances thereto;

that this subdivision is subject to that 15 foot wide access easement and skier access easement set forth in that certain Access and Recreation Easement Agreement described in Book 706 of Photo, Pages 518 to 531, and that certain First Amendment to Access and Recreation Easement to be recorded concurrently with this plat and all amendments thereto;

that, pursuant to a condition required by the Town of Jackson of the approved Final Development Plan, the undersigned hereby reserves unto itself, its heirs, successors and assigns, the right to grant to the public a pedestrian easement to be located within Lot H;

that this subdivision is subject to that Access and Utility Easement Agreement granted to the owner of Lot 68 Aspen Highlands, Plat No. 175, as shown hereon and of record in Book 728 of Photo, Pages 208–215, records of Teton County;

265 North Glenwood
P.O. Box 12290
Jackson, WY 83002
(307) 734-6131

Appendix 4

Pine Glades covenants, 750p282-320, pages 34-36

the Office of the Clerk of Teton County, Wyoming to annex the additional lots and/or units into this Declaration and to designate the common area lot(s), general common elements and limited common elements. The common area lot(s) shall be conveyed to the Association by warranty deed concurrently upon filing the replat of any lot. Declarant hereby reserves the right to assign portions of Common Elements (including, but not limited to, LCE-Parking) to Residential Properties as appurtenant Limited Common Elements (individually or collectively) either by designation on a future plat or by filing a Supplemental Declaration in the Office of the Clerk of Teton County, Wyoming. The Board reserves the right to reassign parking spaces among Residential Properties by filing Supplemental Declarations if Federal Law or State Law necessitates such reassignment to accommodate an Owner.

9.12 Termination of Rights. The rights contained in this Article shall not terminate until the Declarant, or any individual Declarant, is no longer a record owner of any real property subject to this Declaration unless Declarant elects to terminate such reservations at an earlier date. Declarant may from time to time relinquish and surrender one or more but less than all of the reserved rights, in which event the unrelinquished reserved rights shall remain fully valid and effective for the remainder of the term thereof.

PART FIVE: PROPERTY RIGHTS WITHIN THE COMMUNITY

The nature of living in a community requires the creation of special property rights and provisions to address the needs and responsibilities of the Owners, the Declarant, the Association, and others within or adjacent to the community.

ARTICLE X – EASEMENTS

10.1 Easements in Common Elements.

The Declarant grants to each Owner of a Residential Property a non-exclusive right and easement of use (subject to the rights of other Owners, Members and the Association), access and enjoyment in and to the General Common Elements. The Declarant grants to each Owner (subject to the rules, regulations, and restrictions contained in the Governing Documents) an exclusive right and easement of use, access, and enjoyment in and to the Limited Common Elements that are appurtenant to the Residential Property owned by such Owner, which shall include without limitation the decking and parking areas. Such exclusive right and easement of use, access, and enjoyment in the Limited Common Elements shall not be severable from the Residential Property to which it is appurtenant.

The foregoing grants shall be subject to:

- (a) The restrictions, rules and regulations set forth in the Governing Documents and any other applicable covenants;
- (b) Any restrictions or limitation contained in any deed and/or easement agreement conveying such property and/or easement over such property to an Owner Association; and
- (c) The right of the Board to adopt rules regulating the use and enjoyment of the area of the Common Elements.
- (d) The right of the Board to suspend the right of an Owner to use the Common Elements (i) for any period during which any charge or assessment against such Owner's Residential Property remains delinquent, and (ii) for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days for a single violation or for a longer period in the case of any continuing violation of the Governing Documents after notice and a hearing pursuant to the Bylaws.

Any Owner may extend his or her right of use and enjoyment of the Common Elements to the members of his or her family, and social invitees, as applicable, subject to reasonable regulation by the Board. An Owner who leases his or her Residential Property, subject to the terms and conditions of this Declaration, shall be deemed to have assigned all such rights to the lessee of such Residential Property for the period of the lease.

10.2 Easements for Utilities and Infrastructure.

(a) All dedications, limitations, restrictions and reservations of easements, including those for drainage, prepared and recorded by Declarant are incorporated herein by reference and made a part of this Declaration for all purposes as if fully set forth in this Declaration.

(b) The Declarant hereby grants to the Association and each Owner of a Residential Property, and, so long as the Declarant owns any real property subject to this Declaration, reserves for itself, and reserves the right to grant to the Town of Jackson, utility providers, the Association and all Owners perpetual non-exclusive utilities easements in, under, over and across the Roadways and in those areas as described on the Plat for the purpose of:

(i) Installing utilities, including without limitation, water and sewer systems, cable and other systems for sending and receiving data and/or other electronic signals; security and similar systems; roads and walkways; drainage systems and signage; to serve the Properties;

(ii) Inspecting, maintaining, repairing and replacing such utilities and infrastructure to serve the Properties; and

(iii) Access to read utility meters.

(c) All work associated with the exercise of the easements described in subsections (a) and (b) of this Section shall be performed in such a manner as to minimize interference with the use and enjoyment of the property burdened by the easement. Upon completion of the work, the Person exercising the easement shall restore the property, to the extent reasonably possible, to its condition prior to the commencement of the work. The exercise of these easements not unreasonably interfere with the use of any Residential Property and, except in an emergency, entry onto any Residential Property shall be made only after reasonable notice to the Owner or occupant.

10.3 Easements for Maintenance, Emergency and Enforcement. The Declarant grants to the Association easements over Properties as necessary to enable the Association to fulfill its maintenance responsibilities under Section 7.2. The Association shall also have the right, but not the obligation, to enter upon any Residential Property, but not to enter any structure thereon, for maintenance, emergency, security, and safety reasons and to inspect for the purpose of ensuring compliance with the Governing Documents and to enforce the Governing Documents. Such right may be exercised by any member of the Board and its duly authorized agents and assignees, and all emergency personnel in the performance of their duties. Except in an emergency situation, entry shall only be during reasonable hours and after notice to the Owner. The Declarant hereby grants to the Association, perpetual exclusive snow storage easements in, under, over and across each Lot and the snow storage areas set forth on the Plat, if any, for the purpose of orderly removal and storage by the Association of snow from the Roadways and sidewalks located within the Common Elements and Lots.

10.4 Easements for Cross-Drainage; Stormwater Drainage System is Private. The stormwater drainage system installed by the Declarant and maintained, repaired and replaced by the

Association shall be a private system owned by the Association. Every Lot shall be burdened with easements for natural drainage of storm water runoff from other portions of the Properties; provided, no Person shall alter the natural drainage on any Lot to increase materially the drainage of storm water onto adjacent portions of the Properties without the consent of the Owner(s) of the affected Lot and the Board.

10.5 Easement for Emergency Vehicles. The Properties are hereby burdened with an easement allowing all policemen, firemen, ambulance personnel, and similar emergency personnel entry to perform their duties, including the enforcement of traffic regulations.

10.6 Easement for Encroachments. If any part of the Common Elements encroaches or shall hereinafter encroach upon a Residential Property, an easement for such encroachment and for the maintenance of the same shall and does exist. If any part of a Residential Property encroaches or shall hereafter encroach upon the Common Elements, or upon an adjoining Residential Property, an easement for such encroachment and for the maintenance of the same shall and does exist. Such encroachments shall not be considered to be encumbrances either on the Common Elements or the Residential Properties. Encroachments referred to herein are limited to encroachments caused by engineering errors, settling, rising, or shifting of the earth, or by changes in position caused by construction, repair or reconstruction or any part thereof in accordance with the original plans and any encroachment due to building overhang or projection.

10.7 Owner's Right to Ingress and Egress and Support. Each Owner shall have the right to ingress and egress over, upon, and across the Common Elements necessary for access to its Residential Property, and shall have the right to the horizontal and lateral support of its Residential Property, and such rights shall be appurtenant to and pass with the title to each Residential Property.

10.8 Title to Roadways; Use of Roadways; Roadways are Private. Title to those portions of the Roadways within the Common Elements as described on the Plat and in this Declaration, shall be conveyed by Declarant to the Association to be used for private road purposes. Each Owner and occupant and each of their guests or invitees are hereby granted a non-exclusive perpetual easement and right-of-way to use the Roadways, for private road purposes, including vehicular and pedestrian ingress, egress, access to and from their Residential Property; provided, however, that such pedestrian and vehicular easements hereby granted within those portions of the Roadways shown and detailed on the Plat as Three Dimensional Access Easement Across Lots 1-14 & A-E are limited horizontally and vertically to the existing grade and the underside of the Townhome structure as set forth on the Plat. Declarant hereby reserves the right to grant additional easements over and across the Roadways to utility providers and governmental entities. The Association shall have the right to control vehicular circulation through the Properties by such means as establishing speed limits, by installing speed bumps or by any other means reasonably adopted by the Association.

ARTICLE XI – AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION; MISCELLANEOUS

11.1 By Declarant. In addition to specific amendment rights granted elsewhere in this Declaration, until the conveyance of 75% of the Properties to an Owner unaffiliated with Declarant, Declarant may unilaterally amend or repeal this Declaration for any purpose. Thereafter, the Declarant may unilaterally amend this Declaration if such amendment is necessary to (i) bring any provision into compliance with any applicable governmental statute, rule, regulation, or judicial determination; (ii) enable any reputable title insurance company to issue title insurance coverage on the Residential Properties; (iii) enable any institutional or Federal National Mortgage Association or Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, to make, purchase, insure or guaranty mortgage loans on the Residential Properties; or (iv) to satisfy the requirements of any local, state or federal governmental agency; provided, however, that any such amendment shall not adversely affect the title to any Residential Property unless

Appendix 5

Tunnel and Construction Easement, 705p1188, pgs 1-2

TUNNEL AND CONSTRUCTION
EASEMENT AGREEMENT

RELEASED	
INDEXED	
ABSTRACTED	☒
SCANNED	

THIS TUNNEL AND CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT AGREEMENT (this "Agreement") is made and entered into this 7th day of July, 2008, by and between the TOWN OF JACKSON, a municipal corporation of the State of Wyoming (hereafter referred to as "Grantor"), and PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company (hereafter referred to as the "Grantee").

RECITALS.

WHEREAS, Grantor owns that certain real property in Teton County, Wyoming which is more particularly described on **Exhibit A** attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference (the "Grantor Property");

WHEREAS, Grantee owns that certain real property in Teton County, Wyoming which is more particularly described on **Exhibit B** attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference (the "Grantee Property");

WHEREAS, Grantor desires to grant to Grantee an exclusive tunnel easement and a non-exclusive construction easement on the Grantor Property according to the terms and conditions set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, the Grantor and Grantee agree that the Grantee Property shall be the property benefiting from the easement rights granted herein and the Grantor Property shall be the property burdened by the easement rights granted herein.

AGREEMENTS

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$10.00), the foregoing recitals and other good and valuable consideration, in hand paid, receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged and confessed, Grantor and Grantee do hereby agree as follows:

1. **Grant of Easements.** Grantor hereby grants to Grantee, its heirs, successors, assigns, employees, agents, contractors, licensees, tenants and other invitees, the following easements:

(a) an exclusive perpetual permanent subsurface easement under that area described and illustrated on **Exhibit C** attached hereto and incorporated herein (the "Tunnel Easement"). The Tunnel Easement is hereby granted for all purposes necessary or incidental to Grantee's operation, maintenance, use, modification, repair and replacement of an underground tunnel and related roadway facilities as a private roadway for pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular access to the Grantee Property, including but not limited to the right to construct, operate, maintain, modify, repair, replace, improve, remove and use said tunnel, tunnel supports, roof, floor, roadway, sidewalks, access ways, entrances and exits and all utility lines or wires within said tunnel (the "Tunnel Facilities"), together with the right to operate equipment within said Tunnel Facilities, and for any related uses as Grantee may now or hereafter deem appropriate. The Grantee shall have the sole duty to maintain the Tunnel Facilities and associated access systems and appurtenances;

GRANTOR: TOWN OF JACKSON ET AL
GRANTEE: TOWN OF JACKSON ET AL
Doc 0736251 bk 705 pg 1188-1203 Filed At 16:29 ON 08/14/08
Sherry L. Dalgie Teton County Clerk fees: 53.00
By Mary Smith Deputy

NO EXHIBIT "D" ATTACHED

(b) a non-exclusive perpetual construction easement in, under, over and across that portion of the Grantor Property specifically described and illustrated as "Construction Easement" on **Exhibit E** attached hereto and incorporated herein (the "Construction Easement") for purposes of constructing, operating, placing, maintaining, inspecting, protecting, repairing, altering, substituting and replacing the Tunnel Facilities.

The Tunnel Easement and the Construction Easement are individually referred to herein as an "Easement" and collectively as the "Easements".

2. **Tunnel Easement Infrastructure; Repair of Surface.** All structures, materials, facilities, mechanical and electrical systems, utilities, equipment, furnishings or improvements of any kind that are acquired, constructed or installed in or upon the Tunnel Easement shall be and shall at all times remain the property of Grantee. After the construction or repair of the Tunnel Facilities, Grantee shall promptly repair any surface damage to Grantor's Property caused by the exercise of Grantee's easement rights. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties hereto understand that the Grantee Property is being developed into 37 townhomes and condominiums and that the Tunnel Easement and all infrastructure and maintenance obligations within the Tunnel Easement will be transferred to the Pine Glades Homeowners Association (the "HOA") upon the conveyance of the common area of such development to the HOA. Upon completion of construction of the Tunnel Facilities, Grantee shall have a licensed surveyor certify that the Tunnel Facilities are all located within the Tunnel Easement.

3. **Reservation of Grantor; Subordination to Lease Agreement.** The Grantor reserves unto itself, its guests, invitees, legal representatives, heirs, successors and assigns, the right to use the surface of the Easements and the right to interrupt the use of the Tunnel Easement, after providing to Grantee 30 days prior written notice, in order to access, perform, complete or effectuate such reserved right to use the surface; provided, however, that Grantor shall use best efforts to reasonably minimize any interruption of the use of the Tunnel Easement by Grantee it being the understanding that during any such period of interruption, the access to and from Grantee's Property shall be from Pine Drive. The Grantor further reserves unto itself, its heirs, successors and assigns, the right to grant additional easements in, under, over and across the Construction Easement and Grantor's Property for any purpose as Grantor deems necessary in Grantor's sole discretion provided such additional easements do not unreasonably impair, interfere with or obstruct the use of the Easements by Grantee. The Grantor further reserves unto itself, its heirs, successors and assigns, the right to use the Easements for purposes of laying out, installing, operating, and maintaining public utilities and appurtenances thereto within the Easements and for emergency purposes. Grantor and Grantee hereby agree that the grant, effect and terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be subject to and subordinate to that certain Lease Agreement (Commercial Ski Shelter, Ski Lift and Mountain Tract) executed between Grantor and Snow King Resort, Inc., dated April 22, 1994, and any and all addenda, modification and amendments thereto, as assigned to Snow King Holdings, LLC in that certain Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated January 1, 2006 and as further assigned to Snow King Mountain Recreation, LLC.

4. **Private Roadway.** The Tunnel Facilities shall be and remain a private roadway.

5. **Maintenance Costs.** The costs of the construction, maintenance, repair and replacement of the Tunnel Facilities shall be at the sole obligation and expense of Grantee or the related homeowners association for the Pine Glades Addition to the Town of Jackson.

6. **Survival.** This Agreement shall survive the execution of the delivery and recordation of the Easements which are described herein, and shall remain and continue in full force and effect.

6

Appendix 6

ROW, Utility and Snow Storage Easement, 750p275, pg 1

RIGHT-OF-WAY, UTILITY AND SNOW STORAGE EASEMENT AGREEMENT

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that for Ten Dollars (\$10) and other good and valuable consideration, receipt whereof being hereby acknowledged by PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, of P.O. Box 642, Jackson, Wyoming 83001, hereinafter called the "Grantor", hereby grants and conveys to the TOWN OF JACKSON, a municipal corporation of the State of Wyoming, its successors and assigns, of P.O. Box 1687, Jackson, Wyoming, hereinafter called the "Grantee", the following easements (each, and "Easement" and collectively, the "Easements"):

GRANTOR: PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT LLC
GRANTEE: TOWN OF JACKSON WYOMING
Doc 0767879 bk 750 pg 275-280 Filed At 16:24 ON 02/09/10
Sherry L. Daigle Teton County Clerk fees: 23.00
By Mary Smith Deputy

- (i) a non-exclusive right-of-way easement in, under, on, over, across, and through that property described as "Easement 1" on **Exhibit A**, attached hereto and incorporated herein, for the sole right to:

construct, use and maintain a public roadway turnaround and the right to lay out, install, operate, and maintain public utilities and appurtenances thereto within the easement, to include but not be limited to sanitary sewer, water, drainage, gas, electricity, telephone, and cable television.

- (ii) a non-exclusive right-of-way easement in, under, on, over, across, and through that property described as "Easement 2" on **Exhibit A**, attached hereto and incorporated herein, for the sole right to:

use and maintain a public roadway turnaround and for the right to plow, cast and store snow that has fallen in adjacent public rights-of-way and the right to lay out, install, operate, and maintain public utilities and appurtenances thereto within the easement, to include but not be limited to sanitary sewer, water, drainage, gas, electricity, telephone, and cable television.

Grantee shall have the right to use that property described as "Easement 1" on **Exhibit A** for those purposes described above in item (i) and shall have no right to use Easement 1 for any other use. Grantor shall have the right to use that property described as "Easement 2" on **Exhibit A** for those purposes described above in item (ii) and shall have no right to use Easement 2 for any other use. Grantee shall maintain the utilities and the asphalt surfaces located within the Easements. Grantee shall be responsible for performing the maintenance and repair of any retaining wall systems and/or infrastructure located within Easement 1 at its sole expense. Grantee shall be responsible for performing the maintenance and repair of the retaining wall system located within Easement 2 and the cost to perform the maintenance and repair of such infrastructure shall be split evenly between Grantor and Grantee. Grantor shall maintain and repair the emergency breakaway barrier to be located at the eastern boundary of Easement 2. All obligations of Grantor and Grantee shall be binding upon their heirs, successors and assigns; however, it is anticipated that the successor of Grantor will be the homeowners association of the Pine Glades Townhome Addition to the Town of Jackson and in such circumstance, all costs associated with the foregoing shall be assessed by such successor entity to the members of such association as a special assessment.

Grantee shall repair or replace any items that it shall move from the Easements and shall repair any damage resulting from Grantee's construction on and use of the Easements as contemplated by this agreement. All work performed within the Easements by Grantee shall be performed in such a manner as to minimize interference with the use and enjoyment of the Easements by Grantor.

RELEASED	
INDEXED	☒
ABSTRACTED	☒
SCANNED	

Appendix 7

Subdivision Improvement Agreement, 750p263, pgs 1-3

SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENT AGREEMENT

RELEASED	
INDEXED	
ABSTRACTED	
SCANNED	

THIS AGREEMENT dated this 31st day of December, 2010, by and between PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT, LLC, hereinafter referred to as "Subdivider", and the TOWN OF JACKSON, a municipal corporation of the State of Wyoming, hereinafter referred to as the "Town", provides as follows:

WHEREAS, Subdivider is required to construct certain public improvements (the "improvements") as a condition of the approval of the final plat and associated Final Development Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Jackson Land Development Regulations require that a subdivision agreement for public improvements be executed by the Subdivider and the Town and recorded in the Office of the Teton County Clerk and Recorder; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council approves of the terms and conditions of this agreement for the Final Plat Subdivision designated as the Pine Glades Townhomes. Phase One Addition to the Town of Jackson; and

WHEREAS, this Subdivision Improvement Agreement supersedes the Development Agreement executed by the Town and the Developer on July 9, 2008.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED that for and in consideration of the aforesaid premises and the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, paid by each of the said parties to the other, Subdivider on its own behalf or any successor in interest or assign, and the Town do mutually covenant and agree as follows:

1. SUBDIVIDER TO COMPLY

Subdivider shall comply with all improvement requirements contained in Section 6140 A. of the Town of Jackson Land Development Regulations, the Development Plan approved by Town Council on January 22, 2008 and subdivision improvement plans and specifications retained on file in the office of the Town Engineer the Planning Director, and the requirements of the Town Planning and Zoning Commission and Town Council for this subdivision and additional improvements as set forth within this document. Improvements shall be engineered, designed, constructed, and installed solely at Subdivider's own cost.

2. DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND FINAL PLAT REQUIREMENTS INCORPORATED

The requirements of the Town Council, as set forth in its approval of any required Final Development Plan, Conditional Use Permit, and Final Plat are hereby incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. Development and use of all land within the

GRANTOR: PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT LLC ET AL
 GRANTEE: PINE GLADES DEVELOPMENT LLC ET AL
 Doc 0767878 bk 750 pg 263-274 Filed At 16:09 ON 02/09/10
 Sherry L. Dalgie Teton County Clerk fees: 53.00
 By Mary Smith Deputy

subdivision is limited to that conveyed by the Final Plat, Pine Glades Townhome Covenants and the Land Development Regulations of the Town of Jackson, as they may be amended from time to time.

3. APPROVED SUBDIVISION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

The subdivision infrastructure improvement plans and specifications filed by Subdivider or its agents, as approved by the Town Engineer and maintained on file in the office of the Town Engineer, and any revision of said improvement plans and specifications approved by said Town Engineer and additional improvements as set forth within this document are hereby incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. All public improvement work required by Subdivider under this agreement shall be in accordance with said improvement plans and specifications.

4. ESTIMATED COST OF SUBDIVISION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND SECURITY THEREFORE

The list of improvements and upgrades together with the total cost of improvements and upgrades required by this agreement as estimated by the Town Engineer is attached hereto as **Attachment "A"** and by this reference made a part hereof. Subdivider shall deposit with the Town, prior to filing of the Final Plat, an irrevocable letter of credit, or performance and payment bond, or funds in escrow, or other equivalent security or commitment approved by the Town Council. Such security shall be in the amount of 125% of the estimate approved by the Town Engineer and Planning Director (the "Security") and such security amount shall be released as portions of work are completed and reasonably approved for release by the Town Engineer per Paragraph 12 below.

5. ESTIMATED COST OF OTHER SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENTS AND SECURITY THEREFORE

Affordable Housing Obligation. Subdivider has made minor modifications to two existing two bedroom units one of which will qualify as a two bedroom Category I unit and one of which will qualify as a two bedroom Category II unit under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines (collectively, the "Existing Affordable Units"). Subdivider shall construct the following: (i) six two bedroom units all of which qualify as two bedroom Category Six units under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines; (ii) two one bedrooms units one of which will qualify as a one bedroom Category I unit under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines and one of which will qualify as a one bedroom Category II unit under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines; and (iii) two one bedroom units all of which will qualify as one bedroom Category III units under the Teton County Housing Authority Guidelines (the "New Affordable Units") (the Existing Affordable Units and the New Affordable Units shall be collectively referred to herein as the "Affordable Housing Units"). The construction of the New Affordable Units shall be completed within two (2) years of the recordation of the Final Plat for the

Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition to the Town of Jackson. In the event that said units are not completed on or before such two year anniversary of the recordation of the Final Plat for the Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition to the Town of Jackson, Lot F of the Pine Glades Townhomes Phase One Addition to the Town of Jackson shall be conveyed to the Town of Jackson and all construction plans required to complete said structure shall be delivered to the Town of Jackson.

6. PUBLIC PATHWAYS

The Public Pathways delineated as "public ski/hike trails" on the site plan of the Final Development Plan approved by Council, requires that the developer complete the installation of the Public Pathways as part of the overall improvements described in Attachment "A". At such a time that the Town determines that public access is safe and appropriate, the Developer shall receive a letter from the Town requesting that all required work necessary (survey, design and installation) of an approved Public Pathway or Public Pathway segment shall be completed within six months of the request. The developer may be granted an extension for the completion based on a determination by the Town Engineer that weather conditions has precluded progress.


7. UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL POWER, TELEPHONE, TELEGRAPH, CABLE TELEVISION, AND GAS FACILITIES

All electrical power, telephone and telegraph communication, cable television, and gas facilities within the subdivision shall be installed underground, except that above-ground facilities necessary to serve underground facilities, other installation of peripheral overhead electrical transmission and distribution feeder lines, or other installation of either temporary or peripheral overhead communications, distance, trunk, or feeder lines may be allowed. Subdivider understands and agrees that any and all said facilities that are to be constructed within or under any street improvements shall be in place prior to the construction of the surface street improvements. Subdivider affirms that the public utility companies, including the cable television company enfranchised by the Town, providing the said services and facilities to and within the subdivision have been directly consulted by Subdivider through its officers, agents, or employees and that Subdivider is informed as to the approximate cost to Subdivider of the provision and installation of said facilities and services underground and that the requirements for said facilities have been considered in the preparation of the subdivision improvement plans.

8. EXACTIONS:

Subdivider shall pay exactions for the following purposes and in the following amounts to the Town prior to the filing of the Final Plat:

Parks Exactions:	\$ 29,000.00
School Exaction:	\$ 23,000.00





FLAT IRON
Townhomes

5
mph
*Children & Dogs
at Play*

FLATIRON
TOWNHOMES
PRIVATE PROPERTY
NO TRESPASSING



GRAND VIEW

JACKSON HOLE

PRIVATE
PROPERTY

NO PARKING
ALONG ROADWAY

LOADING ONLY
30 MIN MAX

VIOLATORS WILL BE TOWED
AT OWNERS EXPENSE

**NO TRESPASSING
PRIVATE**

**\$750 FINE ENFORCED
STATE OF WYOMING**





PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT

September 6, 2019

Long Reimer Winegar Beppler LLP
Attn: Erika Nash
PO Box 3070
Jackson, WY 83001

RE: Development Obligations and Compliance of the Pine Glades Planned Unit Development

Dear Ms. Nash,

This letter is in response to your compliance follow-up letter dated June 26, 2019. Although there still remains a difference of opinion on the matter surrounding public non-motorized access on the roads, we believe that both parties (Town and property owner) share the common goal of finding a solution that meets the original intent of the development with regard to public access and trails. With that being said, the Town still finds that the Pine Glades Development is out of compliance with the original approvals as previously stated in the letter sent by the Town to the applicant dated May 28, 2019. Please see below for specific discussion on the procedural options for moving forward and the status of each outstanding compliance item, including guidance on the Development Agreement for Phase II and the Minor Deviation.

In terms of process, below are two procedural options for coming into compliance.

OPTION #1

Come into full compliance with the original approvals as identified in the Town's letter dated May 28, 2019 by no later than December 1, 2019, with the exception of a few items as stated below. The Town will assume you are choosing this option if you have not complied with the submittal deadline for Option #2 below (i.e., application for new Development Plan Amendment by November 15, 2019). Failure to come into full compliance by the December 1st deadline will result in the Town immediately starting the revocation process (Land Development Regulation (LDR) Section 8.9.3) described in the letter sent by the Town dated May 28, 2019. Should you choose this option, this letter establishes new compliance deadlines for the items below. Please refer to the May 28, 2019 letter for more detailed explanations on each item as this section is intended only to provide new deadlines.

- **Public Access – Signage:** 'No Trespassing' signs shall be removed by no later than December 1, 2019.
- **Public Access – Boulders:** Boulders shall be shifted to allow pedestrian access by no later than December 1, 2019.

- **Roadways:** An easement shall be recorded allowing public non-motorized access on and over the roadways by no later than December 1, 2019.
- **Break-Away Gate:** The Break-away emergency gate shall be installed by no later than December 1, 2019.
- **Topping of Trees:** The property owner shall be responsible for replanting all topped trees at a 1:1 ratio with the same species. Staff estimates that between 10-15 trees have been topped, however the property owner will be responsible for counting the exact number for replacement purposes. The replacement trees shall be mature and no less than 15 feet in height. The location of the new trees shall be in close proximity to the trees that were topped. The property owner shall provide an aerial photo with the number of new trees, their location and a bond for 125% of the cost of the trees by no later than December 1, 2019. The trees shall then be installed by no later than June 1, 2020. The Town will hold the bond until an inspection is conducted by the Planning Department to confirm the work has been completed. Failure to meet this requirement will result in code enforcement follow-up and fines.
- **Heating Element:** The heating element in Phase I (Pine Glades Drive) shall be fixed and functioning by no later than January 1, 2020.

OPTION #2

Staff is open to reviewing the alternative trail plan that was presented in your letter dated June 26, 2019. However, staff cannot comment on whether we fully support this plan because 1) the Town has not reviewed a complete plan, 2) The Pathways Department has not weighed in, and 3) residents of Pine Glades and the general public have not provided comments. Ultimately Town Council will make the final decision should you chose to pursue an alternative trail plan. Pursuit of this option requires application for a new Development Plan Amendment by no later than November 15, 2019. Although you have a Development Plan Amendment application (P19-018) submitted, the expected new information will result in substantial changes from the current application, thus requiring a new application submittal. If the Town Council denies your proposed alternative trail plan, the Town will immediately start the revocation process (Land Development Regulation (LDR) Section 8.9.3) described in the original letter sent by the Town dated May 28, 2019. Below are all items to be addressed in the new Development Plan Amendment.

1. **Exterior Materials** – The duplex addressed at 140 & 142 Pine Glades Drive requires a Development Plan amendment approval because the proposed exterior materials do not match what was originally approved. This item is already included in the currently submitted Development Plan amendment application, so please resubmit this information with the updated Development Plan amendment application.
2. **Public Non-Motorized Access** – Your letter proposes a new trail plan that seeks to meet the intent of the original approvals and to satisfy both the residents inside and outside of the Pine Glades development. This plan does not allow public non-motorized access on and over Pines Glades' private roads but it does identify the location of new proposed public trails and a commitment to record trail easements and install associated trail signage (where applicable). At this time the Town cannot comment on whether we support this idea because of several unknowns such as the public's input, the feasibility of the proposed trails on steep slopes and input from Pathways. Ultimately the final decision will be made by the Town Council. Please submit a new narrative with supporting documents/plans, findings, etc. You will also need to clarify when the proposed new trails will be installed.
3. **Signs** – Whether the 'No Trespassing' signs intended to prevent public access to Pine Glades' private roads can remain will depend on the Town's final decision regarding the applicant's

trail proposal and the Development Plan Amendment. If the Town is convinced that the proposed trails provide safe and adequate public access through the site consistent with the intent of the original Development Plan, then the 'No Trespassing' signs may not need to be removed. Furthermore, as part of the Development Plan amendment you will need to work with Pathways to propose a signage plan that adequately directs users to the appropriate trails according to your plan.

4. **Boulders** – Similar to the "No Trespassing" sign comment above, whether the boulders can remain will be directly related to the Council's final decision on your trail proposal as part of the Development Plan amendment process.
5. **Heating Element** – The heating element was proposed and approved as part of the original Development Plan thus the element must remain unless the Town Council approves its removal. As part of the Development Plan amendment you may request the removal of the Phase I and/or Phase II heating element and provide justification and reasoning supporting its removal. Due to the Town's efforts and strides to reduce energy consumption, staff would be supportive of removing the heating element in both phases.
6. **Topping of Trees: Should you choose Option #2**, the property owner shall be responsible for replanting all topped trees at a 1:1 ratio with the same species. Staff estimates that between 10-15 trees have been topped, however the property owner will be responsible for counting the exact number for replacement purposes. The replacement trees shall be mature and no less than 15 feet in height. The location of the new trees shall be in close proximity to the trees that were topped. The property owner shall provide an aerial photo with the number of new trees, their location and a bond for 125% of the cost of the trees by no later than December 1, 2019. The trees shall then be installed by no later than Jun1, 2020. The Town will hold the bond until an inspection is conducted by the Planning Department to confirm the work has been completed. Failure to meet this requirement will result in code enforcement follow-up and fines.

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR PHASE II

Upon coming into compliance through one of the two options above, you may then proceed with the Development Agreement related to Phase II. Currently you have a Development Agreement application (P18-342) submitted which can be amended. There is no deadline for when you must act on the Development Agreement for Phase II, thus you may re-submit new materials at any time. If you re-submit for the Development Agreement you must address the following items:

1. **Sidewalks** – As part of Phase II you will be required to install a sidewalk similar to Phase I which is shown in the original Development Plan. The sidewalk shall be clearly explained in the Development Agreement narrative and included in the overall bonding.
2. **Lower Trail** – As part of Phase II you will be required to install the lower trail which runs across the property north (below) of Jessica Lane. The lower trail must be included in the Development Agreement narrative and bonding.
3. **Landscape Plan** – Should you choose Option #2 above, as part of the Development Agreement you will be required to provide a landscaping plan that not only reflects the amount and location of trees/shrubs currently planted but also identifies the amount and location of additional planting required by the original landscape plan approved with the Development Plan. A cost estimate for the remaining landscaping will be required in order to calculate the appropriate bond amount.

MINOR DEVIATION

Avalanche Fence - The applicant has already received approval to install the avalanche fencing above 140 Pine Glades Drive through a Minor Deviation (P19-157). This matter is considered to be resolved.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter and please let us know if you have any questions.



Tyler Valentine
Senior Planner

David DeFazio

Jackson Planning & Building Department
Attention: Tyler Valentine
tvalentine@jacksonwy.gov

Re: Pine Glades Pedestrian Access

January 6, 2020

Mr Valentine,

I am writing to express my objections to the recent Pine Glades trails proposal, and to the actions of the developer to create what is essentially a private, gated community. My home is immediately west of the Pine Glades gate and my driveway enters the cul-de-sac at 695 Pine Drive.

I am personally familiar with the evolution of the Pine Glades development because I share a property boundary with the development and worked closely with the original developer when designing my driveway and securing an easement across Pine Glades to lessen its grade. The developer was easy to work with, reasonable, and quite accommodating. As a neighbor, I was invited to all public meetings and expressed my opinions regarding two primary issues that were important to me. My primary request was that I, and all others who had grown accustomed to using the single-track trail through the Pine Glades property, be allowed to continue pedestrian access across what would become the road. This would allow people to walk or bike from the end of Pine Drive, through Pine Glades, and to Snow King. I personally used this access to walk to Snow King and either ascend the boot pack for exercise and then return home, or descend to Town in the evenings. In the winter months, I would ski on the single-track to access the lifts and trails on Snow King. I used this trail often, and at all hours. The developer heard my request, and the same request of others, when agreeing to provide pedestrian access across the roads that would be built. This grant of access was clear and unambiguous, and essential to approval of the development. It also made a clear statement that Pine Glades was intended to weave itself into the existing neighborhood, not become a private island.

The tunnel was built in response to the objections of Pine Drive residents who did not want an increase of vehicular traffic on a road not built to handle it. It was not in the interest of the Pine Glades developer, nor Pine Drive residents, to create a dynamic where vehicles could pass through the access point in either direction. Because of this, the second request made during those meetings, which I echoed, was the need for a "gate" to prohibit vehicular through-traffic. A chain was installed by the original developer to prevent such travel and worked relatively well. And while the current developer failed to maintain the chain, or any gate for that matter for quite some time, a gate has finally been installed, destroyed, and now reinstalled. This point now seems moot. But it is important to remember that the current developer was very slow to meet this requirement.

Not only has a gate been installed, but a barrier to pedestrian access has been constructed in the form of large, stacked boulders and a landscaped dirt mound.¹ Bold no trespassing signs were also placed on wooden posts which are in violation of the clear and unambiguous grant of pedestrian access by the original developer. I cannot stress enough the intent that pedestrian access be granted across the road that was built. As evidence of this intent, one need only look at the trail system that was built into the Pine Glades development. Every single trail leads to the road and clearly suggests travel over the road to create a continuous flow of pedestrian access. To suggest anything to the contrary is laughable. There were even trail signs placed on trailheads that directed pedestrians to the road, and nowhere else. These were obviously placed there with the permission of the original developer. Finally, this access was used by the public during the ownership of Pine Glades by the original developer. I personally walked, ran, and skied across the road countless times after it was completed, without any objection by Pine Drive residents or the original developer. This brought to fruition the intent to continue the neighborhood dynamic and continue access to and from Snow King.

In the wake of the sale of the development to the current owner, access has been denied. In addition to the creation of the pedestrian barrier discussed above, the Shade Monkey trail has been reclaimed by the developer, its trailhead sign removed, and wolf sculptures placed at, and near, the access point. Art? Maybe. A sad attempt to strike fear into pedestrians? More likely. But the current developer's intent to deny access is clear. The proposed new trail design is unreasonably steep; it cannot be biked. It would be squeezed along the western border of Pine Glades and is a ridiculous attempt to adhere to the pedestrian access promised to our neighborhood and community. Sure, selfishly, I don't want this trail constructed immediately next to my house. But from the greater public's perspective, the public that has enjoyed traversing the Pine Drive loop and accessing Snow King during all four seasons, the developer is seeking to effectively end all access. This trail will be unreasonably steep and slick during the winter months and will remain largely unused...which I suspect is the true intent of the developer. During summer months, many walkers and bikers will be deterred from utilizing the proposed trail because it is simply not user-friendly. It is akin to a ladder.

I have heard from a couple Pine Glades residents that the sound from pedestrians using the road is allegedly intolerable, which justifies denying access. Untrue. Pine Glades is not a private community, was never intended to be so, exists within the densely populated Town of Jackson, and the sound that they must suffer is "suffered" by us all. I live next door and have no such complaint.

I have also heard concerns regarding potential liability to pedestrians who walk across the road. This is ingenuine considering the considerably heightened danger presented by the proposed switchback trail system; flat ground seems far safer to me. And, finally, I have heard a couple of those same residents suggest that they were promised a private community by the developer. *If* the developer made such a representation, which seems surprising given the wealth of evidence to the contrary, then the issue must be resolved between those two parties.

¹ While I do not object to the aesthetic of the dirt mound, I do object to the construction of the boulder barrier and question whether the proper permit was obtained for either.

Neither the Town or Jackson, nor the public who worked with the original developer, have a dog in that fight.

I respectfully request that you deny the trail system proposed by the developer of the Pine Glades subdivision and enforce the right of pedestrian access across the existing road as was intended and agreed upon by the original developer, the Town of Jackson, and the public.

David DeFazio

Date: January 6, 2020
To: Tyler Valentine
Jackson Town Planning Commission
cc: Jackson Town Council
From: Jim Woodmencey
RE: Item P19-262 Amendment to Pine Glades Development Plan

Tyler,

Please accept these as my comments on the latest development plan for Pine Glades

I have been a permanent resident on Pine Drive since we bought our home there in 1987. We have always had pedestrian access across what is now the Pine Glades property for as long as I have lived here on Pine Dr.

Originally, access was via a single-track trail from the east end of upper Pine Dr. across to Snow King at a point just below the present-day Shade Monkey trail.

Before the original developer broke ground more than 10 years ago now, residents of Pine Dr. and vicinity were promised at Town Planning meetings that we would always have pedestrian access to Snow King across this property.

That promise was honored by all previous owners of the property. Even to the point of creating a trailhead and building a connector trail to the newer Shade Monkey trail, to gain access to the upper trail system, Sink or Swim.

This made for a nice year-round walking loop for residents of Pine Dr. & Pine Glades, to connect back via the KC or Champa trail at the bend in upper Pine Dr. This was available for residents and their pets to use, outside of winter closure areas and outside of the Snow King Ski Area boundary.

All was great until about two years ago when the present owner, John Tozzi, began harassing people who were walking through Pine Glades. A wall blocking pedestrian access was constructed and *No Trespassing* signs posted at the end of upper Pine Dr. (along with also enclosing and hindering access to a fire-hydrant), where the Pine Glades gate is now.


Within the last two years, the trailhead in Pine Glades was also removed, during construction of the duplex unit on the property. The property owner had requested that the trailhead be re-located "nearby", it never was!

The new trails proposed by Mr. Tozzi this past summer, starting at the west end of Pine Glades (outlined by On-Sight Surveyors on the maps and with flagging on the property) are completely unacceptable.

The proposed trails are ridiculously too steep at their start, going uphill or downhill from the end of Pine Dr. They would not be mountain bike friendly, as the previous trail was. Nor would they be reasonable for walking on in the winter months (steep and slippery). The previous trail on the property, which was accessed via the Pine Glades road, was of reasonable grade to facilitate walking on all year. Humans, dogs, bikes, skiers, etc. all could negotiate that old trail access quite easily.

In summary, I would ask you to not approve the new trails, as proposed. And, I would ask the Town Planners and Town Council to please recommend that the Pine Glades owner allow pedestrian access to continue as it always has historically. Additionally, I would hope the Town Planners & Town Council would require that the Pine Glades owner restore the old access trail at a nearby location to the old access point.

Very Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jim Woodmencey". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Jim Woodmencey

Date: January 7, 2020

To: Tyler Valentine

Town of Jackson Planning Commission

Cc: Jackson Town Council

From: Laura Bonich, PE, LEED AP

RE: Item P19-262 Amendment to Pine Glades Development Plan
Tyler and Planning Commission

I am writing regarding the proposed trail system for Pine Glades. As noted in the staff report the Project was approved by the Town based on the Developer's commitment at a public meeting to allow access through the project. In addition, Wyoming state law requires that after 10 years of public access across private property to access public lands public access should be maintained and a prescriptive right of access exists. The trail across the property was used for the last 30 years clearly establishing a public right of access.

I met with the current owner's representative, Mr. Collings regarding the proposed trail system. I was very clear that the proposed trail is not acceptable because it does not facilitate year round access. The Town has allowed the project to block access with no trespassing signs in direct contradiction to the commitment made by the Town and Developers when the project was approved. Previously there was direct winter access to Snow King and the Phil Baux for skiing, walking, biking, snowshoes or cross country skiing. The "trail" proposed by the project is way too steep (will likely have essentially stairs) and I do not see any commitment to heat the steep part or provide weekly snow removal or other maintenance to allow winter access.

All of us cross private property every day. Whether it is at an apartment project, a grocery store a restaurant, alley, parking lot, driveway or private road. The notion that Pine Glades somehow has "special" liability that would prohibit public access is non sense. There are literally thousands of miles of private roads with public access in the United States. I have personally design and stamped plans for private roads in my 30+ year career as a civil engineer. It is common to gate roads for limited vehicle access and allow other modes (walking, skiing, biking etc) of access. In addition, prohibiting these modes goes against every planning principle for good land use and is not allowed by the for USGBC LEED projects.

There is a simple solution – just require the developer to allow non-vehicular access through the project on the existing road as was agreed to by the Town and Developer. You have the ability to require the Developer to comply as part of your discretionary approvals and it is the right thing to do. This access was used extensively up until August of 2018 with no negative impact on the project.

Thank you,

Laura Bonich

645 Pine Drive

Jackson, WY 83001

775-340-5346

lauraabonich@gmail.com

Tyler Valentine

From: Will Bradof <will@localjh.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2020 12:02 PM
To: Tyler Valentine
Subject: Pine glades access

Dear Town of Jackson/ planning dept . I am writing to express my frustration in the current state of Pine Glades development in regards to accessing the trails on forest service land . As a 10 plus year resident of aspen drive and pine drive I would hope you do the right thing and ensure that the pibe glades development makes the necessary changes to allow people to access the trails via their road system. As I understand this was a condition of the original development and now it has somehow turned into “no trespassing” signs with gates with boulders , and ditches cut across existing trails to make all feel unwelcome . Please help us make some changes so this new development can at least appear to be part of the community that we live in . Sincerely . Will and Jennifer Bradof, 595 Pine Dr.

Will Bradof

Chef/Owner

Trio | Local

Will@localjh.com

c. 307-690-6460

Tyler Valentine

From: Garth Gillespie <garth@jhexperts.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2020 12:25 PM
To: Tyler Valentine
Subject: Snow King Trail System

Hi Tyler,

I am ignorant to what is happening with the Pine Glades development and potential trail closings and rerouting but I'd like to support the neighborhood access that is currently available. Reading on Social Media brought me to the attention that the current developer is trying to close this access... I was here when Pine Glades was designed and developed and I specifically remember the subject trails being discussed and the Town Council or Planning department requiring public access.

Please don't allow private developers change the access as it is important for the local community to have access to the forest which has been in use for over 30 years (GIS photos).

Thanks for your time -

--

Garth Gillespie

(307) 413-5243

Tyler Valentine

From: Jennifer Bragg <jennybragg82@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Tyler Valentine
Subject: Pine glades commentary

Hi Tyler,

My name is Jenny Bragg. Myself, my husband Jonathan, and our two children live at 45 Pine Glades unit A. We have been Town of Jackson residents for 20 years. My husband works at the Wort Hotel and I own a local business. Being chosen for an affordable home was an absolute dream come true for us. We truly love this community and have worked incredibly hard to be apart of it.

The proposed trail will run within two feet of our home. This two feet extends alongside my bedroom window and also my children's. Not only would this be a complete invasion of privacy, but it would also be a safety risk.

Over the 10 years we have owned our home, we have dealt with numerous disturbances. The last just 6 months ago while I was 7 months pregnant with my son at the end of last summer. A man knocked on my front door demanding that I let him in. After calling the police and being told by an officer, "if I own a gun it would be a good idea to have it readily accessible" and then several days later, finding out he had tried to get into several other homes across the street and had been camping in the woods 50ft from my house. This particular evening I was home alone with my 5 yr old daughter while my husband was at work.

Aside from that we've had numerous issues with dogs in our yard and all that entails over the past decade. People having sex in their cars in the parking lot, finding trash, used condoms and other undesirable items walking from my car to my front door.

Our other concerns are about safety. It's no secret that off leash pets are a growing issue in this community. What happens in the event one of my children are attacked by a dog? Dogs do not consider property lines and it would not be a first as our neighbors mother in law was attacked by a Pine Dr. residents unleashed animal and suffered a tremendous injury of a broken hip.

I am not an unreasonable person, but requesting a public access trail to be placed 2 feet from my home that is literally visible from my shower window is an incredibly unreasonable request.

I'm not interested in cutting off access to public lands for fellow Jackson citizens. It's why we all live here, to enjoy all the bounty this beautiful place has to offer. However, I don't believe the placement of this development has cut off any access at all. There is a trail connector at the west end of the development, and another at the end of Spruce dr. I do not understand the Pine Dr. residents or the towns need for a trail when there are already two.

I also do not understand the need for access to a neighborhood I pay dues that equal one third of my mortgage to maintain. If the town would prefer to take over maintenance I'd be happy to comply with our neighborhood roads being publicly accessible. But I do not understand how the town of Jackson can force Pine Glades residents to pay for road maintenance while granting public access.

I highly doubt any Pine Drive residents would be willing to sacrifice their privacy, safety, & security, if the roles were reversed. Who wants a trail head outside their bedroom window?

Sincerely,
Jennifer Bragg
45 Pine Glades, Unit A

--

Jenny Bragg

Jackson Parlour
307.201.6959
Jhparlour.com
Jennybartistry.com

Tyler Valentine

From: David Defazio <David@defaziolaw.com>
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2020 1:58 PM
To: Laura Bonich; Lea Colasuonno; Tyler Valentine; Jim Woodmencey
Subject: RE: Town of Jackson

Hello Lea –

I echo all of Laura's questions and concerns, and add one observation. Although I find it baseless from the start, the residents of Pine Glades who have voiced concern over liability should recognize that constructing a near vertical "path" surely creates more liability risk than allowing access over flat ground.

I'm sure that Mr. Tozzi has threatened legal action against the Town if Jackson does not grant his request. But please know that legal action is also possible if residents are not granted access that was originally promised as a condition of approval.

- David

From: Laura Bonich <lauraabonich@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2020 12:51 PM
To: Lea Colasuonno <lcolasuonno@jacksonwy.gov>; Tyler Valentine <tvalentine@jacksonwy.gov>; David Defazio <David@defaziolaw.com>; Jim Woodmencey <jim@mountainweather.com>
Subject: Re: Town of Jackson

Lea,

Thank you for taking time to call me the other day and congratulations on your new position. Regarding the Pine

Glades Project, I was wrong and had not read the the staff report at the time I spoke to you. I have reviewed both the application to amend the Development Plan P19-262, and the staff report dated January 10, 2020. I understand that this will be on the Planning Commission agenda on January 15 at 5:30. If you or other Town staff can clarify any of the following that would be greatly appreciated.

Can the Town of Jackson add additional requirements or conditions to the project since the Project is requesting an amendment to the original approvals that requires Council approval?

If the Town can add requirements then I would request that the Town formally require the Project to allow year round public access on the road as a condition of approval for the Development Plan Amendment.

The following are issues that I believe may provide the Town a reasonable reason to do so. The trail proposed by the developer would allow reasonable access for only about 50% of the year.

- The Town required the Developer to allow access as part of the original approval at a public meeting. This is evidenced in the public meeting recordings and has been verified recently by Town planning staff.
- The Town granted a "variance" to the hill side ordinance and allowed the project to construct a private road only because the project could not be developed if the Town required the minimum public road standard. The only reason the road is private is because the Town would not accept dedication of the non standard road for repair and maintenance. That road variance did not grant the developer the right to prohibit access and there was never any intent by the town to prohibit public access on the non standard road section.
- When the project ownership changed the purchaser clearly knew and understood that there was existing public access as evidenced by the existing well used trail connection near the midpoint of the Pine Glades project; as did each of the individual owners. In addition the current developer, Mr. Tozzi, has the majority of votes and still controls the HOA. Is granting access on the road through the project any different than granting access for a trail on the other HOA owned lots?
- The State of Wyoming allows access across private property after 10 years of public use to access public lands. The "trail" offered by the developer will not allow access 50% of the time as there will be significant snow from Nov 1 through May 1 that makes any use of stairs infeasible (not sure who estimated that the average slope is 10% - clearly much steep in many sections).

- There is no public good or benefit that comes from prohibiting access (it does allow the developer to sell units for more money). I do not believe the Town would allow access to be prohibited if the project was being approved today. Does the developer's request to modify the project entitlements give the Town the opportunity to formally require the access that was originally agreed to? If so why is this not an option in the staff report?

Appreciate your time. Laura Bonich

On Wed, Jan 8, 2020 at 11:23 AM Lea Colasuonno <lcolasuonno@jacksonwy.gov> wrote:

Lea Colasuonno

Town of Jackson

Town Attorney

O: 307.734.3497

C: 307.690.9902

F: 307.734.7068

E: lcolasuonno@jacksonwy.gov

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is limited to the recipient intended by the sender. This transmission and any attachments to or information in this message may contain confidential information, attorney-client privileged information, and/or attorney work product. Subject to the express written consent of the sender, any distribution, copying or other use of this communication, attachments or information in it is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or otherwise receive this communication in error, please notify the sender by return email, fax or telephone and delete this email and otherwise destroy the original transmission, attachments and any copies of it without reading or saving it in any manner.

Additionally, please note that all correspondence, including emails, to and from Town of Jackson employees in connection with the transaction of public business is subject to the Wyoming Public Records Act and that non-privileged communications and correspondence that is it not confidential may be disclosed to third parties.

--

Laura Bonich, PE, LEED AP

775-340-5346

lauraabonich@gmail.com

Tyler Valentine

From: Jim Woodmencey <jim@mountainweather.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2020 7:02 AM
To: David Defazio
Cc: Laura Bonich; Lea Colasuonno; Tyler Valentine
Subject: Re: Town of Jackson

There are errors in the staff report regarding existing trails that were removed and or moved.

1) There was never a trail at the end of the cul-de-sac (west end of Pine Glades near gate) that went uphill.

There are only faint game trails that exist in that vicinity

The original trail from Pine Drive to Snow King went about where the road is now and part of it was just below the wall. Just below where Pine Glades Drive is now.

2) The trailhead and connector trail that was established by the previous owner and Pathways, approved in 2011, just east of where the existing duplex is now, was never "relocated nearby". An approximately 30 foot connector was added just north of the top end of the tunnel (over the curb) to access the switchbacks on the trail that goes up the face of Snow King. That is not "nearby", it is not a trailhead, and it does not serve the same purpose of accessing Shade Monkey to Sink or Swim as a winter hiking route. (Snow King is Closed to hikers & dogs in winter).

3) The trail connector and trailhead mentioned above was not permanently removed until sometime after 2014, closer to 2017.

4) The new proposed trails (Sections 1 & 2) will require elaborate stairways at the start of these two trails, both above and below the cul-de-sac. These would present a hazard when snow-covered or icy, and essentially render them unusable in winter. That does not satisfy the intent of the original development plan.

The new owner should be required to comply with the Original Approved Trail Plan and the town should honor the promise made that pedestrian access (walk & bike) across this property will always be allowed. That "word" should be as good as anything in writing.

Jim Woodmencey
690 Pine Dr.
PO Box 2221
Jackson, WY 83001 USA
307-733-9616 Home
307-690-9282 Cell

www.mountainweather.com

On Mon, Jan 13, 2020 at 3:57 PM David Defazio <David@defaziolaw.com> wrote:

Hello Lea –

From: [ray beplat](#)
To: [Tiffany Stoltz](#)
Subject: very opposed to pine glades trail plan
Date: Wednesday, January 15, 2020 3:54:49 PM

I am extremely opposed to the proposed trail between pine dr and wister dr/spruce dr. Just to give you an idea how close this trail comes to my house and garage at 700 pine drive ive attached a photo taken from my front door. The design of this trail down from the pine dr culdesac is clearly to have it as close as possible to the neighboring properties and as far as possible from the precious pine glades townhomes. this trail makes no sense and is not needed. it is literally 25 feet from my front door and deck, drastically affecting privacy and enjoyment of my property. Pine glades new ownership have been terrible neighbors and terrible people to deal with. This whole thing is ridiculous. See attached photo of proposed trail location on north side of pine dr.

Please come up with something better than this unusable, unneeded, intrusive trail.

Ray Beplat
700 Pine DR.